

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION.

Petitioner,

v.

WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,694,657

Issued: April 8, 2014

Filed: September 20, 1999

Inventor: Daniel L. Marks

Title: REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01155

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR A PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW.....1

A. Certification the 657 Patent May Be Contested by Petitioner 1

B. Fee for Inter Partes Review (§ 42.15(a)).....2

C. Mandatory Notices (37 CFR § 42.8(b))2

D. Proof of Service (§§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(a))3

III. Identification of Claims Being Challenged (§ 42.104(b)).....3

IV. Relevant Information Concerning the Patent.....4

A. Effective Filing Date4

B. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art5

C. The 657 Patent.....5

1. Technical Overview5

2. Prosecution History7

D. Construction of Terms Used in the Claims8

1. “*an Internet network*” (All Petition Claims)8

2. “*token*” (All Petition Claims)9

3. “*authenticated [first/second] user identity*” and “*[first/second] authenticated user identity*” (All Petition Claims)10

4. “*pointer*” (Claims 1, 2, 51, 65, 79, 114, 126, 138, 156, 189, 190, 226, 238, 250, 280, 292, 304, 322, 353, 465, 597, 606, 616, 625, 633, 641, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670)11

5. “*multimedia*” (Claims 1, 43, 189, 220, 353, 465, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 659, 660, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671).....12

6. “*a pointer-triggered message on demand*” (Claims 597, 606, 616, 625, 633, 641)12

V.	Precise Reasons for Relief Requested.....	13
A.	U.S. Patent No. 5,941,947 to Brown et al. (“Brown”) (Ex.1012).....	13
B.	Donath et al, <i>The Sociable Web</i> , (“Sociable Web”) (Ex.1019)	17
C.	Independent Claims 1, 189, 353, 465, 597, 606, 616, 625, 633, 641, 649-663, and 666-671 Are Unpatentable Over Brown in View of the Sociable Web.....	18
1.	Claim 1 Is Unpatentable.....	18
a.	Preamble	18
b.	Affording Information Responsive to Authenticated Identity	23
c.	Determining Whether Able to Form a Group	25
d.	Determining Whether Individually Censored from Receiving Data.....	27
e.	Forming a Group and Facilitating Receiving.....	29
f.	Internet URL.....	30
g.	Not Presenting Censored Data	32
2.	Claim 189 Is Unpatentable.....	32
a.	Preamble	32
b.	Affording Information Responsive to Authenticated Identity	32
c.	Determining Whether Able to Form a Group	33
d.	Determining Whether Individually Censored from Sending Data.....	33
e.	Forming a Group and Facilitating Sending.....	35
f.	Internet URL.....	36
g.	Not Allowing Sending Censored Data	36
3.	Claim 353 Is Unpatentable.....	37
4.	Claim 465 Is Unpatentable.....	38
5.	Claim 597 Is Unpatentable.....	38
6.	Claim 606 Is Unpatentable.....	40
7.	Claim 616 Is Unpatentable.....	40

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

8. Claim 625 Is Unpatentable.....	41
9. Claim 633 Is Unpatentable.....	41
10. Claim 641 Is Unpatentable.....	42
11. Claim 649 Is Unpatentable.....	42
12. Claim 650 Is Unpatentable.....	43
13. Claim 651 Is Unpatentable.....	44
14. Claim 652 Is Unpatentable.....	44
15. Claim 653 Is Unpatentable.....	45
16. Claim 654 Is Unpatentable.....	45
17. Claim 655 Is Unpatentable.....	46
18. Claim 656 Is Unpatentable.....	46
19. Claim 657 Is Unpatentable.....	47
20. Claim 658 Is Unpatentable.....	47
21. Claim 659 Is Unpatentable.....	48
22. Claim 660 Is Unpatentable.....	48
23. Claim 661 Is Unpatentable.....	49
24. Claims 662 and 663 Are Unpatentable	49
25. Claim 666 Is Unpatentable.....	50
26. Claim 667 Is Unpatentable.....	50
27. Claim 668 Is Unpatentable.....	51
28. Claim 669 Is Unpatentable.....	52
29. Claim 670 Is Unpatentable.....	53
30. Claim 671 Is Unpatentable.....	53
D. Dependent claims 2, 18, 27, 35, 43, 51, 65, 79, 93, 100, 108, 114, 126, 138, 150, 156, 168, 170, 172, 176, 178, 180, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 190, 202, 208, 214, 220, 226, 238, 250, 262, 268, 274, 280, 292, 304, 316, 322, 328, 334, 336, 340, 342, 344, 346, 348, 350, 352, 354, 362, 366, 370, 374, 378, 386, 394, 402, 406, 410, 414, 422, 430, 438, 442, 450, 452, 454, 456, 458, 460, 462, 464, 466, 476, 481, 486, 491, 496, 505, 515, 525, 530, 535, 545, 555, 565, 570, 580,	

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

582, 584, 586, 588, 590, 592, 594, 596, 598, 607, 615, 617,
619, 621, 622, 624, 626, 628, 630, 632, 634, 636, 638, 640,
642, 644, 646, 648, 664 and 665 Are Unpatentable Over
Brown in View of Sociable Web.....54

1. Dependent Claims 2, 190, 354, 466 – Pointer54
2. Dependent Claims 51, 226, 378, 496 – Pointer and Video.....55
3. Dependent Claims 65, 238, 386, 505 – Pointer and Audio55
4. Dependent Claims 79, 250, 394, 515 – Pointer and Graphic55
5. Dependent Claims 114, 280, 414, 535 – Pointer, Video, and
Audio.....56
6. Dependent Claims 126, 292, 422, 545 – Pointer, Video, and
Graphic56
7. Dependent Claims 138, 304, 430, 555 – Pointer, Audio, and
Graphic56
8. Dependent Claims 156, 322, 442, 570 – Pointer, Video,
Audio, and Graphic57
9. Dependent Claims 18, 202, 362, 476, 619, 628, 636, 646 –
Video57
10. Dependent Claims 27, 208, 366, 481, 617, 626, 634, 642,
644 – Audio.....57
11. Dependent Claims 35, 214, 370, 486 – Graphic58
12. Dependent Claims 43, 220, 374, 491 – Multimedia58
13. Dependent Claims 93, 262, 402, 525, 621, 630, 638 – Video
and Audio58
14. Dependent Claims 100, 268, 406, 530 – Video and Graphic59
15. Dependent Claims 108, 274, 410 – Audio and Graphic59
16. Dependent Claims 150, 316, 438, 565 – Video, Audio, and
Graphic60
17. Dependent Claims 168, 334, 454, 456, 580 – Two Client
Software Alternatives Allow At Least One Group.....60
18. Dependent Claim 184 – No Censored Data.....62
19. Dependent Claims 172, 336, 450, 582 – Censor Based on
Content62

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.