

Filed on behalf of Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Ltd.
and Dr. Reddy's Laboratories, Inc.

By: Jeffery B. Arnold
jarnold@cantorcolburn.com
Cantor Colburn LLP
1180 Peachtree Street, Suite 2050
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Telephone: (404) 607-9991
Facsimile: (404) 607-9981

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. AND DR. REDDY'S
LABORATORIES, INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

MONOSOL RX, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,603,514
Issue Date: December 10, 2013
Title: UNIFORM FILMS FOR RAPID DISSOLVE DOSAGE FORM
INCORPORATING TASTE-MASKING COMPOSITIONS
Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-XXXXX

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,603,514**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	1
A. Brief Overview of the ‘514 Patent	1
B. Brief Overview of the Prosecution History	1
III. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....	8
IV. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.8.....	8
A. Real Party-In-Interest (§ 42.8(b)(1))	8
B. Related Proceedings (§ 42.8(b)(2))	8
C. Lead and Backup Counsel (§ 42.8(b)(3)).....	10
D. Service Information (§ 42.8(b)(4))	11
V. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHALLENGE (§ 42.104(b)).....	11
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	12
VII. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS OF THE ‘514 PATENT.....	13
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	14
IX. TECHNOLOGY TUTORIAL.....	15
A. Polymers.....	15
B. Particles	18
C. Role of Viscosity	18
D. Drying of Suspensions	21
E. Role of Uniformity in Drug Formulations	22

F.	Films	23
G.	Flavoring of Pharmaceutical Formulations.....	23
X.	SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART.....	24
A.	WO 00/42992 (“Chen”) (Ex. 1005)	24
B.	U.S. Patent No. 7,067,116 (“Bess”) (Ex. 1004).....	25
C.	CA 2,274,910 (“Cremer”) (Ex. 1006).....	26
XI.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF THE GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY	27
A.	Ground 1: The Challenged Claims of the ‘514 Patent are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Being Obvious Over Bess in View of Chen	27
	1. Claim Chart: Bess in View of Chen	39
B.	Ground 2: The Challenged Claims of the ‘514 Patent are Invalid Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as Being Obvious Over Chen in View of Cremer	50
	1. Claim Chart: Chen in View of Cremer.....	52
XII.	CONCLUSION.....	62

PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Reference
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,603,514 (filed July 10, 2007)
1002	File History, U.S. Patent No. 8,603,514
1003	Expert Declaration of Metin Çelik, Ph.D., Relating to U.S. Patent No. 8,603,514
1004	U.S. Patent No. 7,067,116 (filed Mar. 23, 2000) (“Bess”)
1005	WO 00/42992 (published July 27, 2000) (“Chen”)
1006	CA 2,274,910 (published June 25, 1998) (“Cremer”)
1007	File History of Reexam No. 95/002,170
1008	Alfred Martin, <i>Physical Pharmacy</i> (4th ed. 1993) (“Physical Pharmacy”)
1009	[Intentionally Left Blank]
1010	Trial Transcript, <i>Reckitt Benckiser Inc. v. Watson Labs., Inc.</i> , CA No. 14-1574-RGA (Nov. 3-4, 2015) (“Trial Tr.”)
1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,221,402 (issued April 24, 2001)
1012	C.S. Fuller et al., <i>Interactions in poly(ethylene oxide)—hydroxypropyl methylcellulose blends</i> , 42 <i>Polymer</i> 9583 (2001)
1013	Leon Lachman et al, <i>The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy</i> (1986) (“The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy”)
1014	J. Thuro Carstensen, <i>Theory of Pharmaceutical Systems Volume II: Heterogenous Systems</i> (1973) (“Theory of Pharmaceutical Systems”)

...

Exhibit No.	Reference
1015	<i>Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences</i> (Alfonso R. Gennaro, ed., 18th ed., 1990)
1016	<i>Mixing in the Process Industries</i> (N. Harnby et al., eds., 2nd ed., 1997)
1017	Sevim Kaya & Ahmet Kaya, <i>Microwave drying effects on properties of whey protein isolate edible films</i> , 43 <i>Journal of Food Engineering</i> 91 (2000)
1018	X.Z. Shu et al., <i>Novel pH-sensitive citrate cross-linked chitosan film for drug controlled release</i> , 212 <i>International Journal of Pharmaceutics</i> 19 (2001)
1019	T.J. Bowser & L.R. Wilhelm, <i>Modeling Simultaneous Shrinkage and Heat and Mass Transfer of a Thin, Nonporous Film During Drying</i> , Vol. 60 No. 4 <i>Journal of Food Science</i> 753 (Nov. 4, 1995)
1020	U.S. Patent No. 6,596,298 (filed September 14, 1999)
1021	U.S. Patent No. 6,099,865 (filed June 11, 1999)
1022	U.S. Patent No. 5,166,233 (filed January 31, 1990)
1023	Jian-Hwa Guo & Horst Zerbe, <i>Water Soluble Film for Oral Administration</i> , The 24th International Symposium on Controlled Release of Bioactive Materials 227 (1997) ("Guo")
1024	J.P. Cassidy et al., <i>Controlled buccal delivery of buprenorphine</i> , 25 <i>Journal of Controlled Release</i> 21 (1993)
1025	U.S. Patent No. 4,849,246 (issued July 18, 1989)
1026	U.S. Patent No. 5,393,528 (filed June 1, 1993)
1027	U.S. Patent No. 5,948,430 (filed August 1, 1997) ("Zerbe")
1028	U.S. Patent No. 5,629,003 (filed September 2, 1994)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.