

Bioadhesive Drug Delivery Systems

Editors

Vincent Lenaerts, Ph.D.

Professor

Faculty of Pharmacy
University of Montreal
Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Robert Gurny, Ph.D.

Professor

School of Pharmacy
University of Geneva
Geneva, Switzerland

1990



CRC Press, Inc.
Boca Raton, Florida



Library of Congress Cataloging-in Publication Data

Bioadhesive drug delivery systems / editors, Vincent Lenaerts, Robert Gurny

p. cm.

Includes bibliographies and index.

ISBN 0-8493-5367-X

1. Bioadhesive drug delivery systems. I. Lenaerts, Vincent.

II. Gurny, Robert.

[DNLM: 1. Dosage Forms. 2. Drug Administration Routes. QV 785

B6114]

RS201.B54B56 1990

615.5'8—dc20

DNLM/DLC

for Library of Congress

89-7256
CIP

This book represents information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reprinted material is quoted with permission, and sources are indicated. A wide variety of references are listed. Every reasonable effort has been made to give reliable data and information, but the author and the publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or for the consequences of their use.

All rights reserved. This book, or any parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written consent from the publisher.

Direct all inquiries to CRC Press, Inc., 2000 Corporate Blvd., N.W., Boca Raton, Florida, 33431.

© 1990 by CRC Press, Inc.

International Standard Book Number 0-8493-5367-X

Library of Congress Card Number 89-7256

Printed in the United States

Chapter 6

MUCOADHESIVE BUCCAL PATCHES FOR PEPTIDE DELIVERY

Hans P. Merkle, Reinhold Anders, and Aloys Wermerskirchen

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	106
II.	Relevant Anatomy and Physiology of the Oral Mucosa	107
III.	Dosage Form Design for Oral Mucosal Application	109
	A. Conventional Dosage Forms.....	109
	B. Adhesive Dosage Forms.....	109
	1. Adhesive Polymers	109
	2. Adhesive Tablets.....	109
	3. Adhesive Gels	109
	4. Adhesive Patches	110
IV.	<i>In Vivo</i> Adhesion and Release of Adhesive Hydrocolloid Patches	112
	A. Materials and Patch Preparations	112
	1. Materials.....	112
	2. Preparation of Adhesive Patches	113
	B. Mucosal Adhesion of Adhesive Patches <i>In Vivo</i>	113
	1. Experimental Procedure.....	113
	2. General Observations with Adhesive Patches	113
	3. Duration of Mucosal Adhesion.....	114
	C. <i>In Vivo</i> Drug and Polymer Release from Adhesive Patches	116
	1. Experimental Technique	116
	2. <i>In Vivo</i> Drug Release	116
	a. Effects of Polymer, Viscosity Grade of Polymer, and Polymer Load on <i>In Vivo</i> Release.....	116
	b. Between-Subject Variations of <i>In Vivo</i> Drug Release	117
	c. Correlation of Polymer Dissolution and Drug Release	118
V.	<i>In Vitro</i> Adhesion Techniques	118
VI.	<i>In Vitro</i> Adhesion of Adhesive Hydrocolloid Patches	121
	A. Materials and Patch Preparation	122
	B. <i>In Vitro</i> Adhesive Stress Measurement	122
	1. Adhesion Test	122
	2. Adhesion Profiles	122
	a. HEC.....	122
	b. Other Polymers: HPC, PVA, and PVP.....	125
	3. Mechanistic View of Adhesion Test	127
	a. Dynamic Stress Relaxation of Adhesive Polymers	127
	b. Static Stress Relaxation of Adhesive Polymers	130

C.	<i>In Vitro</i> Adhesion to Porcine Colon Mucosa	131
D.	Evaluation of Polymers for Maximum Adhesion Capacity.....	132
	1. Effect of Polymer	132
	2. Effect of Polymer Load	132
	3. Effect of Viscosity Grade of Polymer.....	133
E.	Comparison of <i>In Vivo</i> and <i>In Vitro</i> Adhesion Data.....	133
References.....		134

I. INTRODUCTION

Due to an increasing supply of potent peptide and protein drugs, the biopharmaceutical sciences are presently faced with an urgent need to develop alternative dosage forms for nonparenteral absorption. Among the nonparenteral sites suitable for administering peptides and proteins are the mucosae of the nasal, buccal, vaginal, rectal, and even ocular routes. The currently most popular site is the nasal pathway. According to various reports, e.g., reviewed by Su and Campanale¹ and Su et al.,² it represents the route of choice, mainly because of its superior permeability to peptides as compared to the other mucosal sites.

