## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., et al. Petitioner,

v.

TQ DELTA LLC, Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2016-01006 (Patent 7,835,430)

Case IPR2016-01007 (Patent 8,432,956)

Case IRP2016-01008 (Patent 8,238,412)

Case IPR2016-01009 (Patent 8,238,412)

\_\_\_\_

Record of Oral Hearing Held: August 3, 2017

\_\_\_\_

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, and MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



## **APPEARANCES:**

## ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

David L. McCombs, Esquire Theodore M. Foster, Esquire Gregory P. Huh, Esquire Haynes and Boone, LLP 2523 Victory Avenue, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75219

### ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

Peter J. McAndrews, Esquire Christopher M. Scharff, Esquire Rajendra A. Chiplunkar, Esquire McAndrews Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, August 3, 2017, commencing at 1:01 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE MEDLEY: Good afternoon. This is
3	the hearing for IPR 2016-01006, 1007, 1008, and
4	1009, Cisco Systems, et al. versus TQ Delta.
5	Each side has 60 minutes to argue for
6	the presentation. Petitioner, you will proceed
7	first to present your case with respect to the
8	challenged claims and grounds for which the board
9	instituted trial. Thereafter, patent owner,
10	you will respond to their presentation, and you
11	petitioner may reserve rebuttal time.
12	At this time we would like the parties
13	to please introduce themselves, beginning with
14	petitioner.
15	MR. MCCOMBS: Good morning, Your Honors.
16	I'm David McCombs with Haynes and Boone. And with
17	me is Dina Blikshteyn, Theo Foster, and Gregory Huh.
18	Gregory will be making the presentation today.
19	I'd also like to mention we have with us
20	on behalf of Dish Networks with the Cooley, LLP
21	firm Stephen McBride and Jennifer Volk are here.
22	And also from the Comcast entities, we have with



1	us Cory Manley from Duane Morris.
2	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
3	And for patent owner?
4	MR. MCANDREWS: Good afternoon, Your
5	Honor, I'm Peter McAndrews from McAndrews Held
6	Malloy on behalf of TQ Delta. I have with me Chris
7	Scharff and Raj Chiplunkar. Chris Scharff will be
8	presenting on the first four matters. When we flip
9	to the other two, I'll take a spot and I'll be
10	presenting on that matter.
11	We also have with us one of our summer
12	associates, Ben Mann. He's in the back there.
13	And then for TQ Delta, we have Mark Roach and Nada
14	Roget.
15	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
16	MR. MCANDREWS: And this is Marcos Tzannes
17	one of the inventors on some of these
18	patents, although not the ones involved in this
19	proceeding.
20	JUDGE MEDLEY: Thank you very much.
21	So August 1st, 2017, patent owner filed
22	a paper styled Patent Owner's Objections to the



1	Petitioner's Demonstratives.
2	MR. MCANDREWS: Yes, Your Honors.
3	JUDGE MEDLEY: Does that still stand? Did
4	you happen to have a chance to talk to them about
5	all the objections?
6	MR. MCANDREWS: We did. That was after
7	the objections, and we didn't feel that their
8	revisions to the demonstratives addressed our
9	objections to the demonstratives.
10	JUDGE MEDLEY: So the objections that you
11	have currently are still the same?
12	MR. MCANDREWS: Yes, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE MEDLEY: But you did meet with them
14	first, so that's good.
15	We had a chance to look at the
16	objections and we feel like your objections were
17	improper or are improper, because the patent owner
18	did not you didn't demonstrate sufficiently
19	that the contents of the objected to slides
20	raised new issues or evidence. Rather, he objected-to slides contain
21	references to arguments and evidence of record.
22	MR. MCANDREWS: Yes, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE MEDLEY: We understand that you
24	may believe that they, you know, the reply was
25	outside the scope of what they should have argued.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

