

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Apple Inc.,  
Petitioner,

v.

Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-01114  
Patent No. 7,777,753

---

**PETITIONER'S REPLY**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....1

II. Challenge #3 (*Bowes*, Datasheet, *Artieri*, and *Christiansen*)  
Teaches or Suggests Each and Every Limitation of Claim 7 in  
Combination .....3

A. The Prior Art Teaches an “arbiter included in the  
memory interface circuit of the decoder.”.....4

B. Patent Owner’s “in the path to memory” Argument is  
Unpersuasive .....5

C. The Evidence Confirms that *Bowes*’ Arbiter is included  
in the Memory Interface Circuit of *Bowes*’ DSP .....7

D. Though Not Required by the Adopted Claim  
Construction, *Bowes* Suggests that Arbiter Logic Can Be  
Included in Other Logic .....10

E. *Christiansen* Also Suggests Co-Location of Arbitration  
Logic with the DSP. ....15

III. The combination of *Bowes*, Datasheet, *Artieri*, *Christiansen*,  
and *Arimilli* renders claims 8 and 10 obvious. ....17

IV. The combination of *Bowes*, Datasheet, *Artieri*, and *Christiansen*  
renders claim 9 obvious. ....17

V. The combination of *Bowes*, Datasheet, *Artieri*, *Christiansen*,  
and *Gove* renders claim 12 obvious.....17

VI. Conclusion .....18

**Petitioner's Updated Exhibit List**  
**June 9, 2017**

Number	Exhibit
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753
Ex. 1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Robert Colwell, Ph.D., Under 37 C.F.R. §1.68
Ex. 1004	Curriculum Vitae of Robert Colwell, Ph.D.
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 5,546,547 to Bowes <i>et al.</i> (“Bowes”)
Ex. 1006	“AT&T DSP3210 Digital Signal Processor The Multimedia Solution” Data Sheet March 1993 (“Datasheet”)
Ex. 1007	Published European Patent Application EP 0626653 A1 naming Artieri, together with English translation and affidavit attesting to the accuracy of the translation (“Artieri”)
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent No. 6,029,217 to Arimilli <i>et al.</i> (“Arimilli”)
Ex. 1009	R. Gove, “The MVP: A Highly-Integrated Video Compression Chip”, IEEE 1994 (“Gove”)
Ex. 1010	T. Shanley et al., “PCI System Architecture”, Addison–Wesley Publ’g Co. (3 <sup>rd</sup> ed. Feb. 1995) (“Shanley”)
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 5,787,264 to Christiansen <i>et al.</i> (“Christiansen”)
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 to Tahara (“Tahara”)
Ex. 1013	Claim Construction Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-CV-00902 (E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1014	File History of U.S. Patent No. 5,752,073
Ex. 1015	“ISO/IEC 11172-2:1993 Information technology – Coding of moving pictures and associated audio for digital storage media at up to about 1,5Mbits/s- Part 2: Video;” 1 <sup>st</sup> ed., August 1, 1993 (“MPEG Standard”)
Ex. 1016	Texas Instruments, Inc., Houston, TX, “TMS320C8x System Level Synopsis,” (1995) (Literature Ref. SPRU113B) (“TMS”)
Ex. 1017	“Pentium and Pentium Pro Processors and Related Products”, ISBN 1-55512-265-5

Ex. 1018	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, <i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , case no. 2:15-cv-632-JRG-RSP (Feb. 16, 2016, E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1019	VESA Unified Memory Architecture Hardware Specifications Proposal, Version 1.0p
Ex. 1020	Institution Decision, IPR2015-01501 (U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753)
Ex. 1021	Bader Declaration (including Appendix A & B)
Ex. 1022	Institution Decision, IPR2015-01502 (U.S. Patent No. 7,542,045)
Ex. 1023	Joint Claim Construction Chart, <i>Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , case no. 2:15-cv-632-JRG-RSP (April 4, 2016, E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1024	Microprocessor Report, MPEG Choices for PCs Abound (July 31, 1995).
Ex. 1025	Declaration of Yakov Zolotorev in Support of Motion for <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Admission
Ex. 1026	Transcript of Teleconference Hearing, IPR2016-01114, IPR2016-01118 and IPR2016-01134 (February 27, 2017)
Ex. 1027	Deposition Testimony of Mitchell A. Thornton, Ph.D., P.E. (May 22, 2017)
Ex. 1028	Reply Declaration of Robert Colwell, Ph.D., Under 37 C.F.R. §1.68

**I. Introduction**

The Petition and trial record provide detailed reasons why a person of skill in the art (“POSITA”) would have understood *Bowes* and *Christiansen* to teach or suggest an arbiter included in the “memory interface circuit” of the decoder, as recited in claims 7-10 and 12 of the ’753 Patent. None of Patent Owner’s arguments overcome the express teachings of *Bowes* and *Christiansen*, and Patent Owner’s Response does not provide a persuasive rebuttal of Petitioner’s unpatentability showing. Accordingly, the Board should confirm unpatentability of claims 7-10 and 12 in its Final Written Decision.

The record shows that a POSITA would have understood that bus arbitration unit logic 240 in *Bowes*’ MCA 200 controls whether the DSP 20 or another agent has access to shared memory via the memory bus. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 1003, p. 110. Accordingly, that arbiter logic and its associated request/grant signaling paths are “hardware, including signaling paths to or from ... an arbiter, to coordinate communication via a memory bus,” and are in the “memory interface circuit”<sup>1</sup> of

---

<sup>1</sup> *See* Institution Decision (Paper 7), pp. 13-14 (adopting construction of “memory interface circuit” to mean “hardware, including signaling paths to or from a competing device or an arbiter, to coordinate communication via a memory bus”).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.