

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

TELIT WIRELESS SOLUTIONS INC. &

TELIT COMMUNICATIONS PLC,

Petitioner,

v.

M2M SOLUTIONS LLC,

Patent Owner.

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2016-01081

U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

Issued: Feb. 11, 2014

Title: Programmable Communicator

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

**OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,648,717**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| I. INTRODUCTION.....   | 1    |
| II. FORMALITIES .....  | 1    |
| A. Real Parties-In-Interest .....  | 1    |
| B. Related Matters.....  | 1    |
| C. Designation of Counsel and Power of Attorney.....   | 3    |
| D. Proof of Service, Service Information, and Payment of Fees .....  | 4    |
| III. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW .....   | 4    |
| A. Grounds for Standing .....  | 4    |
| B. Identification of Claims Being Challenged and Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....  | 5    |
| C. Threshold for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review .....  | 5    |
| IV. THE ‘717 PATENT.....   | 6    |
| A. Overview of the ‘717 Patent and Claims .....  | 6    |
| B. Summary of the Prosecution History .....  | 7    |
| C. Effective Filing Date of the ’717 Patent.....   | 7    |
| D. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....  | 8    |
| V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....   | 9    |
| A. Capability .....  | 9    |
| B. “the transmissions including the at least one telephone number or IP address and the coded number” .....                          | 9    |
| C. “numbers to which the programmable communicator device is configured to and permitted to send outgoing wireless transmissions” .. | 12   |

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

|   |    |
|---|----|
| D. “programmable” .....   | 13 |
| E. “coded number” .....   | 13 |
| VI. REFERENCES .....  | 14 |
| VII. GROUND 1: Claims 25-28 and 30 of The ‘717 Patent Are Invalid Based On<br>Van Bergen and Bettstetter..... | 17 |
| 1. Claim 25 Would Have Been Obvious Over Van Bergen In View Of<br>Bettstetter.....                            | 24 |
| 2. Claim 26 Would Have Been Obvious Over Van Bergen In View Of<br>Bettstetter .....                           | 27 |
| 3. Claim 27 Would Have Been Obvious Over Van Bergen In View Of<br>Bettstetter .....                           | 28 |
| 4. Claim 28 Would Have Been Obvious Over Van Bergen In View Of<br>Bettstetter .....                           | 29 |
| 5. Claim 30 Would Have Been Obvious Over Van Bergen In View Of<br>Bettstetter .....                           | 29 |
| VIII. COMPARISON OF CLAIMS.....   | 34 |
| IX. STATEMENT OF NON-REDUNDANCY.....  | 40 |
| X. CONCLUSION.....  | 42 |

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

Cases

*Ex parte Scortecchi*, No. 2014-001781, 2016 Pat. App. LEXIS 1052 (Mar. 17, 2016) (emphasis added) .....11

*Ex parte Vesto*, No. 2013-009212, 2016 Pat. App. LEXIS 2105 (PTAB, Nov. 7, 2014) .....11

*Ex parte Cruz-Hernandez*, No. 2014-000203, 2016 Pat. App. LEXIS 1627 (PTAB, Feb. 1, 2016) .....11

*Ex parte Takahashi*, 2004-2192, 2015 Pat. App. LEXIS 9767 (BPAI, Sep. 30, 2015) .....11, 12

*Facebook, Inc. v. Pragmatus AV, LLC*, 582 Fed. Appx. 864 (Fed. Cir. 2014) (non-precedential).....10

*Hitachi Koki Co. v. Doll*, 620 F. Supp. 2d 4 (D. D.C., 2009).....33

*In re Schreiber*, 128 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ..... 10, 11, 12

*International Business Machines Corp. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC*, IPR2014-01385, Paper 64 (PTAB, Jan. 15, 2016).....10

*KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....17

*Soverain Software v. Newegg, Inc.*, 705 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2013) .....26

*Western Union Co. v. Moneygram Payment Sys. Inc.*, 626 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....26

*Yahoo! Inc. v. CreateAds LLC*, IPR2014-00200, Paper 42 .....10

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 102 .....18

35 U.S.C. § 102(a) .....17

35 U.S.C. § 102(a)(1) .....8

35 U.S.C. § 102(b) .....17

35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....17

35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....5

35 U.S.C. §103 .....8

35 U.S.C. §112 .....7

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,648,717

Rules

|                             |      |
|-----------------------------|------|
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b) .....  | 3, 4 |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) ..... | 9    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b) ..... | 5    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....    | 4    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....    | 4    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....  | 4    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) ..... | 5    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.105 .....    | 1    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.105(a).....  | 4    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....  | 5    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) ..... | 5    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a).....   | 4    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.6 .....      | 1    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....    | 4    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)..... | 1    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)..... | 3    |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)..... | 4    |

Other Authorities

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2129 ..... | 33 |
|---|----|

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.