| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE |
|---|
|   |
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD  |
|   |
|   |
| Cisco Systems, Inc.,                      |

Petitioner

IPR2016-01020 U.S. Patent No. 9,014,243

IPR2016-01021 U.S. Patent No. 8,718,158

SECOND DECLARATION OF DR. JOSE TELLADO UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I.   | Background  | 1  |
|------|---|----|
| II.  | Clipping is just one example of a "PAR problem"   | 1  |
| III. | Because Shively's bit spreading technique employs multiple carriers to carry the same data, Shively's technique increases PAR | 3  |
| IV.  | Shively's bit spreading technique is not limited to 18,000 foot cables  | 3  |
| V.   | Likelihood of phase alignment of random data and data using Shively's bit spreading technique                                 | 9  |
| VI.  | The 12,000 foot cable example shows how Shively's technique increases PAR and the likelihood of signal clipping               | 17 |
| VII. | A simulation of a transmitter shows that Shively's technique increases PAR and the likelihood of clipping                     | 25 |
| VIII | .A POSITA would have wanted to reduce to cost of a transmitter employing Shively's bit-spreading technique                    | 32 |
| IX.  | Stopler describes phase scrambling QAM symbols  | 33 |
| X.   | Stopler does not require diagonalization  | 35 |
| XI.  | My PhD Thesis   | 36 |
| XII  | Conclusion  | 36 |



I, Dr. Jose Tellado, do hereby declare as follows:

#### I. Background

- 1. I have been retained as an independent expert declarant on behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") for the above captioned Inter Partes Reviews of U.S. Patent No. 9,014,243 ("the '243 Patent") and U.S. Patent No. 8,718,158 ("the '158 Patent"). I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spend in connection with these matters. My compensation is not affected by the outcome of either matter.
- 2. I have been asked to provide a supplemental declaration regarding certain arguments and statements made by the Patent Owner, TQ Delta, and its expert declarant, Dr. Robert T. Short.

### II. Clipping is just one example of a "PAR problem"

3. Dr. Short appears to suggest that a "PAR problem" exists only when a system encounters an unacceptable level of transmission errors due to signal clipping. *See, e.g.*, Ex. 2003, ¶30 ("A PAR "problem" exists when the actual clipping rate exceeds the maximum allowable rate."). I disagree because Dr. Short's conception of a "PAR problem" is too narrow. A person of ordinary skill in the art (POSITA) would have understood that in addition to causing problems during a transceiver's *operation* (such as clipping), a high PAR is associated with problems and disadvantages that arise during the transceiver's *design*. For



example, while a transmitter can be designed to handle a high PAR signal without clipping, the resulting transmitter will generally be more expensive, less efficient, and larger. From both an engineering and a practical standpoint, the size, cost, and efficiency of a high-PAR transmitter are disadvantages and potential problems. For example, the low efficiency of such a transmitter will cause it to consume a large amount of electrical power, raising its operating expense and generating significant waste heat. At a telephone company's central offices, many such transmitters would be used in close proximity to one another, and their waste heat would have to be removed through additional cooling equipment—at still further operational cost—to prevent them from overheating and destroying themselves. A POSITA would have considered that to be a problem, and therefore a POSITA would have been motivated to look for ways to reduce the need for high-cost, highpower, low-efficiency transmitters by reducing the PAR of the signals to be transmitted.

4. Indeed, PAR reduction was an active area of research in the 1990s. It was well-known to use a bit-scrambler (or, equivalently, a phase scrambler) to produce a pseudorandomly phase-aligned multicarrier signal, which (as discussed above) has an amplitude with a Gaussian distribution. The active research areas focused on trying to achieve *better than Gaussian* performance, that is, to achieve PAR values that are even lower than would occur in a random system. Simply



achieving Gaussian-level performance—which is all that the simple randomization techniques of the '243 and '158 patents achieve—was trivial and well-known.

## III. Because Shively's bit spreading technique employs multiple carriers to carry the same data, Shively's technique increases PAR

- 5. Shively describes a bit spreading technique that "replicates ('spreads') a *k*-bit symbol over multiple adjacent bands." Ex. 1011, 11:17-18. This causes the bands (which are also called carriers) to carry the same bit or bits, and more specifically, to be modulated using the same QAM symbol. Because these carriers carry the same data and are modulated with the same QAM symbol, the phases of these carriers align. I agree with Dr. Short's statement that phases of the phase-aligned carriers will add coherently and create a transmission signal with a spike in power. Ex. 2003, ¶ 22; Ex. 1027, 97:21-23.
- 6. In a system that does not implement Shively's technique, this spike in power would not occur because these carriers would be deemed impaired and would not carry data. Because Shively's technique causes the impaired carriers to carry the same data, Shively's technique increases probability of new spikes in the amplitude. And, because of these spikes, Shively's technique increases PAR.

#### IV. Shively's bit spreading technique is not limited to 18,000 foot cables

7. Dr. Short considered the application of Shively's bit-spreading technique to a line of 18,000 feet with narrow gauge (AWG 26) and therefore very high attenuation. *See* Ex. 2003, ¶¶58-68. However, Shively's technique is not



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

