

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,
TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., and AKORN INC.
Petitioners,

v.

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE and ALLERGAN, INC.,
Patent Owners.

Case IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2); Case IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2);
Case IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2); Case IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2);
Case IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2); Case IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)¹

Before SHERIDAN K. SNEDDEN, TINA E. HULSE, and
CHRISTOPHER G. PAULRAJ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PER CURIAM.

ORDER
Rescheduling the Date for Oral Argument
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ Cases IPR2017-00576 and IPR2017-00594, IPR2017-00578 and IPR2017-00596, IPR2017-00579 and IPR2017-00598, IPR2017-00583 and IPR2017-00599, IPR2017-00585 and IPR2017-00600, and IPR2017-00586 and IPR2017-00601, have respectively been joined with the captioned proceedings. This Order addresses issues that are the same in the identified cases. Paper numbers and exhibits cited in this Order refer to those documents filed in IPR2016-01127.

IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2); IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2);
IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2); IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2);
IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2); IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

I. INTRODUCTION

This Order replaces our Order of August 11, 2017, scheduling oral argument for these cases to September 15, 2017. Paper 59. Oral argument for these cases is hereby rescheduled to April 3, 2018.

A. Time and Format

The hearing will commence at **1:00 PM Eastern Time on April 3, 2018**. The hearing will be open to the public, for in-person attendance, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. In-person attendance will be accommodated on a first come, first served basis.

Petitioners will have a combined 60 minutes to present argument in these cases. Patent Owners will have 60 minutes to respond. Petitioners bear the ultimate burden of proof that Patent Owners' claims at issue in these reviews are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioners will open the hearing by presenting their case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. After Petitioners' presentation, Patent Owners will respond to Petitioners' argument. Petitioners may reserve rebuttal time to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owners.

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. There will be only one transcript, which will be entered into each case. For the purposes of maintaining a clear record, however, the parties should clearly state whether certain arguments are applicable to all cases or whether the issue is limited to a particular case.

IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2); IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2);
IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2); IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2);
IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2); IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

B. Prohibition of New Arguments or Evidence

The parties are not permitted to raise new arguments or evidence at oral hearing. *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,768 (Aug. 14, 2012). Any new arguments or evidence will not be considered.

C. Demonstratives

As set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits shall be served on opposing counsel at least seven business days before the hearing. However, in regard to filing demonstrative exhibits, and in contrast to what is expressly stated in § 42.70(b), the parties shall file the demonstrative exhibits no later than two business days before the hearing, to allow the panel sufficient time to review the materials.

The panel reminds the parties that demonstrative exhibits are not evidence, but are intended to assist the parties in presenting their oral arguments to the Board. The panel will distinguish evidence in the record from argument appearing in demonstrative exhibits, and all arguments must be supported by evidence already of record. The panel also reminds the parties that demonstrative exhibits are not a mechanism for making arguments not previously addressed in the Papers. The panel will not consider arguments or evidence appearing only in demonstrative exhibits.

Due to the nature of the panel's consideration of demonstrative exhibits, the panel does not anticipate that objections to such exhibits would likely be sustained. Nevertheless, to the extent that the parties object to the propriety of any demonstrative exhibit, we expect that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits.

IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2); IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2);
IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2); IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2);
IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2); IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

If such objections cannot be resolved, the parties may file any objections to demonstratives with the Board at least two business days before the hearing. The objections should identify with particularity which portions of the demonstrative exhibits are subject to objection, include a copy of the objected-to portions, and include a one-sentence statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. We will consider any objections and schedule a conference call if deemed necessary. Otherwise, we will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived.

Finally, the parties are reminded that each presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and for the benefit of the judge(s) who will be participating electronically from USPTO Regional Offices.

D. Lead Counsel

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at the oral hearing, although any backup counsel may present the party's argument. If either lead counsel is unable to be present at the hearing, the Board shall be advised by email no later than two (2) business days prior to the oral hearing, and such lead counsel shall be available for a conference call if necessary.

IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2); IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2);
IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2); IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2);
IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2); IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

E. Audio/Visual Equipment Requests

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. **Requests for audio-visual equipment are to be made 5 days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not received timely, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.**

PETITIONER MYLAN:

Steven W. Parmelee

Michael T. Rosato

Jad A. Mills

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI

sparmelee@wsgr.com

mrosato@wsgr.com

jmills@wsgr.com

PETITIONER TEVA:

Gary Speier

Mark Schuman

CARLSON, CASPERS, VANDENBURH,

LINDQUIST & SCHUMAN, P.A.

gspeier@carlsoncaspers.com

mschuman@carlsoncaspers.com

PETITIONER AKORN:

Michael Dzwonczyk

Azadeh Kokabi

Travis Ribar

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

mdzwonczyk@sughrue.com

akokabi@sughrue.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.