

Filed on behalf of Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd.
and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc.

By: Jeffery B. Arnold
jarnold@cantorcolburn.co
m Cantor Colburn LLP
1180 Peachtree Street, Suite 2050 Atlanta,
Georgia 30309 Telephone: (404) 607-9991
Facsimile: (404) 607-9981

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

~~TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA INC., DR. REDDY'S~~
LABORATORIES, LTD. AND DR. REDDY'S
LABORATORIES, INC.,

Petitioner
~~v.~~ Petitione

rs, v.

MONOSOL RX, LLC, Patent Owner ~~U.S.~~

Patent No. 8,017,150 Issue Date: September 13, 2011
Title: POLYETHYLENE OXIDE-BASED FILMS AND DRUG
DELIVERY SYSTEMS MADE THEREFROM
~~Case~~ Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016: Unassigned-XXXXX

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT
NO. 8,017,150**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>BACKGROUND</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Brief Overview of the '150 Patent</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Brief Overview of the Prosecution History</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>GROUND FOR STANDING 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Real Party-In-Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Related Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2))</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Lead and Backup Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3))</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4))</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHALLENGE (§ 42.104(b))</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '150 PATENT</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>CLAIM CONSTRUCTION</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>THE '150 PATENT PRIORITY DATE</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>The Prior Art as of May 28, 2003</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>WO 2000/042992 (“Chen”) (Ex. 1021)</u>	<u>23</u>
<u>U.S. Patent No. 4,713,243 (“Schiraldi”) (Ex. 1004)</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>U.S. Application Pub. No. 2002/0147201 (“Chen II”) (Ex. 1049)</u>	<u>26</u>

..

<u>U.S. Patent No. 6,322,811 (“Verma”) (Ex. 1005)</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>U.S. Patent No. 5,656,296 (“Khan”) (Ex. 1046)</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>The Prior Art as of April 22, 2008</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>U.S. Patent App. No. 2005/0037055 (“Yang”) (Ex. 1006)</u>	<u>28</u>
<u>DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Ground 1: Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, And 12-14, and 16-18 Are Obvious Over Chen In View Of Schiraldi And Claims 6 and 15 Are Obvious Over Chen In View Of Schiraldi And Additionally In View Of Chen II</u>	<u>30</u>
<u>Claim Chart: Chen in view of Schiraldi</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Ground 2: Claims 1, 4-5, 8, 10, 13-14, and 17 Are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Claim Chart: Schiraldi in View of Verma</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Ground 3: Claims 6-7, 9, 15-16, and 18 Are Obvious Over Schiraldi in View of Verma and Khan</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Ground 4: Claims 1, 4-10, and 13-18 Are Obvious Over Yang</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Claim Chart: Yang</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>CONCLUSION</u>	<u>58</u>

...

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Reference
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,017,150 (filed Apr. 22, 2008)
1002	File History, U.S. Patent No. 8,017,150
1003	Expert Declaration of Nandita Das Russell J. Mumper , Ph.D. In Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,017,150
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,713,243 (filed June 16, 1986) (“Schiraldi”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,322,811 (issued November 27, 2001) (“Verma”)
1006	U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. US 2005/0037055 (published February 17, 2005) (“Yang”)
1007	[Intentionally Left Blank]
1008	E.W. Flick, <i>Water-Soluble Resins – An Industrial Guide</i> (2nd ed. 1991) (“Flick”)
1009	Joint Claim Construction Chart, <i>Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.</i> , CA No. 14-1451-RGA (November 17, 2015), D.I. 91
1010	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/328,868
1011	U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/473,902
1012	[Intentionally Left Blank]
1013	Proposed Joint Pre-Trial Order, <i>Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Watson Laboratories, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 13-cv-0167-RGA, D.I. 347
1014	<i>Reckitt Benckiser Pharmaceuticals Inc. et al v. Watson Laboratories, Inc et al</i>, CA No. 13-1674-RGA, D.I. 364 (“Proposed
1015	[Intentionally Left Blank]
Exhibit No.	Reference

1016	Trial Transcript, <i>Reckitt Benckiser Inc. v. Watson Labs., Inc.</i> , CA No. 14-1574-RGA (Nov. 3-4, 2015) (“Trial Tr.”)
1017	U.S. App. No. 10/856,176
1018	R. Anders & H.P. Merkle, <i>Evaluation of Laminated Muco-Adhesive Patches for Buccal Drug Delivery</i> , 49 Int’l J. Pharmaceutics 231 (1989) (“Anders”)
1019	Viralkumar F. Patel <i>et al.</i> , <i>Advances in Oral Transmucosal Drug Delivery</i> , 153 J. Controlled Release 106 (2011)
1020	U.S. Patent No. 5,948,430 (filed August 1, 1997) (“Zerbe”)
1021	WO 00/42992 (published July 27, 2000) (“Chen-I”)
1022	Guo & Zerbe, <i>Water-Soluble Film for Oral Administration</i> , 13th International Symposium on Controlled Release of Bioactive Materials, 227 (1997) (“Guo”)
1023	EP No. 0,090,560 (published May 3, 1989) (“Mitra”)
1024	U.S. Patent No. 4,849,246 (issued July 18, 1989) (“Schmidt”)
1025	U.S. Patent No. 6,552,024 (filed November 5, 1999) (“Chen-
1026	S. Le Person <i>et al.</i> , <i>Near Infrared Drying of Pharmaceutical Thin Films: Experimental Analysis of Internal Mass Transport</i> , 37 Chemical Engineering & Processing 257 (1998) (“Le Person”)
1027	Gary DeGrande <i>et al.</i> , <i>Specialized Oral Mucosal Drug Delivery Systems</i> , in <i>Oral Mucosal Drug Delivery</i> (James Swarbrick, ed., 1995)
1028	A. Apicella <i>et al.</i> , <i>Poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) and Different Molecular Weight PEO Blends Monolithic Devices for Drug Release</i> , 14(2) Biomaterials 83 (1993) (“Apicella”)
1029	Hans P. Merkle <i>et al.</i> , <i>Mucoadhesive Buccal Patches for Peptide Delivery</i> , in <i>Bioadhesive Drug Delivery Systems</i> (Vincent Lenaerts & Robert Gurny, eds., 1990)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.