

Filed on behalf of TQ Delta, LLC

By: Peter J. McAndrews
Thomas J. Wimbiscus
Scott P. McBride
Christopher M. Scharff
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 W. Madison St., 34th Floor
Chicago, IL 60661
Tel: 312-775-8000
Fax: 312-775-8100
E-mail: pmcandrews@mcandrews-ip.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO, INC.
Petitioner

v.

TQ DELTA, LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2016-01008
Patent No. 8,238,412

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §42.120

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE '412 PATENT3

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION5

IV. PETITIONER HAS NOT SHOWN UNPATENTABILITY BASED ON THE SINGLE GROUND INSTITUTED.....9

 A. The Asserted References Do Not Render Obvious Transmitting/Receiving a Test Message Comprising “*Power Level Per Subchannel Information*” (Claims 1-8, 19-20)12

 1. Milbrandt’s Power Spectrum Density And Attenuation Are Wholly Different From the Claimed Parameter12

 2. Petitioner Did Not Present A Back-Up Obviousness Argument For Transmitting PLPSC Information in Milbrandt Instead of PSD Per Sub-Frequency Information.....19

 B. The Asserted References Do Not Render Obvious Transmitting/Receiving a Test Message Comprising “*Power Level Per Subchannel Information . . . Based on a Reverb Signal*” (Claims 2, 4, 6, 8).....20

 1. ANSI T1.413 Does Not Disclose The Claimed Parameter.....20

 2. Petitioner’s Obviousness Arguments For Transmitting ANSI T1.413’s PSD Based on Reverb Are Technologically Meritless.....21

 C. The Asserted References Do Not Render Obvious Transmitting/Receiving a Test Message Comprising “*Signal to Noise Ratio Per Subchannel During Showtime Information*” (Claims 13-14).....24

 1. None of the References Disclose Measuring Noise or Signal to Noise Ratio “*Per Subchannel*”24

 2. None of the References Disclose Measuring Noise or Signal to Noise Ratio “*During Showtime Information*”25

3. ANSI T1.413's "Signal to Noise Ratio Margin" is Not
the Claimed "Signal to Noise Ratio"27

4. Petitioner's Obviousness Arguments for Transmitting or
Receiving "Signal to Noise Ratio During Showtime
Information" In Milbrandt's Test Messages Are
Technologically and Legally Erroneous28

V. NO WEIGHT SHOULD BE GIVEN TO THE UNQUALIFIED
CONCLUSORY OPINIONS OF PETITIONER'S EXPERT31

VI. CONCLUSION.....33

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT.....35

EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 2001	Declaration of Douglas Chrissan, PhD for Inter Partes Review Nos. IPR2016-01006, -01007, -01008, -01009
Ex. 2002	Hargrave's Communications Dictionary (2001) at pp. 404, 485
Ex. 2003	U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 20050190826
Ex. 2004	Webster's Unabridged Dictionary of the English Language (1989 ed.) at p. 1217
Ex. 2005	Transcript of 2/8/17 Deposition of Sayfe Kiaei
Ex. 2006	ITU-T G.992.1 (6/99) Series G: Transmission Systems and Media, Digital Systems and Networks – Asymmetric Digital Subscriber Line (ADSL) Transceivers

...

I. INTRODUCTION

The Board has instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1-8, 13-14, and 19-20 of U.S. Pat. No. 8,238,412 (“the ’412 patent”) based on a single Ground—Petitioner’s allegations of obviousness in view of a combination of Milbrandt, Hwang, and ANSI T1.413.¹ Patent Owner, however, respectfully submits that for purposes of institution the Board accepted as true numerous unsupported factual statements by Petitioner’s expert that are demonstrably incorrect. Indeed, based on his testimony at his deposition, Petitioner’s expert (Dr. Sayfe Kiaei) is unknowledgeable and unqualified regarding the technical issues in this proceeding. Patent Owner provides in this Response additional detail, technical explanations from its own qualified expert (Dr. Douglas Chrissan), and further legal support to clarify the deficiencies in the Petition.

First, Petitioner has not shown that it would have been obvious in a multicarrier transceiver (such as a DSL modem) to transmit or receive a test

¹ “Petitioner” refers to Petitioner Cisco, Inc. In connection with separate petitions (IPR2017-00253 and IPR2017-00419), Dish Network, LLC and Comcast Cable Communications have requested joinder with this proceeding, a request that Patent Owner does not oppose. Decisions on those petitions and motions for joinder, however, have not yet issued.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.