

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

~~TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC.,~~ DR. REDDY'S
LABORATORIES, LTD. AND DR. REDDY'S
LABORATORIES, INC.,

Petitioner

~~v.~~ Petitione

rs, v.

MONOSOL RX, LLC, Patent Owner,

~~U.S. Patent No. 8,017,150~~

Case No. IPR2016:~~Unassigned-XXXXX~~

DECLARATION OF ~~NANDITA DAS, Ph.D.~~ RUSSELL J. MUMPER,
Ph.D. IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,017,150

“POLYETHYLENE OXIDE-BASED FILMS AND DRUG DELIVERY
SYSTEMS MADE THEREFROM”

~~DRI - EXHIBIT NO.~~

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>I. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND</u>	
<u>A. Education and Experience; Prior Testimony.....</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>B. Basis for Opinion and Materials Considered.....</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>C. Scope of Work.....</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>II. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>III. LEGAL STANDARDS.....</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>IV. PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>V. THE '150 PATENT</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>A. Relevant Prosecution History of the '150 Patent</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>B. Priority Date of The '150 Patent.....</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>VI. BACKGROUND AND TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>A. Mucosally-Adhesive Drug Delivery Systems</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>B. Polymers</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>C. Molecular Weight of Polymers</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>D. Oral Films as Delivery Systems</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>1. Common Components of Oral Films</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>2. Determining the Ratios of Polymer Film Components.....</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>VII. SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART REFERENCES.....</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>A. Scope and Content Of The Prior Art As Of October 12, 2001</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>WO2000/42992 ("Chen") (Ex. 1021)</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>U.S. Application Pub. No. 2002/0147201 ("Chen II")</u>	

	<u>(Ex. 1049).....</u>	<u>47</u>
	<u>U.S. Patent No. 4,713,243 (“Schiraldi”) (Ex. 1004).....</u>	<u>48</u>
	<u>U.S. Patent No. 6,322,811 (“Verma”) (Ex. 1005).....</u>	<u>50</u>
	<u>U.S. Patent No. 5,656,296 (“Khan”).....</u>	<u>51</u>
B.	<u>Summary of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art.....</u>	<u>51</u>
	<u>1. Mucosally-adhesive water-soluble film products.....</u>	<u>51</u>
	<u>2. PEO in combination with cellulosic polymers⁶.....</u>	<u>52</u>
	<u>3. Films containing PEOs of varying molecular weights.....</u>	<u>52</u>
C.	<u>Scope And Content of the Prior Art as of April 22, 2008.....</u>	<u>53</u>
	<u>U.S. Patent App. No. 2005/0037055 (“Yang”) (Ex. 1006).....</u>	<u>53</u>
VIII.	<u>INVALIDITY OF THE ’150 PATENT.....</u>	<u>54</u>
A.	<u>Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 12-14, and 15-18 are Obvious over Chen in View of Schiraldi, Claims 6 and 14 are Obvious over Chen in View of Schiraldi and Additionally in View of Chen II.....</u>	<u>54</u>
B.	<u>Claims 1, 4-5, 8, 10, 13-14, and 17 Are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma.....</u>	<u>59</u>
	<u>1. Claims 4 and 13 are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma.....</u>	<u>64</u>
	<u>2. Claims 5, 8, 14 and 17 are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma.....</u>	<u>64</u>
C.	<u>Claims 6-7, 9, 15-16, and 18 Are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma and Khan.....</u>	<u>65</u>
	<u>1. Claims 6 and 15 are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma and Khan.....</u>	<u>65</u>
	<u>2. Claims 7 and 16 are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma and Khan.....</u>	<u>65</u>

3. **Claims 9 and 18 are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma and Khan.....65**

D. **The Challenged Claims Would Have Been Obvious As Of April 22, 2008 Over Yang65**

1. **Claims 4-9 and 13-18 Would Have Been Obvious as of April 22, 2008 Over Yang.....66**

IX. **CONCLUSION67**

1. My name is ~~Nandita Das~~Russell J. Mumper. I have been retained by counsel for Petitioner ~~Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. (“Teva”)~~Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Inc. and Dr. Reddy’s Laboratories, Ltd. (collectively – “Dr. Reddy’s”). I understand that ~~Teva~~Dr. Reddy’s is petitioning for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,017,150 (the “’150 patent”), which is owned by MonoSol RX, LLC. I further understand that ~~Teva~~Dr. Reddy’s will request that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) cancel certain claims of the ’150 patent as unpatentable. I submit this expert declaration, which addresses and supports ~~Teva~~Dr. Reddy’s petition.

~~I. Qualifications and Background~~

I. QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND

A. ~~A. Education and Experience; Prior Testimony~~

2. Currently, I am ~~an Associate Professor of Pharmaceutics at Butler~~the Vice Provost for Academic Affairs for University of Georgia at Athens, with ~~over 15~~more than 25 years of combined research, product development and teaching experience ~~teaching in the~~ pharmaceutical sciences. I have been on the faculty at ~~Butler~~ University of Georgia in Athens, Georgia since ~~2004 with a full-time campus-based tenure-track faculty position since 2005. I was granted tenure and promoted to Associate Professor in Spring 2012.~~2014, where I hold full Professor positions (with tenure) in both the College of Pharmacy and the College of Engineering. Prior to my ~~time~~employment at ~~Butler University, I was~~

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.