

Patent No. 8,221,381 — Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Filed on behalf of Ancestry.com DNA, LLC

By: Daniel M. Becker, Reg. No. 38,376  
Jennifer R. Bush, Reg. No. 50,784  
Fenwick & West LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel: (650) 988-8500  
Fax: (650) 938-5200

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ANCESTRY.COM DNA, LLC,  
Petitioner

v.

DNA GENOTEK INC.,  
Patent Owner.

Inter Partes Review No. IPR \_\_\_\_\_  
Patent 8,221,381 B2

---

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT 8,221,381**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 CFR § 42.8(a)(1)).....	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(1)) .....	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(2)).....	2
C. Designation of Lead and Backup Counsel (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(3)) .....	3
D. Service Information (37 CFR § 42.8(b)(4)) .....	3
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 CFR § 42.104(a)).....	3
IV. THRESHOLD FOR REVIEW .....	4
V. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 CFR § 42.104(b)).....	4
A. Effective filing date of the challenged claims.....	4
B. Technical Background.....	5
C. Claim Construction (37 CFR § 42.104(b)(3)).....	14
D. Ground 1: Claims 3, 6, 9, 10, 39, 40, 43, and 45-47 would have been obvious under 35 USC § 103(a) over WO 2003/104251(Birnboim) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,645,424 (O'Donovan). .....	26
E. Ground 2: Claims 18 and 19 would have been obvious under 35 USC § 103(a) over WO 2003/104251(Birnboim) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,645,424 (O'Donovan), further in view of US Pre-grant Publication No. 2003/0089627 A1 (Chelles). .....	51
F. Ground 3: Claims 13 and 14 would have been obvious under 35 USC § 103(a) over WO 2003/104251(Birnboim) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,645,424 (O'Donovan), further in view of WO 2005/023667 (Clarkson). .....	60
1. Claim 14 .....	61

Patent No. 8,221,381 — Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

2.	Claim 13 .....	67
3.	Further evidence.....	69
VI.	THE ASSERTED GROUNDS ARE NON-CUMULATIVE AND NON-REDUNDANT .....	73
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	75

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Apple Inc. v. VirnetX</i> , IPR2013-00348, Paper 14, 2013 WL 8595302 (PTAB Dec. 13, 2013) .....	4
<i>Askeladden LLC v. Sean I. McGhie and Brian K. Buchheit</i> , IPR2015-00137, Paper 31 (Institution Decision) .....	37
<i>DNA Genotek, Inc. v. Ancestry.com DNA LLC</i> , Case No. 15-00355-SLR (D. Del.).....	2, 3
<i>DNA Genotek, Inc. v. Spectrum DNA; Spectrum Solutions L.L.C., and Spectrum Packaging, LLC</i> , Case No. 15-cv-00661-SLR (D. Del.) .....	2, 17, 34
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 778 F.3d 1268, (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>cert. granted sub nom. Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 890 (mem.) (2016) .....	15
<i>In re Ngai</i> , 367 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	50
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	15
<i>In re Petering</i> , 301 F.2d 676 (CCPA 1962) .....	45
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	15
<i>King Pharm. Research and Dev., Inc. v. Eon Labs., Inc.</i> , 616 F.3d 1267 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	50
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	37, 57, 66

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(continued)**

<i>Motorola Mobility LLC v. Arnouse,</i> IPR2013-00010, Paper 20, 2013 WL 2023657 (PTAB Jan. 30, 2013) .....	4
<i>Titanium Metals Corp.v. Banner,</i> 778 F.2d 775 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	45
<i>Vivid Techs., Inc. v. Am. Sci. &amp; Eng'g, Inc.,</i> 200 F.3d 795 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	15

**STATUTES**

35 USC § 102.....	2
35 USC § 102(a) .....	63
35 USC § 102(b) .....	26, 55
35 USC § 102(e)(2).....	30, 63
35 USC § 103 .....	2
35 USC § 103(a) .....	26, 35, 51, 60
35 USC § 111 .....	29
35 USC § 112(6) .....	23, 52
35 USC § 120 .....	29
35 USC § 154.....	30
35 USC § 311 .....	75
35 USC § 314(a) .....	4
35 USC § 315(b) .....	3
35 USC § 315(e)(1).....	4
35 USC § 365(c) .....	29

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.