Paper No. 23

Entered: February 21, 2017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

WINDY CITY INNOVATIONS, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01067 (Patent 8,407,356 B1)

Case IPR2016-01141 (Patent 8,458,245 B1)

Case IPR2016-01155 (Patent 8,694,657 B1)

Before J. JOHN LEE, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Granting Admission *Pro Hac Vice*37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)



IPR2016-01067 (Patent 8,407,356 B1) IPR2016-01141 (Patent 8,458,245 B1) IPR2016-01155 (Patent 8,694,657 B1)

Patent Owner Windy City Innovations, LLC moves for the admission *pro hac vice* of attorney Alfred R. Fabricant in each of the above-captioned cases. *E.g.*, *Microsoft Corp. v. Windy City Innovs.*, *LLC*, Case IPR2016-01067, Paper 14 (PTAB Jan. 17, 2017). The Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

Lead counsel for Patent Owner in each of the above proceedings is Peter Lambrianakos, a registered practitioner. In support of each of the *pro hac vice* motions, Patent Owner submits a Declaration of Alfred R. Fabricant. *E.g.*, *Microsoft*, Case IPR2016-01067, Paper 15. Upon consideration of the facts set forth in the *pro hac vice* motions and Mr. Fabricant's declarations, Patent Owner has shown good cause for Mr. Fabricant to be admitted *pro hac vice* to appear in each of these proceedings.

ORDER

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Alfred R. Fabricant in each of the above-captioned cases is granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Fabricant is authorized to appear as back-up counsel for Patent Owner in each of the above proceedings, but he may not act as lead counsel;

FURTHER ORDERED that a registered practitioner must remain as lead counsel throughout the proceedings;



IPR2016-01067 (Patent 8,407,356 B1) IPR2016-01141 (Patent 8,458,245 B1) IPR2016-01155 (Patent 8,694,657 B1)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Fabricant is to comply with the Office Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Fabricant is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).



IPR2016-01067 (Patent 8,407,356 B1) IPR2016-01141 (Patent 8,458,245 B1) IPR2016-01155 (Patent 8,694,657 B1)

PETITIONER:

Joseph A. Micallef Sidley Austin LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C., 20005 <u>iprnotices@sidley.com</u> <u>jmicallef@sidley.com</u>

Todd M. Siegel Klarquist Sparkman, LLP 121 SW Salmon Street, Suite 1600 Portland, OR 97204 todd.siegel@klarquist.com

John W. McBride Sidley Austin LLP One South Dearborn Chicago, Illinois 60603 jwmcbride@sidley.com

Raquel C. Rodriguez Sidley Austin LLP 1000 Louisiana Street Houston, Texas 77002 raquel.rodriguez@sidley.com

PATENT OWNER:

Peter Lambrianakos Brown Rudnick LLP 7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
plambrianakos@BrownRudnick.com

Vincent Rubino Brown Rudnick LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 10036 vrubino@brownrudnick.com

