

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. et al., Petitioners

v.

ALLERGAN INC.,¹

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01127 (8,695,930 B2)

Case IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2)

Case IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2)

Case IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2)

Case IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2)

Case IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

**BRIEF AMICUS CURIAE OF THE SOFTWARE AND INFORMATION
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS**

¹ Amicus has filed identical copies of this paper in each proceeding identified in the caption pursuant to the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 10).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Interest of the Amicus	1
Introduction and Summary of Argument.....	2
Argument	5
I. Immunity Does Not Attach to Inter Partes Review.	5
A. Validity determinations do not involve private rights.....	6
B. The AIA’s procedures lack the compulsion necessary to trigger sovereign immunity.....	8
II. Sovereign immunity’s real party in interest doctrine prevents private parties from using immunity to evade IPR	11
III. Conclusion.....	13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Alhameed v. Grand Traverse Resort and Casino</i> , 10 OCAHO 1126 (Sept. 25, 2008)	8
<i>Aqua Prod., Inc. v. Matal</i> , 872 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	11
<i>Bloomer v. McQuewan</i> , 55 U.S. 539 (1853).....	7
<i>Chavez v. Arte Publico Press</i> , 204 F.3d 601 (Fifth Cir. 2000)	3
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016).....	2, 10
<i>Ex parte Young</i> , 209 U.S. 123 (1908).....	3
<i>Fed. Mar. Comm'n v. S.C. State Ports Auth.</i> , 535 U.S. 743 (2002).....	6, 9, 10
<i>Florida Prepaid v. College Savings Bank</i> , 527 U.S. 627 (1998).....	3
<i>Great Plains Lending LLC v. Conn. Dept. of Banking</i> , 2015 WL 9310700 (Conn. Super. Ct. 2015).....	11
<i>Hafer v. Melo</i> , 502 U.S. 21(1991).....	12
<i>In re: Tammy Stroud v. Mohegan Tribal Gaming Auth.</i> , 2014 WL 6850018 (DOL Admin. Review Bd. Nov. 26, 2014).....	8
<i>Covidien LP v. Univ. of Fla. Research Found. Inc.</i> , Case IPR 2016-01274, Paper 21 (Jan. 25, 2017).....	6;
<i>Neochord, Inc. v. Univ. of Md., et al.</i> , Case IPR2016-00208, Paper 28 (May 23, 2017)	6

<i>In the matter of Jamal Kanj v. Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians,</i> 2007 WL 1266963 (DOL Adm. Rev. Bd. Apr. 27, 2007).....	7
<i>Kentucky v. Graham,</i> 473 U.S. 159 (1985).....	12
<i>Lear v. Adkins,</i> 395 U.S. 653 (1969).....	12
<i>Lewis v. Clarke,</i> 137 S. Ct. 1285 (2017).....	12
<i>Ex parte New York,</i> 256 U.S. 490(1921).....	12
<i>In re: Private Fuel Storage,</i> 56 N.R.C. 147 (Oct. 1, 2002).....	8
<i>Santa Clara Pueblo v. Martinez,</i> 436 U.S. 49 (1978).....	3
<i>Seymour v. Osborne,</i> 78 U.S. 516 (1870).....	6
<i>Tennessee Student Assistance Corp. v. Hood,</i> 541 U.S. 440 (2004).....	11
<i>Vas Cath, Inc. v. Curators of Univ. of Missouri,</i> 473 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 1990).....	8
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. 135	8
35 U.S.C. 317(a)	10
35 U.S.C. 318(b)	11
35 U.S.C. § 314.....	10
35 U.S.C. § 315	12
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)	10
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 8(a)	9

Regulations

35 C.F.R. 42.22 (b).....	10
37 C.F.R. 42.12	11
37 C.F.R. 42.51	11
37 C.F.R. 42.74(a).....	11
46 C.F.R. 502.141(e)(1)	9
46 C.F.R. 502.62(a)(3)	9
46 C.F.R. 502.62(b)(6).....	9

Other Authorities

H. Rep. No. 112-98	2
S. Rep. No. 102-280.....	3
Joe Mullin, Apple is Being Sued for Patent Infringement by a Native American Tribe, Ars Technica (Sept, 27, 2017), available at https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2017/09/apple-is-being-sued-for-patent-infringement-by-a-native-american-tribe/ . . .	3
Jan Wolfe, Native American Tribe Holding Patents Sues Amazon and Microsoft, Reuters (Oct. 18, 2017), available at https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-patents-nativeamerican/native-american-tribe-holding-patents-sues-amazon-and-microsoft-idUSKBN1CN2G1 . . .	3
David Crow, Pharma Industry Faces Hypocrisy Charge Over Patents, Financial Times (Nov. 1, 2017), available at https://www.ft.com/content/ad85104e-bd86-11e7-b8a3-38a6e068f464	4
Don Reisinger, Fortune, Apple Ordered to Pay More than \$500 Million in Latest Patent Spat (July 26, 2017), available at http://fortune.com/2017/07/26/apple-wisconsin-patent-lawsuit/ . . .	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.