

Filed on behalf of: Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.

Entered: November 10, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PAR PHARMACEUTICAL, INC.

Petitioner

v.

NOVARTIS AG

Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-01059

U.S. Patent No. 5,665,772

Before LORA M. GREEN, CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, and ROBERT A. POLLOCK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR REHEARING OF
THE BOARD'S DECISION DENYING JOINDER**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Legal Standard	3
II.	Argument	3
	A. The Board improperly applied a new requirement that a joinder motion must explain <i>why</i> the first petition was lacking	3
	B. The Board improperly applied a new requirement that the Board’s joinder decision must issue before the patent owner files its response in the first proceeding.	8
	C. The Board erred in failing to take into account the public interest.	12
III.	Suggestion for Expanded Panel	14
IV.	Conclusion	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Ariosa Diags. v. Isis Innovation,
IPR2012-00022, Paper 104 (Oct. 31, 2013)11

Ariosa Diags. v. Isis Innovation,
IPR2012-00022, Paper 72 (Sept. 17, 2013)7

Ariosa Diags. v. Isis Innovation,
IPR2013-00250, Paper 24 (Sept. 3, 2013) 5, 6, 13

Ariosa Diags. v. Isis Innovation,
IPR2013-00250, Paper 4 (Apr. 19, 2013) 6, 10

Assoc. of Am. R.R. v. DOT,
821 F.3d 19 (D.C. Cir. 2016)15

Butamax Advanced Biofuels v. Gevo,
IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (Oct. 14, 2014)7

Conopco v. Procter & Gamble,
IPR2014-00628, Paper 23 (Mar. 20, 2015).....7

Consol. Bearings Co. v. United States,
348 F.3d 997 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....3

Cuozzo Speed Techs. v. Lee,
136 S. Ct. 2131 (2016) 12, 15

Enzymotec v. Neptune Techs. & Biores.,
IPR2014-00556, Paper 19 (July 9, 2014)..... passim

FCC v. Fox Television Stations,
556 U.S. 502 (2009)3

In re Gartside,
203 F.3d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....3

James V. Hurson Assocs. v. Glickman,
229 F.3d 277 (D.C. Cir. 2000)13

Case IPR2016-01059	
U.S. Patent No. 5,665,772	
<i>Linear Tech. v. In-Depth Test,</i>	
IPR2015-01994, Paper 7 (Oct. 20, 2015)	9
<i>Mendoza v. MSPB,</i>	
966 F.2d 650 (Fed. Cir. 1992).....	15
<i>Micro Motion v. Invensys Sys.,</i>	
IPR2014-01409, Paper 14 (Feb. 18, 2015)	7
<i>Praxair Distrib. v. Mallinckrodt Hosp. Prods.,</i>	
IPR2016-00777, Paper 10 (Sept. 22, 2016)	6
<i>Ramaprakash v. FAA,</i>	
346 F.3d 1121 (D.C. Cir. 2003)	2, 15
<i>Samsung Elecs. v. Va. Innovation Scis.,</i>	
IPR2014-00557, Paper 10 (June 13, 2014).....	5, 7, 13
<i>Samsung Elecs. v. Va. Innovation Scis.,</i>	
IPR2014-00557, Paper 3 (Mar. 28, 2014).....	5, 10
<i>Target v. Destination Maternity,</i>	
IPR2014-00508, Paper 18 (Sept. 25, 2014)	10
<i>Target v. Destination Maternity,</i>	
IPR2014-00508, Paper 28 (Feb. 12, 2015)	12, 13
<i>Target v. Destination Maternity,</i>	
IPR2014-00508, Paper 3 (Mar. 14, 2014).....	6, 10
<i>Target v. Destination Maternity,</i>	
IPR2014-00508, Paper 31 (Feb. 12, 2015)	8, 10, 11
<i>Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. v. Nidec Motor Corp.,</i>	
IPR2014-01121, Paper 17 (Nov. 10, 2014)	4
<i>Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. v. Nidec Motor Corp.,</i>	
IPR2014-01121, Paper 20 (Jan. 15, 2015).....	4
<i>Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. v. Nidec Motor Corp.,</i>	
IPR2015-00762, Paper 16 (Oct. 5, 2015)	4, 8, 14

Case IPR2016-01059

U.S. Patent No. 5,665,772

Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co. v. Nidec Motor Corp.,

IPR2015-00762, Paper 4 (Feb. 20, 2015)4

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 3169

OTHER AUTHORITIES

157 Cong. Rec. S1376 (Sen. Kyl)..... 9, 12

77 Fed. Reg. 486809

PTAB SOP 1 § III.C, D (Rev. 14, May 8, 2015).....14

REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.1009

37 C.F.R. § 42.1229

37 C.F.R. §42.713

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.