### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Cisco Systems, Inc., Petitioner

Case IPR2016-\_\_\_\_

U.S. Patent No. 8,718,158

## DECLARATION OF DR. JOSE TELLADO, UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,718,158

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction4		
II.	Background and Qualifications6		
III.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Pertinent Art7		
IV.	Relevant Legal Standards		
V.	The '158 Patent		12
	A.	Overview	12
	B.	Prosecution History of the '158 Patent	18
	C.	Priority Date of the '158 Patent	18
VI.	Claim Construction		18
	A.	"multicarrier"	18
	B.	"transceiver"	20
VII.	Challenge #1: Claims 1, 2, 4, 15, 16, & 18 are Unpatentable Over Shively and Stopler		23
	A.	Overview of Shively	23
	B.	Overview of Stopler	25
	C.	Reasons to Combine Shively and Stopler	27
	D.	Detailed Analysis	30
VIII.	Challenge #2: Claims 3, 5, 14, 17, 19, and 28-30 are unpatentable over Shively, Stopler, and Gerszberg		
	A.	Overview of Gerszberg	61
	B.	Reasons to Combine Shively and Stopler with Gerszberg	62
	C.	Detailed Analysis	66
IX.	Challenge #3: Claims 6, 9, 10, 12, 20, 23, 24, and 26 are unpatentable over Shively, Stopler, and Bremer		72
	A.	Overview of Bremer	73
	B.	Reasons to Combine Shively and Stopler with Bremer	73
	C.	Detailed Analysis	76
X.		lenge #4: Claims 8, 11, 13, 22, 25 and 27 are unpatentable over ely, Stopler, Bremer, and Gerszberg	85

B. Detailed Analysis	
XI. Challenge #5: Claims 7 and 21 are Unpatentable over Shively, Stopler, Bremer, and Flammer	89
A. Overview of Flammer	89
B. Reasons to Combine Shively, Stopler, and Bremer with Flammer	90
C. Detailed Analysis	92
XII. Conclusion	98

Declaration of Dr. Jose Tellado Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.68 in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,718,158

I, Dr. Jose Tellado, do hereby declare as follows:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained as an independent expert declarant on behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. ("Cisco") for the above-captioned Petition for *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of U.S. Patent No. 8,718,158 ("the '158 patent"). I am being compensated at my usual and customary rate for the time I spend in connection with this IPR. My compensation is not affected by the outcome of this matter.

2. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether claims 1-30 ("the Challenged Claims") of the '158 patent are invalid as they would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") at the time of the alleged invention. It is my opinion that all of the limitations of claims 1-30 would have been obvious to a POSITA after reviewing the Shively, Stopler, Gerszberg, and Bremer references, as discussed further below.

3. The '158 patent issued on May 6, 2014, from U.S. Patent Appl. No. 13/303,417 ("the '417 Application"), filed on November 23, 2011. The '417 Application is a continuation of application No. 12/783,725, filed on May 20, 2010, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,090,008, which is a continuation of U.S. Patent Appl. No. 12/255,713, filed Oct. 22, 2008, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,769,104, which is a continuation of U.S. Patent Appl. No. 11/863,581, filed Sep. 28, 2007, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,471,721, which is a continuation of U.S. Appl. No. 11/211,535, filed

Aug. 26, 2005, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,292,627, which is a continuation of U.S. Patent Appl. No. 09/710,310, filed Nov. 9, 2000, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,961,369.

4. The '158 patent also claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional

Application No. 60/164,134, filed on November 9, 1999.

5. The face of the '158 patent names Marcos C. Tzannes as the

purported inventor. Further, the face of the '158 patent identifies TQ Delta, LLC as

the initial assignee of the '158 patent.

- 6. In preparing this Declaration, I have reviewed:
  - a) the '158 patent, Ex. 1001;
  - b) the file history of the '158 patent, Ex. 1002;
  - c) the file histories of the patent applications to which the '158 patent claims priority, Ex. 1003-1008; and
  - d) the prior art references discussed below, Ex. 1011-1013, 1017, and 1019.

7. In forming the opinions expressed in this Declaration, I relied upon my education and experience in the relevant field of art, and have considered the viewpoint of a POSITA, as of November 9, 1999 (the earliest claimed priority date). I have also considered:

a) the documents listed above,

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.