UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC.
Petitioner
v.

WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS LLC Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,694,657
Issue Date: April 8, 2014
Title: REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS LLC'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,694,657

Case No. IPR2016-01159



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Ι.		I RODUCTION			
II.	THE PETI LIGI	PETITION SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED BECAUSE ITIONER'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS ARE INCORRECT IN HT OF THE SPECIFICATION, THE CLAIMS, AND THE LAW.	4		
	A.	Petitioner's Proposed Construction of "Token" Is Incorrect and Reads Out the Database Requirement	4		
	B.	Petitioner Does Not Propose a Construction for the Term "Censor" and Ultimately Reads the Term Out of the Claims	6		
III.	PETITIONER HAS NOT DEMONSTRATED A REASONBLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS FOR THE GROUNDS ADVANCED IN THE PETITION AND THE PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED.				
	A.	Requirements for Showing Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C. § 103.	8		
	B.	Claim 189 is Not Obvious Over Roseman, Rissanen, Vetter, Pike, and Lichty			
		 Database Which Serves as a Repository of Tokens for Other Programs to Access, Thereby Affording Information to Each of a Plurality of Participator Computers 	13		
		2. Communicating Via an Internet Network	17		
		3. Determining Whether the First User Identity is Individually Censored from Sending Data in the Communications, the Data Presenting at least One of a Pointer, Video, Audio, a Graphic, and Multimedia	19		
	C.	Independent Claim 465 Is Not Unpatentable	22		
	D.	Dependent Claims 334, 342, 348, 580, 584, and 592 Are Not Unpatentable	23		
IV.	CON	ICLUSION	23		



IPR2016-01159 PATENT NO. 8,694,657

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit #	Exhibit Name
2001	Declaration of Dr. Chandrajit Bajaj, Ph.D.
2002	Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition (1994)
2003	Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary, Third Edition (1997)
2004	Macmillan Encyclopedia of Computers (Gary G. Bitter ed., Macmillan Publ. Co. 1992)



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
Apple, Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc., IPR2015-00355 (PTAB June 26, 2015)	10
C.B. Distributors, Inc. v. Fontem Holdings 1 B.V. IPR2013-00387 (PTAB, Dec. 24, 2014)	12
Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co., 576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	27
Cisco Sys., Inc., v. C-Cation Techs., LLC, IPR2014-00454 (PTAB. Aug. 29, 2014)	11
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	3, 10, 12
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	11
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	11, 12
Plant Science, Inc. v. The Andersons, Inc., IPR2014-00939 (PTAB Dec. 17, 2014)	11
Whole Space Indus. v. Zipshade Indus., IPR2015-00488 (PTAB July 24, 2015)	11, 12
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	2
35 U.S.C. § 103	10
35 U.S.C. § 311(b)	2
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	3



IPR2016-01159 PATENT NO. 8,694,657

Regulations

37 C.F.R. 1.75(c)	27
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(3)	3, 11
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	2, 3



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

