ANDREW F. CALMAN, M.D., PH.D. - 07/12/2017 1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD 3 4 MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., 5 Petitioner, 6 v. 7 ALLERGAN, INC., 8 Patent Owner. 9 10 11 Case IPR2016-01127 12 Patent 8,685,930 13 Case IPR2016-01128 14 Patent 8,629,111 Case IPR2016-01129 15 16 Patent 8,642,556 17 Case IPR2016-01130 18 Patent 8,633,162 19 Case IPR2016-01131 20 Patent 8,648,048 21 Case IPR2016-01132 22 Patent 9,248,191 23 24 DEPOSITION OF ANDREW F. CALMAN, M.D., PH.D. 25 WEDNESDAY, JULY 12, 2017

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Woodland Hills

826-0277

#### ANDREW F. CALMAN, M.D., PH.D. - 07/12/2017 Pages 2..5

1	Page 2 REPORTED BY: MEGAN F. ALVAREZ, RPR, CSR 12470	1	Page EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION
	JOB LA-133836	2	No. Description Pa
3		3	Exhibit 2077 Local Cyclosporine Therapy1
4			for Experimental Autoimmune
5	000	4	Uveitis in Rats, Nussenblatt,
	000		et al., Pages 1559 through
6		5	1562, Ophthalmology Archives
7		6	
8	BE IT REMEMBERED that, pursuant to Notice, and	7	000
9	on Wednesday, JULY 12, 2017, commencing at WILSON	8	
	SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, One Market Street, Spear	9	
	Tower, Suite 3300, San Francisco, California, before me,	10	
12	Megan F. Alvarez, a Certified Shorthand Reporter,	11	
13	Registered Professional Reporter, personally appeared	12	
14	for their deposition	13	
15	ANDREW F. CALMAN, M.D., PH.D.	14	
16		15	
17	called as a witness by Patent Owner, who, having been	16	
18	first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	17	
19	000	18	
20		19	
21		20	
22		21	
23		22	
24		23	
25		24 25	
25		25	
	Page 3		Page
1	INDEX	1	EXHIBITS PREVIOUSLY MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATIO
2	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS	2	REFERRED TO IN THIS DEPOSITION
3	EXAMINATION BY MR. KANE7	3	
4	EXAMINATION BY MR. MILLS159		Exhibit Initial Reference
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. KANE168	4	No. Page Line
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MILLS169	5	4216 16
7		6	1007
8	000	7	1011127 11
9		8	103913 25
10		9	1058125 13
11		10	2008
12		11	
13		12	
14		13	
15		14	
16		15	
17		16	
18		17	
19		18	
20		19	
20		20	
		21	
22		22	
23		23	
0.4		24	
24		25	
24 25			

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 6	. ,	Page 8
1	APPEARANCES	1	that she can get our both of our comments down. I'm
2	APPEARANCES:	2	-
3	FOR THE PATENT OWNER:	3	
5	MICHAEL J. KANE, ESQ.	4	
	TASHA M. FRANCIS, PH.D., ESQ.	-	•
6	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 3200 RBC PLAZA	5	A. Yes.
7	60 SOUTH SIXTH STREET	6	Q. If I ask you a question that you don't
	MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402	7	understand, please let me know and I'll to do a
8	612.335.5070 KANE@FR.COM	8	better job on that. Okay?
9	TFRANCIS@FR.COM	9	A. Okay.
10		10	Q. It's also important that since we are
11	FOR THE REMAINING PETITIONERS: (APPEARING TELEPHONICALLY)	11	trying to create a transcript here that you need to
12	GARY SPEIER, ESQ.	12	answer verbally. Yeses, nos, not nods of the head
	CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURGH, LINDQUIST	13	or shaking of the head or the uh-huhs or huh-uhs,
13	& SCHUMAN, P.A.	14	that kind of thing. All right?
14	225 SOUTH SIXTH STREET, SUITE 4200 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402	15	A. Yes.
	GSPEIER@CARLSONCASPERS.COM	16	
15 16	FOR THE RESPONDENT:		
17	JAD A. MILLS, ESQ.	17	an hourly basis or so. But if you need a break
.	ANNA PHILLIPS, ESQ.	18	somewhere in the middle, let us know. We can do
18	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5100	19	that. We just won't we'll just ask if there's an
19	SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104	20	outstanding question, that you answer the question
	206.883.2554	21	before we take a break. All right?
20	JMILLS@WSGR.COM	22	A. Yes.
22	000	23	Q. Of the 18 times you've been deposed, how
23		24	many of those related to patent issues?
24 25		25	A. Two, to the best of my recollection.
	Page 7		Page 9
1	WEDNESDAY, JULY 12, 2017, 9:08 A.M.	1	Q. And can you describe generally what those
2		2	depositions were?
3	ANDREW F. CALMAN, M.D., PH.D.,	3	A. Well, there was one in the Markman case,
4	having been first duly sworn, was examined and	4	
5	testified as follows:	5	phase of Allergan v. Teva Mylan, et al., for this
6	cescified as follows.	6	product. And there was one or two, but I believe
			-
7	EXAMINATION		it's just one, on the invalidity and noninfringement
8	BY MR. KANE:	8	phase of that same case.
9	Q. Good morning. Could you state your name	9	Q. So both of your depositions that related
10	for the record?	10	to patents were in connection with the district
11	A. Andrew Frederick Calman.	11	court litigation over Restasis currently pending in
12	Q. And what's your current business address,	12	Texas?
13	Dr. Calman?	13	A. Correct.
14	A. 2480 Mission Street, San Francisco,	14	Q. Okay.
15	California 94110.	15	A. There was another matter, but it was an
16	Q. And I believe you've been deposed before,	16	antitrust matter that had grown out of a patent
17	right?	17	matter. But I was not involved in the patent phase
18	A. Yes.	18	at all.
19	Q. How many times?	19	Q. Okay. And then, in general, in the other
20	A. About 18.	20	16 or so depositions that you've given, what did
21	Q. Okay. So you know the general ground	21	they generally relate to?
22	rules, then. But before we get started, I'm going	22	A. Injuries and medical malpractice.
23	to ask you a series of questions, you're going to	23	Q. Okay.
24	provide the answers. It will be important to let me	24	A. With a smattering of other things. There
			was an employment discrimination case.
25	finish my question before you start your answer so	25	was an emptoyment atsortimide ton case.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Woodland Hills 800-825-0277 www.depo

