

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

<i>In re</i> patent of Owen <i>et al.</i>	§	Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review
	§	
U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753	§	Attorney Docket No.: 52959.21
	§	Customer No.: 27683
Issued: Aug. 17, 2010	§	
	§	Real Party in Interest:
Title: Electronic System and Method For Selectively Allowing Access To A Shared Memory	§	Apple Inc.
	§	
	§	

**Declaration of Robert Colwell, Ph.D.**

**Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68**

## Table of Contents

I. Introduction.....	5
II. Qualifications and Professional Experience.....	7
III. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	10
IV. Relevant Legal Standards .....	11
V. The '753 Patent.....	12
A. Overview.....	12
B. History of the '753 Patent.....	19
VI. Claim Construction.....	20
VII. Overview of References .....	30
A. Overview of Bowes (U.S. Patent No. 5,546,547) .....	30
B. Overview of DSP3210 Data Sheet .....	33
C. Overview of Artieri (translation of EP 0626653).....	36
D. Overview of Arimilli (U.S. Patent No. 6,029,217) .....	39
E. Overview of Gove .....	40
F. Overview of Shanley .....	42
G. Overview of Christiansen (U.S. Patent No. 5,787,264).....	42
H. Overview of Tahara.....	43
VIII. Challenge #1: Claims 1 and 2 are invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Bowes as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet and in view of Artieri and Arimilli. ....	44
A. Bowes' DSP as a "video circuit" [or "decoder"] .....	48
B. Use of a shared "main memory" was known: .....	59
C. An arbiter circuit configured for "queuing," as recited in claims 1 (see also claim 8) was known .....	64

D.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, and Artieri.....	67
E.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri, and Arimilli.	69
F.	Detailed Analysis: Claims 1-2.....	71
IX.	Claim 3 is invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Bowes as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet and in view of Artieri and Arimilli in further view of Tahara.....	89
A.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri, and Arimilli in further view of Tahara .....	90
B.	Detailed Analysis of Claim 3 .....	91
X.	Challenge #2: Claim 4 is invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Bowes, as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet and in view of Artieri and Arimilli in further view of Shanley.....	92
A.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri, and Arimilli in further view of Shanley .....	92
B.	Detailed Analysis of Claim 4 .....	94
XI.	Challenge #3: Claim 7 is invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Bowes, as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet, and in view of Artieri, in further view of Christiansen.....	95
A.	An Arbiter included in the Memory Interface Circuit of the Decoder.....	95
B.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri and Christiansen .....	99
C.	Detailed Analysis Claim 7.....	100
XII.	Challenge #4 – Claims 8 and 10 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Bowes as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet, in view of Artieri and Christiansen, and in further view of Arimilli.....	110
A.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri, Christiansen, and Arimilli.....	111
B.	Detailed Analysis of Claim 8 .....	111

C.	Detailed Analysis of Claim 10 .....	114
1.	Further Reasons to Combine Christiansen.....	114
2.	Claim 10 Detailed Analysis.....	116
XIII.	Challenge #5 – Claim 9 is invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Bowes as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet and in view of Artieri and Christiansen, in further view of Shanley.....	117
A.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri, and Christiansen in further view of Shanley .....	117
B.	Detailed Analysis of Claim 9 .....	118
XIV.	Challenge #6: Claim 12 is invalid under 35 U.S.C § 103 over Bowes as informed by the DSP3210 Data Sheet and in view of Artieri and Christiansen, in further view of Gove.....	118
A.	Reasons to Combine Bowes, DSP3210 Data Sheet, Artieri, and Christiansen in further view of Gove .....	119
B.	Detailed Analysis of Claim 12 .....	120
XV.	Declaration.....	123

## **I. Introduction**

I, Robert Colwell, Ph.D., declare:

1. I am making this declaration at the request of Apple Inc. in the matter of the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,777,753 (“the ’753 Patent”) to Owen *et al.*

2. I am being compensated for my work in this matter. I am also being reimbursed for reasonable and customary expenses associated with my work and testimony in this investigation. My compensation is not contingent on the outcome of this matter or the specifics of my testimony.

3. In the preparation of this declaration, I have studied:

- (1) The ’753 Patent, Exhibit 1001;
- (2) The prosecution history of the ’753 Patent, Exhibit 1002;
- (3) U.S. Patent No. 5,546,547 to Bowes *et al.* (“Bowes”), Exhibit 1005;
- (4) “AT&T DSP3210 Digital Signal Processor The Multimedia Solution” Data Sheet March 1993 (“DSP3210 Data Sheet”), Exhibit 1006
- (5) EP 0626653 to Artieri, English translation, Exhibit 1007
- (6) U.S. Patent No. 6,029,217 to Arimilli *et al.* (“Arimilli”), Exhibit 1008

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.