

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LUYE PHARMA GROUP LTD., LUYE PHARMA(USA) LTD.,
SHANDONG LUYE PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD., and
NANJING LUYE PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.,
Petitioner,

v.

ALKERMES PHARMA IRELAND LTD. and
ALKERMES CONTROLLED THERAPEUTICS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-01095
Patent 6,667,061 B2

Before LORA M. GREEN, ROBERT A. POLLOCK, and
JACQUELINE T. HARLOW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GREEN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

I. INTRODUCTION

Luye Pharma Group Ltd., Luye Pharma (USA) Ltd., Shandong Luye Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and Nanjing Luye Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (collectively “Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–13 and 17–23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,667,061 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’061 patent”). Paper 5 (“Pet.”). Alkermes Pharma Ireland Limited and Alkermes Controlled Therapeutics, Inc. (collectively, “Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response to the Petition. Paper 11 (“Prelim. Resp.”).

Institution of an *inter partes* review is authorized by statute when “the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.” 35 U.S.C. § 314; *see* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.4, 42.108. Upon considering the Petition and the Preliminary Response, we determine that Petitioner has not demonstrated a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail in showing the unpatentability of claims 1–13 and 17–23. Accordingly, we decline to institute an *inter partes* review of those claims.

A. *Related Proceedings*

Petitioner states that it has filed a second request for *inter partes* review seeking cancellation of claims 1–13 and 17–23 of the ’061 patent on other grounds. Pet. 1; Prelim. Resp. 1 n.1. That petition for *inter partes* review, IPR2016-01096, is being decided concurrently with the instant proceeding.

B. *The ’061 Patent (Ex. 1001)*

The ’061 patent issued on December 23, 2003, with J. Michael Ramstack, M. Gary I. Riley, Stephen E. Zale, Joyce M. Hotz, and Olufunmi

L. Johnson as the listed co-inventors. Ex. 1001. According to the '061 patent, it is drawn “to injectable suspensions having improved injectability.” *Id.* at 1:12–14.

The '061 patent discloses:

Injectable suspensions are heterogeneous systems that typically consist of a solid phase dispersed in a liquid phase, the liquid phase being aqueous or nonaqueous. To be effective and pharmaceutically acceptable, injectable suspensions should preferably be: sterile; stable; resuspendable; syringeable; injectable; isotonic; and nonirritating. The foregoing characteristics result in manufacturing, storage, and usage requirements that make injectable suspensions one of the most difficult dosage forms to develop.

Id. at 1:17–25.

The '061 patent teaches that viscosity enhancers are added to injection vehicles to prevent settling of particles, but notes that viscosity is kept low to facilitate mixing and make the suspension easier to inject. *Id.* at 2:25–30.

According to the '061 patent, it was “unexpectedly discovered that injectability is improved, and in vivo injectability failures significantly and unexpectedly reduced, by increasing the viscosity of the fluid phase of an injectable suspension.” *Id.* at 4:57–60. The '061 patent teaches that “is in contrast to conventional teachings that an increase in the viscosity hinders injectability and syringeability.” *Id.* at 4:60–62.

The '061 patent specifically teaches that “microparticles” and “microspheres” refer to “particles that contain an active agent or other substance dispersed or dissolved within a polymer that serves as a matrix or binder of the particle,” wherein the “polymer is preferably biodegradable and biocompatible.” *Id.* at 5:14–19.

The '061 patent specifically teaches the following injection vehicles: Vehicle A: 0.9% saline and 0.1% Tween 20; Vehicle B: 1.5% CMC, 30% sorbitol, and 0.2% Tween 20; and Vehicle C: 3% CMC, 0.1% Tween 20, and 0.9% saline. *Id.* at 9:38–46. According to the '061 patent, Vehicle A had a viscosity of 1.0 cp, Vehicle B had a viscosity of 24 cp, and Vehicle C had a viscosity of 56 cp. *Id.* at 10:Table 4. The '061 patent specifically teaches that CMC is a viscosity enhancing agent. *Id.* at 12:14–20.

C. *Challenged Claims*

Petitioner challenges claims 1–13 and 17–23 of the '061 patent. Claim 1, the only independent claim of the '061 patent, is representative:

1. A composition suitable for injection through a needle into a host, comprising:
microparticles comprising a polymeric binder; and
an injection vehicle, wherein said microparticles are suspended in said injection vehicle at a concentration of greater than about 30 mg/ml to form a suspension, *wherein a fluid phase of said suspension has a viscosity greater than about 20 cp and less than about 600 cp at 20° C.*, wherein the viscosity of said fluid phase of said suspension provides injectability of the composition through a needle ranging in diameter from 18–22 gauge.

Ex. 1001, 18:6–16 (emphasis added).

D. *The Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner challenges the patentability of claims 1–13 and 17–23 of the '061 patent on the following grounds (Pet. 4):

References	Basis	Claims Challenged
Goldenheim ¹	§ 102	1–3, 6–9, 12, 13, 17–19, 22, and 23
Goldenheim, Ramstack, ² U.S. Pharmacopeia, ³ and the European Pharmacopoeia ⁴	§ 103	1–3, 6–9, 12, 13, and 17–23
Goldenheim, Kino, ⁵ U.S. Pharmacopeia, and the European Pharmacopoeia	§ 103	1–13 and 17–23

Petitioner relies also on the Declaration of Patrick P. Deluca, Ph.D. (Ex. 1002).

II. ANALYSIS

A. *Claim Construction*

In an *inter partes* review, claim terms in an unexpired patent are interpreted according to their broadest reasonable construction in light of the specification of the patent in which they appear. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b); *Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee*, 136 S. Ct. 2131, 2144–45 (2016) (upholding the use of the broadest reasonable interpretation standard).

¹ Goldenheim et al., WO 99/01114, published January 14, 1999 (Ex. 1004) (“Goldenheim”).

² Ramstack et al., WO 95/13799, published May 26, 1995 (Ex. 1005) (“Ramstack”).

³ THE UNITED STATES PHARMACOPEIA; USP 23, NF 18, 274–275, 1840, 2333, 2390 (U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc. 1994) (Ex. 1006) (“the U.S. Pharmacopeia”).

⁴ EUROPEAN PHARMACOPOEIA, 547–548, 1780 (Council of Europe 3rd ed. 1996) (Ex. 1007) (“the European Pharmacopoeia”).

⁵ Kino et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,656,299, issued August 12, 1997 (Ex. 1010) (“Kino”).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.