However, the nasal site does have distinct limitations. Upon long-term treatment, there might be a risk for pathologic changes of the nasal mucosa;² the drug or a preservative added to the preparation might interfere with the ciliary activity of the membrane, as shown by Van de Donk and co-workers.³ Moreover, there is a debate on the consequences of vast individual variations in mucus secretion and turnover on the extent and rate of nasal absorption; in addition, proteases and peptidases present in the mucus or associated with the nasal membrane may act as a dense enzymatic barrier to peptide absorption.^{4,5} It may thus be concluded that in spite of many promising aspects the nasal route may have its shortcomings and not be the only answer to peptide absorption problems.

Information on the buccal absorption of peptides is still rather scarce, except for a broad body of knowledge on the buccal absorption of oxytocin, e.g., by Wespi and Rehsteiner,⁶ Bergsjö and Jenssen,⁷ and Sjöstedt,⁸ dating back to the 1960s. Moreover, for many conventional drugs, the oral mucosa has been an established absorption site. Recently more peptides were investigated, and it was shown that the buccal mucosa might provide a useful absorption site, mainly restricted to small peptides.⁹⁻¹⁴ Data are also available for vasopressin analogs and insulin.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ However, as compared to other alternative peptide absorption sites, such as the rectal, nasal, and vaginal mucosa, much less information is available for the oral mucosa.

In terms of permeability, in addition to the nasal mucosa, even the rectal and the vaginal mucosae seem to be preferable to the buccal site. On the other hand, what makes the oral mucosa, mainly the buccal, the labial, and the sublingual sites rather attractive for peptide delivery is the combination of several aspects:

- Excellent accessibility
- High patient acceptance and compliance
- Significant robustness of mucosa

Because of the excellent accessibility of the oral mucosa, appropriate dosage forms can

be easily attached and removed at any time, if necessary. Moreover, application is usually painless and without significant discomfort whatsoever. Since patients are well adapted to the oral administration of drugs in general, the acceptance of buccal or sublingual dosage forms should be good, and there should be a high compliance as well. According to its natural function, the oral mucosa is routinely exposed to a multitude of different foreign compounds and, therefore, is supposed to be rather robust and less prone to irreversible irritation or damage by the drug, the dosage form, or the additives, e.g., absorption promoters, used therein. In addition, there is no sex-specificity involved as with the vaginal absorption. Moreover, nasal and vaginal secretions and mucus flow are subject to rather pronounced variations, both in qualitative as well as quantitative terms. On the other hand, with respect to proteolytic enzymes present in the mucosal membrane or fluid there is no principal difference or advantage of the oral mucosa in comparison to the other sites.

Therefore, in spite of the undoubtedly higher natural permeability of the rectal, the vaginal, and especially the nasal mucosa, the buccal route appears to be a rather attractive one, but appropriate dosage forms have to be provided, and efficient absorption promoters should be found to increase its permeability.

II. RELEVANT ANATOMY AND PHYSIOLOGY OF THE ORAL MUCOSA

The oral cavity is lined by a relatively thick, dense, and multilayered mucous membrane of a highly-vascularized nature. Drug penetrating into the membrane can find access to the systemic circulation via nets of capillaries and arteries. The arterial flow is supplied by branches of the external carotid artery. The venous backflow goes via capillaries and a venous net is finally taken up by the jugular veins. The equally well developed lymphatic drainage runs more or less parallel to the venous vascularization and ends up in the jugular ducts.

As compared to the relatively thin nasal mucosa with only a few cell layers to be penetrated before uptake by the systemic circulation takes place, the oral mucosa with its multilayered structure appears to be much more resistant against penetration of drugs.

The epithelium of the oral cavity is in principle similar to that of the skin, with interesting differences regarding keratinization and the protective and lubricant mucus spread across its surface. The total area is about 100 cm.^{2,18} The buccal part with about one third of the total surface is lined with an epithelium of about 0.5 mm thickness, and the rest by one of 0.25 mm thickness.¹⁹ The multilayered structure of the oral mucosa is formed by cell divisions, which occur mainly in the basal layer. As reviewed by Jarrett,²⁰ the mucosa of the oral cavity can be divided into three functional zones. First, the mucus-secreting regions (consisting of the soft palate, the floor of the mouth, the under-surface of the tongue, and the labial and buccal mucosa) have a normally nonkeratinized epithelium. These regions are supposed to represent the major absorption sites in the oral cavity. Second, the hard palate and the gingiva are the regions of the masticatory mucosa and have a normally keratinized epidermis. Third, specialized zones are the borders of the lips and the dorsal surface of the tongue with its highly selective keratinization.

An important feature of the oral mucosa as a mucous membrane is the turnover of the cells, which is definitely greater — ranging from 3 up to 8 days for a complete turnover — than that of the skin epidermis (ca. 30 days). This is because of the constant replacement of the nonkeratinized or partly keratinized cells, which is necessary to stabilize function and integrity of the mucosa. A reduction of the mucosal mitotic activity would result in a loss of epithelial continuity.²⁰

Keratinization and average size of the epithelial cells seem to have an inverse relationship. The mean cross-sectional area of the cells of the cheek is about 263 μm^2 , while it is about

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.