DOC

Δ

www.deposition.com

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

#### ANDREW F. CALMAN, M.D., PH.D. - 07/12/2017 Pages 10..13

	Page 10		Page 12
1	I think that's it.	1	the to my knowledge, is part of the U.S. Patent
2	Q. Okay.	2	and Trademark Office.
3	A. Off the top of my head.	3	Q. Okay. And you understand you're
4	Q. The other	4	testifying under oath today?
5	A. Oh, there was a wrongful death case.	5	A. Of course.
6	Q. Okay. The other matter that related	6	Q. So the testimony today is just as though
7	the antitrust matter that you mentioned, did that	7	you were in a courtroom giving it in front of a
8	relate to a drug?	8	judge or jury.
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Of course.
10	Q. What kind of drug was that?	10	Q. Okay. Any reason you can't give accurate
11	A. An antibiotic eye drop.	11	or truthful, complete testimony today?
12	Q. Who were the parties?	12	A. No.
13	A. Apotex and Allergan.	13	Q. What did you do to prepare for the
14	Q. And who did you represent in that case?	14	deposition today?
15	A. Well, I was engaged by Allergan excuse	15	A. I reviewed various documents. I met with
16	me by Apotex.	16	counsel, reviewed you know, obviously reviewed my
17	Q. Where was that case located, if you	17	declaration and others and prior art.
18	recall?	18	Q. When did you meet with counsel?
19	A. I think Delaware. But it never went to	19	A. Yesterday and the day before.
20	trial, so I don't know for sure.	20	Q. How long?
21	Q. What was the name of the product?	21	A. About eight hours each day.
22	A. Zymaxid.	22	Q. Okay. And who who was present at those
23	Q. Do you recall the time frame when that	23	meetings?
24	occurred?	24	A. Jad Mills. Anna Phillips. I think
25	A. It settled earlier this year.	25	Wendy Devine may have poked her head in for a brief
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Q. Okay. And generally	1	period.
2	A. You know what? I don't think I was	2	
		-	Q. Anyone else?
3	deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually	3	A. Not that I recall.
3 4			
	deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually	3	A. Not that I recall.
4	deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.	3 <b>4</b>	<ul><li>A. Not that I recall.</li><li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li></ul>
4 5	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report?</pre>	3 <b>4</b> 5	<ul><li>A. Not that I recall.</li><li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li><li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li></ul>
4 5 6	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.</pre>	3 <b>4</b> 5 <b>6</b>	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did</pre>	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every</li> </ul>
4 5 6 <b>7</b> 8 9	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?     A. Well, it's subject to a protective order</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?     A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?     A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?     A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?     A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.     Q. Did you provide a report?     A. Yeah.     Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?     A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug.     Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office? A. That's my understanding.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in preparation for the deposition?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office? A. That's my understanding. Q. And what do you understand an IPR to be?</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in preparation for the deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify.</li> <li>Q. Did you provide a report?</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to?</li> <li>A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office?</li> <li>A. That's my understanding.</li> <li>Q. And what do you understand an IPR to be?</li> <li>A. Well, my understanding of inter partes</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in preparation for the deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone at Mylan</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office? A. That's my understanding. Q. And what do you understand an IPR to be? A. Well, my understanding of inter partes review is it's a pathway where parties can challenge</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in preparation for the deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone at Mylan about this case?</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office? A. That's my understanding. Q. And what do you understand an IPR to be? A. Well, my understanding of inter partes review is it's a pathway where parties can challenge the validity of patents through rather than going</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in preparation for the deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone at Mylan about this case?</li> <li>A. Not to the best of my recollection.</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>deposed for that case, though. I didn't actually testify. Q. Did you provide a report? A. Yeah. Q. And, again, just at a high level, what did the report relate to? A. Well, it's subject to a protective order which I believe is still in effect. So what I think I can probably safely share is what I've seen in public press releases, that it was not my term but press term was a product switching case related to this drug. Q. Okay. You understand that this deposition relates to several what are called IPRs pending in the patent office? A. That's my understanding. Q. And what do you understand an IPR to be? A. Well, my understanding of inter partes review is it's a pathway where parties can challenge the validity of patents through rather than going through the court system, going through what's</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Anybody on the phone?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you recall what documents you reviewed?</li> <li>A. Well, I'm sure I can't remember every single thing. But, in general, I reviewed the various declarations in the case, the various not court, but the various PTAB documents such as institution, petition, response, order, and then the various prior art references.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Okay. Did you talk to anyone other than counsel in preparation for your deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you talk to anyone at Mylan in preparation for the deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever spoken to anyone at Mylan about this case?</li> <li>A. Not to the best of my recollection.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. We have handing you</li> </ul>

