UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION Petitioner v.

WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS LLC Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,694,657 Issue Date: April 8, 2014 Title: REAL TIME COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 42.120

Case No. IPR2016-01155



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		$\underline{\mathbf{P}}_{i}$	age(s)	
I.	INT	RODUCTION	1	
II.		THE '657 PATENT PRESENTS A NOVEL APPROACH TO REAL- TIME COMMUNICATION OVER THE INTERNET		
III.	DEC	MMARY OF THE ALLEGED PRIOR ART AND THE PRIOR CISIONS DENYING INSTITUTION OF IPR2016-01137, 2016-01138, IPR2016-01146, AND IPR2016-01147	5	
	A.	Brown	5	
	B.	The Sociable Web	7	
	C.	The Decisions Denying Institution of IPR2016-01137	9	
IV.	CLA	IM CONSTRUCTION	11	
	A.	TOKEN	11	
	B.	DATABASE	11	
	C.	CENSOR	15	
V.		BROWN REFERENCE DOES NOT TEACH OR DISCLOSE TAIN LIMITATIONS	16	
	A. The Instituted Claims are Not Obvious Over Combinations Based On Brown		19	
		1. The Prior Art Does Not Teach Or Disclose a Database Which Serves as a Repository of Tokens for Other Programs to Access, Thereby Affording Information to Each of the Participator Computers	20	
		2. Communicating Over an Internet Network Would Not Have Been Obvious	22	



IPR2016-01155 PATENT NO. 8.694.657

	11112111110:0,0	, 1,00,
3.	The Prior Art Does Not Teach Or Disclose Determining	
	Whether The First User Identity And The Second User	
	Identity Are Able To Form A Group To Send And To	
	Receive Real-Time Communications	25
4.	Petitioner Has Not Set Forth a Sufficient Motivation to	
	Combine Brown With The Sociable Web and The	
	Combination Would Not Have Been Obvious	27
5.	Two Client Software Alternatives	28
CONCLUS	JON	31
	4.5.	 The Prior Art Does Not Teach Or Disclose Determining Whether The First User Identity And The Second User Identity Are Able To Form A Group To Send And To Receive Real-Time Communications Petitioner Has Not Set Forth a Sufficient Motivation to Combine Brown With The Sociable Web and The Combination Would Not Have Been Obvious



IPR2016-01155 PATENT NO. 8,694,657

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s) **Federal Cases** Amgen Inc. v. F. Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp., Graham v. John Deere Co., Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs., Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst. at Harbor-UCLA Med. Ctr. v. Eli Lilly & Co., Medichem, S.A. v. Rolabo, S.L., Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp., In re Van Os, W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc. v. Garlock, Inc.,



IPR2016-01155 PATENT NO. 8,694,657

\sim 41	A 4.	• • • •
Ithan	A 111	horities
. ,,,,,,,	\boldsymbol{A}	
	LAUL	

27 OPD (§ 42.120	
3/(HR (847171	
JI CII II	X ¬∠.1∠U	



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

