

Case IPR2016-01096  
Patent No. 6,667,061  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Attorney Docket No. 9LUYE 7.1R-004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LUYE PHARMA GROUP LTD., LUYE PHARMA(USA) LTD., SHANDONG  
LUYE PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., and NANJING LUYE  
PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.  
Petitioners

v.

ALKERMES PHARMA IRELAND LTD  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 6,667,061 to Ramstack *et al.*  
Issue Date: December 23, 2003  
Title: PREPARATION OF INJECTABLE  
SUSPENSIONS HAVING IMPROVED INJECTABILITY

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2016-01096

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
CLAIMS 1-13 AND 17-23 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,667,061**

**Mail Stop: Patent Board**  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent And Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	III
EXHIBIT LIST .....	iv
I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)).....	1
A. Notice Of Each Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....	1
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)) .....	1
C. Designation Of Lead And Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)).....	1
D. Notice Of Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
E. Grounds For Standing (37 C.F.R. §42.104(a)).....	2
II. STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(A)) .....	3
III. IDENTIFICATION OF THE CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 104(B)) .....	3
IV. BACKGROUND .....	5
A. Introduction.....	5
B. Improving Injectability .....	7
C. Risperidone .....	8
D. The Role of Viscosity In Injectable Formulations.....	8
E. The Prior Art Taught Microparticle Suspensions With The Claimed Concentration And Viscosity.....	9
V. THE '061 PATENT.....	13
A. The Claims .....	13
B. The Family History Of The '061 Patent.....	14

C.	The Specification Of The '061 Patent .....	15
D.	The Pertinent Prosecution History Of The '061 Patent.....	16
VI.	PERSON OF SKILL IN THE ART (“POSA”).....	18
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	19
A.	“Suitable For Injection” .....	20
B.	“Microparticles”.....	20
C.	“Injection Vehicle” .....	20
D.	“Suspension”.....	21
E.	“Fluid Phase Of Said Suspension” .....	21
F.	“Viscosity Greater Than About 20 cp And Less Than About 600 cp” ..	22
VIII.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '061 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE .....	22
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-13 And 17-23 Are Obvious Over Johnson (Ex.1009) In View Of Kino (Ex.1010).....	23
1.	Johnson in View Of Kino Teaches Every Element Of Claims 1-13 And 17-23.....	24
a.	Claims 1-3.....	24
b.	<b>Claims 4, 5, 10, And 11</b> .....	26
c.	<b>Claims 6-9 And 12-13</b> .....	27
d.	<b>Claims 17-21</b> .....	28
e.	<b>Claims 22-23</b> .....	30
2.	<b>Claims 1-13 And 17-23 Are Obvious</b> .....	32

Case IPR2016- 01096  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Patent No. 6,667,061  
Attorney Docket No. 9LUYE 7.1R-004

B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-13 And 17-23 Are Obvious Over Gustafsson (Ex.1011) In View Of Ramstack (Ex.1005), and the Handbook (Ex.1008).....	38
1.	Gustafsson In View Of Ramstack, And The Handbook Teaches Every Element Of Claims 1-13 And 17-23 .....	38
a.	Claim 1.....	38
b.	Claims 2-3.....	40
c.	Claims 4, 5, 10, And 11 .....	41
d.	Claims 6 and7 .....	43
e.	Claims 8 and 9 .....	43
f.	Claims 12 and 13 .....	44
g.	Claims 17-21.....	45
h.	Claims 22-23.....	47
2.	<b>Claims 1-13 And 17-23 Are Obvious</b> .....	48
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS .....	56
X.	CONCLUSION.....	57

...

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 687 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	23
<i>Asyst Techs., Inc. v. Emtrak, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	57
<i>In re DBC</i> , 545 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	56
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1, 17-18 (1966) .....	23
<i>J.T. Eaton &amp; Co. v. Atl. Paste &amp; Glue Co.</i> , 106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	57
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	23
<i>Mintz v. Dietz &amp; Watson, Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	18
<i>Par Pharm. Inc. v. TWi Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	23, 57
<i>Warner Chilcott Co. v. Lupin Ltd</i> , Civ. Action Nos. 11-5048, 12-2928, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6228 (D.N.J. Jan. 17, 2014).....	18
<b>STATUTES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	23

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.