www.deposition.com

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Woodland Hills www.deposit: Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Page 14 Page 16 1 Dr. Calman? The drafting process generally included 1 2 Α. Yes. 2 sending drafts back and forth and changing them. 3 ٥. What is this? 3 BY MR. KANE: 4 Α. This is my declaration for the six IPR 4 Q. And did you -- did you originate the first 5 cases. 5 draft that was exchanged back and forth, or did counsel do the drafting? 6 Okay. And if you turn to page 55, that's ο. 6 I think there were parts of both. Parts 7 your electronic signature? 7 Α. 8 Α. Yes. 8 of it were initially written by me, and parts were 9 initially written by counsel, if I recall correctly. 9 And it's dated June 30th, 2017? ο. 10 10 I don't -- there were a lot of drafts. I don't have Α. Yes. 11 Q. And you understand this same declaration 11 a precise recollection. 12 was filed in all six of the IPR proceedings? 12 Okay. Let's take a quick look and hand ο. 13 Α. That is my understanding. 13 you another exhibit here, Dr. Calman. Okay. When did you begin working on the Handing you what's been marked previously 14 14 ο. 15 declaration for the IPRs? 15 as Exhibit 42. 16 Α. It would have been late May or early June, 16 Do you recognize this? I do. 17 to the best of my recollection. 17 Α. Okay. Do you recall approximately how Q. What is this? 18 Q. 18 19 much time you spent drafting the declaration? 19 Α. This is my CV. 20 A. I don't have a precise idea of that. I 20 And is this dated June 29, 2017? ο. 21 can give you an estimate. It's probably somewhere 21 Α. Yes. 22 between 20 and 30 hours. 22 ο. Was it accurate as of that date? 23 Okay. Did you draft the declaration 23 ο. Yes. Α. 24 yourself? 24 Have there been any changes? ο. 25 25 Α. Well, it was a -- it was a collaboration Α. Let me look. Page 15 Page 17 1 with counsel. 1 Not to the best of my knowledge. 2 And which counsel collaborated? 2 And -ο. ο. 3 WSGR. 3 Actually, there's one change. Let me look Α. Α. 4 at this. And I have changed this on my subsequent 4 Q. Anyone else? 5 Α. No, not unless -- not that I'm aware of. 5 versions. And which counsel at Wilson? 6 There are two hospitals here where I no 6 ο. 7 7 longer practice in the list of admitting privileges, I'm sorry? Α. 8 Which counsel at Wilson Sonsini? 8 and those are San Francisco General Hospital and ο. 9 Let's see. Jad Mills. Grace Winschel, 9 St. Mary's Hospital. Α. 10 W-I-N-S-C-H-E-L. Anna Phillips. Wendy Devine. I 10 Q. Okay. Thank you for that update. 11 think Jacqueline Altman may have been involved. 11 And when you say "practice," you are a practicing ophthalmologist, right? 12 And there may have been others involved, 12 13 but those are the ones that I'm aware of. 13 Correct. Α. 14 Q. And can you describe the drafting process 14 ο. And in preparing your analysis, you relied on lawyers for the legal principles that you were to 15 generally? 15 MR. MILLS: And I'm -- at this point, I'm incorporate? 16 16 17 just going to issue an instruction that, on the 17 Α. In part. 18 basis of work product and privilege, you should 18 And where else did you obtain information ο. 19 disclose in answering the questions posed to you 19 about the legal principles you were to use? 20 today your opinions as well as the bases for your 20 Α. I have done some background reading over 21 opinions, but you should not disclose the contents the last couple of years. 21 22 of any confidential communications you may have had In what -- can you explain what kind of 22 Q. 23 with counsel. 23 background reading you've done? THE WITNESS: So let me look at the 24 24 A. I actually enrolled in a course called 25 question again. 25 OmniPrep Patent Course, which I didn't complete, but

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Woodland Hills

www.deposition.com

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.