UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

ALLERGAN, INC.,	CASE NO: 2:15	-CV-01455-WCE
Plaintiff,	CIVI	<u>L</u>
vs.	Washingto	on, DC
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., ET AL.,	Tuesday, Aug	ust 1, 2017
Defendant.		

HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM C. BRYSON, SENIOR UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

APPEARANCES: Continued on Page 2

For Plaintiff: JONATHAN E. SINGER, ESQ.

JUANITA R. BROOKS, ESQ.

Fish & Richardson - San Diego

12390 El Camino Real San Diego, CA 92130

For Teva PAULINE M. PELLETIER, ESQ.

Pharmaceuticals: JOHN C. ROZENDAAL, ESQ.

MICHAEL E. JOFFRE, ESQ.

R. WILSON POWERS, III, ESQ.

Sterne Kessler Goldstein & Fox, PLLC 1100 New York Avenue NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005-3934

Court Reporter: Digital Recording

Transcriber: Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc.

P.O. Box 18668

Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668

361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.



APPEARANCES FOR: (CONTINUED)

Plaintiff: SUSAN E. MORRISON, ESQ.

ROBERT M. OAKES, ESQ.

Fish & Richardson - Wilmington 222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor

P. O. Box 1114

Wilmington, DE 19899-1114

JOSEPH A. HERRIGES, ESQ. DEANNA J. REICHEL, ESQ.

Fish & Richardson - Minneapolis

60 S. Sixth Street

3200 RBC Plaza

Minneapolis, MN 55402

JOHN W. SAMPLES, ESQ.

Fish & Richardson - Washington DC

1425 K Street NW, Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20005

CLAIRE A. HENRY, ESQ. Ward Smith & Hill, PLLC 1507 Bill Owens Parkway

Longview, TX 75604

Akorn: MICHAEL DZWONCZYK, ESQ.

MARK BOLAND, ESQ. Sughrue Mion, PLLC

2100 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20037

InnoPharma: STEPHEN R. SMEREK, ESQ.

JASON C. HAMILTON, ESQ. Winston & Strawn, LLP

333 S. Grand Avenue, 38th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543

SHANNON M. DACUS, ESQ.

The Dacus Firm, PC

821 ESE Loop 323, Suite 430

Tyler, TX 75701

Famy Care Limited: PETER J. CURTIN, ESQ.

DEANNE M. MAZZOCHI, ESQ.

Rakoczy Molino Mazzochi Siwik, LLP

6 W. Hubbard Street, Suite 500

Chicago, IL 60610



APPEARANCES FOR:

(CONTINUED)

Mylan Pharmaceuticals,
Mylan, Inc.:

ANNA G. PHILLIPS, ESQ.
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati
900 S. Capital of Texas Highway
Las Cimas IV, 5th Floor
Austin, TX 78746-5546

DOUGLAS H. CARSTEN, ESQ. WENDY L. DEVINE, ESQ. Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 12235 El Camino Real, Suite 200

San Diego, CA 92130

Washington, D.C.; Tuesday, August 1, 2017

(Call to order)

THE CLERK: All rise.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 THE COURT: Good morning, please be seated.

MR. SPEAKER: Good morning.

THE COURT: We're here on motions for summary judgment and pretrial conference in Number 2:15-cv-1455 in the Eastern District of Texas. We have a lot to cover today so why don't we just get started right in. What I want to do first, I have some preliminary matters I'd like to attend to, and then we'll go into the discussion of the summary judgment motions and, if need be, discuss the matters to be taken up as part of the pretrial conference. Now, the first order of business is to deal with the venue point. We issued an order yesterday regarding Mylan's objections -- continuing objections to venue. What I'd like to do is have -- and this is my general practice in these things, is have counsel for each side up at the lectern. By and large, I will conduct proceedings through questioning rather than having you make long statements. read all the papers so I'm familiar with the points being made, and I'd like to try to minimize the amount of time of popping up and popping down. On this one I don't know that we need to do that, but in general let's follow that practice. And let's also -- this is more in the nature of a question but -- oh, I



assume and would prefer to have one counsel for each side

arguing each motion. Now, that doesn't mean one person has to argue everything. It means that I'd like to hear from one party and not multiple parties on each motion. Is that consistent with what you intend?

(No audible response)

Very good. So why don't we first then have Mylan's position on venue?

MS. DEVINE: Good morning, your Honor, Wendy Devine on behalf of Mylan. Mylan has chosen to waive the venue objection.

THE COURT: Okay, you're waiving the objection to venue.

MS. DEVINE: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay, I think that solves that problem.

MS. DEVINE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Is there any -- is there -- let me ask this question. Ms. Devine, just a moment. I find myself sometimes in the awkward position of having not asked the question that I should have asked in the midst of someone saying they agree to something. So let me ask Allergan's counsel if there's anything more that I should be asking with respect to the question of whether the waiver is -- whether you're satisfied with the waiver. What I -- obviously what I don't want to have happen is to have this issue pop up again

later. I mean, if -- I want us to be on the same page, we're

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

