

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

VERSATA SOFTWARE, INC.
Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057 to Little et al.

IPR Case No.: 2016-01012

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 311 *ET SEQ.* AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *ET SEQ.*
(CLAIMS 1-16, 18-29, AND 31-43 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,882,057)**

Table of Contents

List of Exhibits..... iii

Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8 vi

 Real Party-In-Interest – 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) vi

 Related Matters 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)..... vi

 Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)..... vii

 Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4) viii

I. Standing Requirements Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 1

 A. Grounds for Standing – 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 1

 B. Challenged Claims – 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1) 1

 C. Grounds of Challenge – 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2) 1

II. Introduction..... 2

III. Overview of the '057 Patent..... 2

IV. Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art (PHOSITA) 3

V. Claim Construction – 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)..... 4

VI. Unpatentability Grounds..... 4

 A. Ground 1 - Claims 1-16, 18-29 and 31-43 are Obvious in View
 of Loomans, Stahl, and the General Knowledge of a PHOSITA 5

 1. Reasons to combine Loomans with Stahl 5

 2. Independent Claims 1, 18 and 31..... 9

 a. Claims 2, 19 and 32 35

 b. Claims 3 and 33 38

 c. Claims 4, 20 and 34 40

 d. Claims 5, 21 and 35 42

 e. Claims 6, 22 and 36 45

 f. Claims 7, 23 and 37 47

 g. Claims 8, 24 and 38 50

 h. Claims 9, 25 and 39 53

 i. Claims 10, 27 and 41 57

 j. Claims 11, 26, 28, 40 and 42 59

 k. Claims 12, 29 and 43 63

 l. Claim 13..... 64

 m. Claim 14..... 65

 n. Claim 15..... 67

o. Claim 16.....69

VII. Conclusion71

VIII. Fee Statement.....71

Certificate of Service72

Certificate of Compliance Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.2473

List of Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057	Feb. 1, 2011	'057 Patent
1002	Expert Declaration of Dr. Philip Greenspun	n/a	Greenspun Decl.
1003	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. Philip Greenspun	n/a	Greenspun CV
1004	U.S. Patent No. 7,882,057 File History	n/a	'057 Patent File History
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,873,503 to Loomans et al.	Jan. 18, 2011	Loomans
1006	A. Stahl, R. Bergmann, S. Schmitt, <u>A Customization Approach for Structured Products in Electronic Shops</u> , <i>Electronic Commerce: The End of the Beginning, 13th International Bled Electronic Commerce Conference</i> (June 19-21, 2000) (available at _____.)	Jun. 2000	Stahl
1007	Alexander Kott, Gerald Agin, David Fawcett, <u>Configuration Tree Solver: A Technology for Automated Design and Configuration</u> , <i>ASME Journal of Mechanical Design</i> 114(1): 187-195 (1992)	1992	Kott
1008	L. Anselma, D. Magro, and P. Torasso, <u>Automatically Decomposing Configuration Problems</u> , <i>AI*IA 2003: Advances in Artificial Intelligence</i> , Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2829, pp. 39-52 (2003)	2003	Anselma

Exhibit No.	Description	Date	Identifier
1009	D. Magro and P. Torasso, <u>Decomposing and Distributing Configuration Problems</u> , <i>Artificial Intelligence: Methodology, Systems, and Applications</i> , Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Volume 2443, pp. 81-90 (2002)	2002	Magro
1010	Judith Bachant, John McDermott, <u>R1 Revisited: Four Years in the Trenches</u> , <i>AI Magazine</i> Volume 5, Number 3 (1984)	1984	Bachant
1011	John McDermott, <u>R1: A Rule-Based Configurer of Computer Systems</u> , <i>Artificial Intelligence</i> (1982)	1982	McDermott
1012	Bryan M. Kramer, <u>Knowledge-Based Configuration of Computer Systems Using Hierarchical Partial Choice</u> , <i>IEEE</i> (1991)	1991	Kramer
1013	Bei Yu and Hans Jorgen Skovgaard, <u>A Configuration Tool to Increase Product Competitiveness</u> , <i>IEEE Intelligent Systems</i> , 34-41 (July/August 1998)	1998	Yu
1014	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0187950 to Rising	Oct. 2, 2003	Rising
1015	Martin R. Wagner, <u>Understanding the ICAD System</u> , ICAD, Inc., 1990	1990	ICAD

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.