

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA,
INC., and AKORN INC.,¹
Petitioners,

v.

SAINT REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01127 (8,685,930 B2)

Case IPR2016-01128 (8,629,111 B2)

Case IPR2016-01129 (8,642,556 B2)

Case IPR2016-01130 (8,633,162 B2)

Case IPR2016-01131 (8,648,048 B2)

Case IPR2016-01132 (9,248,191 B2)

**BRIEF *AMICI CURIAE* OF THE NATIONAL CONGRESS OF AMERICAN
INDIANS, NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING ASSOCIATION, AND THE
UNITED SOUTH AND EASTERN TRIBES IN SUPPORT OF PATENT
HOLDER THE ST. REGIS MOHAWK TRIBE'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

¹ Cases IPR2017-00576 and IPR2017-00594, IPR2017-00578 and IPR 2017-00596, IPR2017-00579 and IPR2017-00598, IPR2017-00583 and IPR2017-00599, IPR2017-00585 and IPR 2017-00600, and IPR2017-00586 and IPR2017-00601 have respectively been joined with the captioned proceedings. The word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the caption pursuant to the Board's Scheduling Order.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i>	1
ARGUMENT	2
I. FEDERAL, STATE, TRIBAL, AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY FROM SUIT SHARE THE SAME COMMON LAW ORIGIN AND GENERAL RULES OF INTERPRETATION	2
A. The Shared Common Law Origin	2
B. The General Rules of Interpretation.....	7
1. Scope of Immunity.....	7
2. Immunity Waivers and Abrogation	9
II. BECAUSE THERE IS NO WAIVER OR ABROGATION APPLICABLE HERE, THE TRIBE IS IMMUNE FROM SUIT	11
III. ALTERNATIVELY, BEFORE DECIDING THE TRIBAL SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ISSUE IN THIS IPR, THE BOARD SHOULD AWAIT FORTHCOMING GUIDANCE FROM THE SUPREME COURT AND CONGRESS	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Alden v. Maine</i> , 527 U.S. 706 (1999).....	4, 6
<i>Allen v. Gold Country Casino</i> , 464 F.3d 1044 (9th Cir. 2006)	7
<i>Amerind Risk Mgmt Corp. v. Malaterre</i> , 633 F.3d 680 (8th Cir. 2011)	12
<i>Atascadero State Hosp. v. Scanlon</i> , 473 U.S. 234 (1985).....	10
<i>Berizzi Bros. Co. v. The Pesaro</i> , 271 U.S. 562 (1926).....	8
<i>Blatchford v. Native Village of Noatak</i> , 501 U.S. 775 (1991).....	6
<i>C & L Enterprises v. Citizen Band Potawatomi Tribe of Okla.</i> , 532 U.S. 411 (2001).....	9, 10
<i>Cohens v. Virginia</i> , 19 U.S. 264 (1821).....	4
<i>Cty. of Suffolk v. First Am. Real Estate Solutions</i> , 261 F.3d 179 (2nd Cir. 2001)	14
<i>Dawavendewa v. Salt River Project Ag. Improvement and Power Dist.</i> , 276 F.3d 1150 (9th Cir. 2002)	12
<i>F.D.I.C. v. Meyer</i> , 510 U.S. 471 (1994).....	7
<i>Fed. Maritime Comm’n v. S.C. State Ports Auth.</i> , 535 U.S. 743 (2002).....	8

<i>Fed. Treasury Enter. Sojuzplodoimport v. SPI Spirits Ltd.</i> , 726 F.3d 62 (2nd Cir. 2013)	13
<i>Fla. Prepaid Postsecondary Educ. Expense Bd. v. Coll. Sav. Bank</i> , 527 U.S. 627 (1999).....	7, 8
<i>Hans v. Louisiana</i> , 134 U.S. 1 (1890).....	12
<i>Hill v. United States</i> , 50 U.S. 386 (1850).....	3
<i>The Home Bingo Network v. Multimedia Games, Inc.</i> , 2005 WL 2098056 (N.D.N.Y. Aug. 30, 2005).....	14
<i>Kiowa Tribe of Okla. v. Mfg. Technologies, Inc.</i> , 523 U.S. 751 (1998).....	5, 8, 10
<i>Lane v. Pena</i> , 518 U.S. 187 (1996).....	9
<i>Larson v. Domestic & Foreign Commerce Corp.</i> , 337 U.S. 682 (1949).....	8
<i>Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Cmty.</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2024 (2014).....	4, 5
<i>Microlog Corp. v. Cont'l Airlines</i> , 2011 WL 13141413 (E.D. Tex. July 22, 2011).....	14
<i>Nat'l Labor Relations Bd. v. Fortune Bay Resort Casino</i> , 688 F. Supp. 2d 858 (D. Minn. 2010)	8
<i>Oil States Energy Serv. v. Greene's Energy Grp.</i> , 639 Fed. App'x. 639 (Fed. Cir. 2016), <i>cert. granted</i> , 85 U.S.L.W. 3575 (U.S. June 12, 2017) (No. 16-712)	15
<i>Okla. Tax Comm'n v. Citizen Band Potawatomi Indian Tribe of Okla.</i> , 498 U.S. 505 (1991).....	11

...

<i>Parden v. Terminal Ry. of the Ala. State Docks Dep't</i> , 377 U.S. 184 (1964).....	8
<i>Parks v. Ross</i> , 52 U.S. 362 (1850).....	5
<i>Santa Clara Pueblo v. Martinez</i> , 436 U.S. 49 (1978).....	6, 10
<i>Sault Ste. Marie Tribe of Chippewa Indians</i> , 2017 WL 1505329 (W.D. Mich. Apr. 27, 2017).....	9
<i>The Schooner Exchange v. McFadden</i> , 11 U.S. 116 (1812).....	4
<i>Seminole Tribe of Fla. v. Florida</i> , 517 U.S. 44 (2006).....	12
<i>Shingle Springs Band of Miwok Indians v. Caballero</i> , 630 Fed. App'x. 708 (9th Cir. 2015).....	13
<i>Sossamon v. Texas</i> , 563 U.S. 277 (2011).....	9
<i>Specialty House of Creation, Inc. v. Quapaw Tribe of Okla.</i> , 2011 WL 308903 (N.D. Okla. Jan. 27, 2011).....	14
<i>Tuolumne Band of Me-Wuk Indians v. Baca</i> , No. 03-6363 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 14, 2005).....	13
<i>United States ex rel. Cain v. Salish Kootenai College, Inc.</i> , 862 F.3d 939 (9th Cir. 2017).....	12
<i>United States v. Lee</i> , 106 U.S. 196 (1882).....	5
<i>United States v. McLemore</i> , 45 U.S. 286 (1846).....	3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.