#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. Petitioner,

v.

RESEARCH CORPORATION TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2016-01101 Patent RE38,551

Mailed: June 4, 2016

Before Amy Kattula, Trial Paralegal

# NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION AND TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for *inter partes* review filed in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of May 25, 2016.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is



limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB">http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB</a>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the "Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under "Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices."

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS), accessible from the Board Web site at <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB">http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB</a>. To file documents, users must first obtain a user ID and password by registering with PRPS. Information regarding how to register with and use PRPS is available at the Board Web site.



Case IPR2016-01101 Patent RE38,551

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Amy Kattula at 571-272-5826 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

#### PETITIONER:

Steven Parmelee <a href="mailto:sparmelee@wsgr.com">sparmelee@wsgr.com</a>

Michael Rosato mrosato@wsgr.com

Jad Mills jmills@wsgr.com

#### PATENT OWNER:

Covington & Burling, LLP Attn: Patent Docketing One City Center 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956



# NOTICE CONCERNING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR)

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) strongly encourages parties who are considering settlement to consider alternative dispute resolution as a means of settling the issues that may be raised in an AIA trial proceeding. Many AIA trials are settled prior to a Final Written Decision. Those considering settlement may wish to consider alternative dispute resolution techniques early in a proceeding to produce a quicker, mutually agreeable resolution of a dispute or to at least narrow the scope of matters in dispute. Alternative dispute resolution has the potential to save parties time and money.

Many non-profit organizations, both inside and outside the intellectual property field, offer alternative dispute resolution services. Listed below are the names and addresses of several such organizations. The listings are provided for the convenience of parties involved in cases before the PTAB; the PTAB does not sponsor or endorse any particular organization's alternative dispute resolution services. In addition, consideration may be given to utilizing independent alternative dispute resolution firms. Such firms may be located through a standard keyword Internet search.

| CPR           | AMERICAN        | AMERICA     | WORLD           | AMERICAN    |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| INSTITUTE     | INTELLECT       | N           | INTELLEC        | BAR         |
| FOR           | UAL             | ARBITRA     | TUAL            | ASSOCIATI   |
| DISPUTE       | <b>PROPERTY</b> | TION        | <b>PROPERTY</b> | ON          |
| RESOLUTIO     | LAW             | ASSOCIAT    | ORGANIZA        | (ABA)       |
| N             | ASSOCIATI       | ION (AAA)   | TION            |             |
|               | ON (AIPLA)      |             | (WIPO)          |             |
| Telephone:    | Telephone:      | Telephone:  | Telephone:      | Telephone:  |
| (212) 949-    | (703) 415-      | (212) 484-  | 41 22 338       | (202) 662-  |
| 6490          | 0780            | 3266        | 9111            | 1000        |
| Fax: (212)    | Fax: (703)      | Fax: (212)  | Fax: 41 22      |             |
| 949-8859      | 415-0786        | 307-4387    | 733 5428        | N/A         |
|               | 241 18th        |             | 34, chemin      | 1050        |
| 575 Lexington | Street, South,  | 140 West    | des             | Connecticut |
| Ave           | Suite 700       | 51st Street | Colombettes     | Ave, NW     |
| New York,     | Arlington, VA   |             | CH-1211         | Washington  |
| NY 10022      | 22202           | New York,   | Geneva 20,      | D.C. 20036  |
|               |                 | NY 10020    | Switzerland     |             |



## Case IPR2016-01101 Patent RE38,551

| www.cpradr.o |               | www.adr.or |              | www.american |
|--------------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| rg           | www.aipla.org | g          | www.wipo.int | bar.org      |

If parties to an AIA trial proceeding consider using alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would like to know whether the parties ultimately decided to engage in alternative dispute resolution and the reasons why or why not. If the parties actually engage in alternative dispute resolution, the PTAB would be interested to learn what mechanism (e.g., arbitration, mediation, etc.) was used and the general result. Such a statement from the parties is not required but would be helpful to the PTAB in assessing the value of alternative dispute resolution to parties involved in AIA trial proceedings. To report an experience with ADR, please forward a summary particulars following the to the email address: PTAB ADR Comments@uspto.gov

