

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA,
INC., and AKORN INC.,¹
Petitioners,

v.

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE and ALLERGAN, INC.,
Patent Owners.²

Case IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2)
Case IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2)
Case IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2)
Case IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2)
Case IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2)
Case IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

**JOINT MOTION BY PATENT OWNER SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE
AND ALLERGAN CONCERNING BOARD'S DIVESTED JURISDICTION
OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR A STAY PENDING THE APPEAL**

¹ Cases IPR2017-00576 and IPR2017-00594, IPR2017-00578 and IPR2017-00596, IPR2017-00579 and IPR2017-00598, IPR2017-00583 and IPR2017-00599, IPR2017-00585 and IPR2017-00600, and IPR2017-00586 and IPR2017-00601 have respectively been joined with the captioned proceedings (collectively the "Proceedings"). The word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the caption pursuant to the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 10).

² The caption used in this Notice of Appeal was intended only to comply with the Board's Order that the "caption for these proceedings shall reflect both Allergan's and the Tribe's status as 'Patent Owners.'" Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe is the Patent Owner. By using this caption, neither Saint Regis Mohawk Tribe nor Allergan concede that Allergan is a "Patent Owner."

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. ARGUMENT.....1

 A. The Tribe is entitled to immediate appellate review of the Board’s decision denying its assertion of sovereign immunity.1

 B. The Tribe’s appeal divests the Board of jurisdiction.....3

 C. Alternatively, if the Board finds it has jurisdiction, it should still stay the proceedings under 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(a).7

III. CONCLUSION.....10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES:

<i>Am. Library Ass’n v. FCC</i> , 406 F.3d 689 (D.C. Cir. 2005).....	7
<i>Amerind Risk Mgmt. Corp. v. Malaterre</i> , 633 F.3d 680 (8th Cir. 2011)	3
<i>Apostol v. Gallion</i> , 870 F.2d 1335 (7th Cir. 1989).....	4
<i>Barnhart v. Peabody Coal Co.</i> , 537 U.S. 149 (2003).....	8
<i>Bates v. Dura Auto. Sys., Inc.</i> , 625 F.3d 283 (6th Cir. 2010).....	6
<i>Bradford-Scott Data Corp. v. Physician Computer Network, Inc.</i> , 128 F.3d 504 (7th Cir. 1997).....	4
<i>Brock v. Pierce County</i> , 476 U.S. 253 (1986).....	8
<i>Burlington N. and Santa Fe Ry. Co. v. Vaughn</i> , 509 F.3d 1085 (9th Cir. 2007).....	3
<i>Cook v. AVI Casino Enters., Inc.</i> , 548 F.3d 718 (9th Cir. 2008).....	3
<i>Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Saudi Basic Indus. Corp.</i> , 544 U.S. 280 (2005).....	5
<i>Food & Drug Admin. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.</i> , 529 U.S. 120 (2000).....	7
<i>Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.</i> , 459 U.S. 56 (1982).....	4

<i>In re Board of Regents of The Univ. of Tex. Sys.,</i> 435 F. App'x 945 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	2
<i>In re Graves,</i> 69 F.3d 1147 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	5
<i>Ins. Co. of the West v. U.S.,</i> 243 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5
<i>Mitchell v. Forsyth,</i> 472 U.S. 511 (1985).....	2, 4
<i>Osage Tribal Council ex rel. Osage Tribe of Indians v. U.S. Dep't of Labor,</i> 187 F.3d 1174 (10th Cir. 1999).....	1
<i>Princz v. Fed. Republic of Germany,</i> 998 F.2d 1 (D.C. Cir. 1993).....	4
<i>Puerto Rico Aqueduct & Sewer Auth. v. Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.,</i> 506 U.S. 139 (1993).....	5
<i>Saratoga Sav. & Loan Ass'n v. Fed. Home Loan Bank Bd.,</i> 879 F.2d 689 (9th Cir. 1989)	8, 9
<i>Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida,</i> 517 U.S. 44 (1996).....	5
<i>Tamiami Partners By & Through Tamiami Dev. Corp. v. Miccosukee Tribe of Indians of Fla.,</i> 63 F.3d 1030 (11th Cir. 1995)	3
<i>Turi v. Main Street Adoption Services, LLP,</i> 633 F.3d 496 (6th Cir. 2011)	6
<i>U.S. v. Brooks,</i> 145 F.3d 446 (1st Cir. 1998).....	4
<i>Utility Air Regulatory Group v. E.P.A.,</i> 134 S. Ct. 2427 (2014).....	7

Wisconsin v. Ho-Chunk Nation,
512 F.3d 921 (7th Cir. 2008) 2

STATUTES:

35 U.S.C. § 316..... 7, 8

REGULATIONS:

37 C.F.R. § 42.5 1, 7, 8, 10

ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS:

Covidien LP v. Univ. of Fla. Research Found. Inc.,
IPR2016-01274, Paper 21 at 19 (Jan. 25 2017) 10

Ericsson Inc., et al v. Regents of the Univ. of Minn.,
IPR2017-01186, Paper 14 at 4 (Dec. 19, 2017) 9, 10

Ericsson Inc., et al v. Regents of the Univ. of Minn.,
IPR2017-01186, Paper 21 at 3-4 (Feb. 9, 2018) 10

Neochord, Inc. v. Univ. of Md. et al.,
IPR2016-00208, Paper 28 at 6-7 (May 23, 2017) 10

Reactive Surfaces Ltd, LLP v. Toyota Motor Corp.,
IPR2016-01914, Paper 36 at 7-8 (July 13, 2017)..... 10

Smart Microwave Sensor Gmbh,
IPR2016-00488, 2017 WL 3669083 (Aug. 24, 2017) 5

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.