

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JOHNSON SAFETY, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

VOXX INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 7,245,274
Filing Date: May 15, 2003
Issue Date: July 17, 2007
Title: HEADREST MOUNTABLE VIDEO SYSTEM

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2016-01070

DECLARATION OF RALPH V. WILHELM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Qualifications	2
III.	Materials Reviewed	4
IV.	Obviousness Principles.....	6
V.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	6
VI.	Overview of the ‘274 Patent.....	7
VII.	The State of the Art at the Time of the Claimed Invention.....	12
	A. Chang	12
	B. Mathias	16
	C. Tseng.....	18
	D. Swaim	20
	E. Compaq Manual	20
	F. Jost	22
VIII.	Claim Construction	22
	A. Claim 1: “coupled”	22
	B. Claim 1: “an internal headrest support structure”	22
	C. Claim 11: “wherein the base portion accommodates a media player”.....	23
	D. Claims 5 and 6: “slot-type device”	23
IX.	Certain References Render Obvious All of the Limitations Claimed in Claims 1, 5-7, 9, and 11 of the ‘274 Patent	23
	A. The Combination of <i>Chang</i> in view of <i>Mathias</i> Renders Obvious Claims 1, 5-7, and 9 of the ‘274 Patent.....	23
	1. [1.0] “A video system”	23
	2. [1.1] “a base unit coupled to an internal headrest support structure”	25
	3. [1.2] “a door pivotally connected to the base unit by a hinge”	26
	4. [1.3] “the door comprising a display”	29
	5. [1.3] “the door comprising a media player comprising at least one of a DVD player, an MPEG player or a video game player”	30

6.	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the '274 Patent to modify <i>Chang</i> as taught by <i>Mathias</i> to arrive at the claimed invention of Claim 1	30
7.	[5.0] “wherein the video system is a slot-type device”	36
8.	[6.0] “wherein a slot for receiving a data media is positioned on a side of the door”	37
9.	[7.0] “further comprising a wireless transmitter”	38
10.	[9.0] “further comprising a port for connecting to an external device”	38
B.	<i>Chang</i> in View of <i>Jost</i> and <i>Mathias</i> Renders Obvious Claims 1, 5-7, and 9	39
1.	[1.0] <i>Chang</i> , <i>Jost</i> , and <i>Mathias</i> disclose “a video system”	39
2.	[1.1] <i>Chang</i> and <i>Jost</i> disclose “a base unit coupled to an internal headrest support structure”	39
3.	[1.2] Both <i>Chang</i> and <i>Mathias</i> disclose “a door pivotally connected to the base unit by a hinge”	41
4.	[1.3] Both <i>Chang</i> and <i>Mathias</i> disclose “the door comprising a display”	41
5.	[1.4] <i>Mathias</i> discloses “the door comprising a media player comprising at least one of a DVD player, an MPEG player or a video game player”	41
6.	It would have been obvious to a POSITA to modify <i>Chang</i> as taught by <i>Jost</i> and further as taught by <i>Mathias</i> to arrive at the claimed invention of Claim 1	42
7.	[5.0] <i>Mathias</i> discloses “wherein the video system is a slot-type device”	46
8.	[6.0] <i>Mathias</i> discloses “wherein a slot for receiving a data media is positioned on a side of the door”	46
9.	[7.0] <i>Mathias</i> discloses “further comprising a wireless transmitter”	46
10.	[9.0] <i>Mathias</i> discloses “further comprising a port for connecting to an external device”	46
C.	<i>Chang</i> in View of <i>Tseng</i> Renders Obvious Claim 11 of the ‘274 Patent	46
1.	[11.0] “A video system”	47
2.	[11.1] “a base portion positioned in a headrest of a vehicle seat”	47
3.	[11.2] “wherein the base portion accommodates a media player comprising at least one of a DVD player, an MPEG player, or a video game player”	48
4.	[11.3] “a display pivotally connected to the base portion”	49

5.	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the '274 Patent to modify <i>Chang</i> as taught by <i>Tseng</i> to arrive at the claimed invention of Claim 11	50
D.	<i>Swaim</i> in View of <i>Compaq Manual</i> Renders Obvious Claims 1, 5-7, and 9.....	56
1.	[1.0] “A video system”	56
2.	[1.1] “a base unit coupled to an internal headrest support structure”	58
3.	[1.2] “a door pivotally connected to the base unit by a hinge”	62
4.	[1.3] “the door comprising a display”	64
5.	[1.4] “the door comprising a media player comprising at least one of a DVD player, an MPEG player or a video game player”	64
6.	It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the '274 Patent to modify <i>Swaim</i> as taught by <i>Compaq Manual</i> to arrive at the claimed structure of Claim 1	65
7.	[5.0] “wherein the video system is a slot-type device”	69
8.	[6.0] “wherein a slot for receiving a data media is positioned on a side of the door”	69
9.	[7.0] “further comprising a wireless transmitter”	71
10.	[9.0] “further comprising a port for connecting to an external device”	72
X.	Conclusion	73

I, Ralph V. Wilhelm, declare as follows:

I. Introduction

1. I have been retained by Johnson Safety, Inc. (“Johnson Safety” or “Petitioner”) as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office. Although I am being compensated at my standard rate of \$500 per hour for the time I spend on this matter, no part of my compensation depends on the outcome of this proceeding, and I have no other interest in this proceeding.

2. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 7,245,274 (“the ‘274 Patent”) (attached as Ex. 1001 to Johnson Safety’s petition). The application for the ‘274 Patent was filed on May 15, 2003, as U.S. Patent Application No. 10/438,724, and the patent issued on July 17, 2007.

3. I have been asked to consider whether certain references disclose or suggest the features recited in the claims of the ‘274 Patent. As explained in detail below, in my opinion, *Chang* (Ex. 1007) in view of *Mathias* (Ex. 1008) renders obvious Claims 1, 5-7, and 9 of the ‘274 Patent; *Chang* in view of *Tseng* (Ex. 1006) renders obvious Claim 11 of the ‘274 Patent; and *Swaim* (Ex. 1011) in view of *Compaq Manual* (Ex. 1012) renders obvious Claims 1, 5-7, and 9 of the ‘274 Patent.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.