## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2016-01155 U.S. Patent No. 8,694,657

**PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE** 

Petitioner Microsoft Corporation ("Petitioner") files and serves the following objections to evidence that Patent Owner Windy City Innovations, LLC ("Patent Owner") served on March 31, 2017. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1).

Exhibit 2005 is objected to for containing hearsay, lacking authentication, lacking completeness, and lacking relevance. For example, to the extent that Patent Owner relies on this exhibit to prove the truth of descriptions and other information described therein, this information is hearsay. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 801-02. Patent Owner also offers no evidence that this exhibit is what Patent Owner claims it to be. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 901. The exhibit is also incomplete—the relied on entry for "Networks – Wide Area (WANs)" states that "[t]wo examples of WANs are shown on the next two pages," yet those two pages were not filed. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 106. The exhibit also lacks relevance to the issues in this proceeding. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401-03.

**Exhibit 2010** is objected to for lacking relevance to the issues in this proceeding. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401-03.

**Exhibits 2011 and 2012** are objected to for containing hearsay, lacking authentication, and lacking relevance. For example, to the extent Patent Owner relies on these exhibits to prove the truth of descriptions and other information described therein, this information is hearsay. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 801-02. Patent Owner also offers no evidence that that these exhibits are what Patent Owner

1

claims them to be. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 901. These exhibit also lack relevance to the issues in this proceeding. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401-03.

**Exhibit 2013** is objected to for lacking relevance to the issues in this proceeding. For example, it is not cited in any paper, declaration, or transcript. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 401-03.

Dated: April 7, 2017

Respectfully Submitted,

/Joseph A. Micallef/ Joseph A. Micallef Reg. No. 39,772 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005 Attorney for Petitioner

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), I hereby certify that on this 7th day of April,

2017, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing and any

accompanying exhibits by e-mail on the following counsel:

Peter Lambrianakos Alfred R. Fabricant Vincent J. Rubino, III Brown Rudnick LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 plambrianakos@brownrudnick.com afabricant@brownrudnick.com vrubino@brownrudnick.com

Dated: April 7, 2017

DOCKET

Respectfully Submitted,

/Joseph A. Micallef/ Joseph A. Micallef Reg. No. 39,772 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street NW Washington, DC 20005 *Attorney for Petitioner* 

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.