

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TALARI NETWORKS, INC.
Petitioner

v.

FATPIPE NETWORKS INDIA LIMITED,
Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. 2016-00976

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,775,235
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	1
B.	Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	1
C.	Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and 42.10(a))	2
D.	Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)).....	2
E.	Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) and 42.103(a))	2
F.	Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....	3
II.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	3
A.	Publications Relied Upon.....	3
B.	Grounds For Challenge	4
III.	RELEVANT INFORMATION CONCERNING THE CONTESTED PATENT	4
A.	Effective Filing Date of the '235 Patent	4
B.	The '235 Patent (Ex. 1001)	5
1.	Overview of the '235 Patent	5
2.	Prosecution History.....	6
C.	Claim Construction	6
1.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	6
2.	Patent Owner's Proposed Constructions.....	7
IV.	SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART AND REFERENCES RELIED ON.....	9
A.	Brief Summary of Karol (Ex. 1006)	9
B.	Brief Summary of Stallings (Ex. 1011).....	10
V.	A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD EXISTS THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	10
A.	Ground 1: Claims 4, 5, 7-11, 14, and 19 of the '235 Patent (Ex. 1001) are anticipated by Karol (Ex. 1006).....	10
B.	Ground 2: Claims 5, 11-15, and 19 of the '235 Patent are obvious over Karol (Ex. 1006) in view of Stallings (Ex. 1011)	30
C.	Ground 3: Claims 4, 5, 7-15, and 19 of the '235 Patent (Ex. 1001) are obvious over Karol (Ex. 1006).....	42
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Amazon.com, Inc. v. Barnesandnoble.com, Inc.</i> , 239 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	8
<i>KSR v. Teleflex</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	passim
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	7
<i>In re Zletz</i> , 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	8
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1, 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.15	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	1, 7
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	3
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 101	7
35 U.S.C. § 102	3, 4, 9, 10, 49
35 U.S.C. § 103	4
35 U.S.C. § 112	7
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1, 60
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
Manual of Patent Examining Procedure § 2143 (9th ed., 2015)	passim

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235 by Sanchaita Datta and Ragula Bhaskar entitled "Tools and Techniques for Directing Packets Over Disparate Networks" ("the '235 Patent")
1002	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235
1003	U.S. Patent No. 7,406,048 by Sanchaita Datta and Ragula Bhaskar entitled "Tools and Techniques for Directing Packets Over Disparate Networks" ("the '048 Patent")
1004	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,406,048
1005	Declaration of Dr. Kevin Negus
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,628,617 by Mark John Karol and Malathi Veeraraghavan entitled "Technique for Internetworking Traffic on Connectionless and Connection-Oriented Networks" ("Karol")
1007	W.R. Stevens, "TCP/IP Illustrated Volume 1, the Protocols," Addison-Wesley Professional Computing Series, 1994, ISBN-0-201-63346-9 ("Stevens").
1008	February 1, 2016 Order granting Motion to Transfer to the Western Division of the Eastern District of North Carolina, D.I. 57 in 6:15-cv-00458-RWS in the Eastern District of Texas
1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,748,439 by David R. Monachello et al. entitled "System and Method for Selecting Internet Service Providers from a Workstation that is Connected to a Local Area Network" ("Monachello")
1010	FatPipe's Infringement Contentions
1011	William Stallings, "Data and Computer Communications," Prentice-Hall, 5th Edition, 1997, ISBN-81-203-1240-6, ("Stallings")
1012	Office Action dated 4/13/2012 for U.S. Application No. 10/034,197
1013	Office Action dated 2/2/2012 for U.S. Application No. 10/034,197
1014	FatPipe's Proposed Modifications to Claim Construction
1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,317,431 by Terence G. Hodgkinson and Alan W. O'Neill entitled "ATM Partial Cut-Through" ("Hodgkinson")
1016	Adaptive Private Networking Configuration Editor User's Guide, APNware Release 2.5 (FATPIPE-001374-1448)

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.100 *et seq.*, Talari Networks, Inc. (“Petitioner”) hereby respectfully requests *inter partes* review of claims 4, 5, 7-15, and 19 (“Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235 (Ex. 1001; “the ’235 Patent”) which issued on August 10, 2004. As explained in this Petition, there exists a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail with respect to at least one of the Challenged Claims. The Challenged Claims are unpatentable over the prior art publications identified and applied in this Petition.

I. MANDATORY NOTICES

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8, Petitioner provides the following disclosures:

A. Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))

Petitioner, Talari Networks, Inc., located at 1 Almaden Blvd., Suite 200, San Jose, California 95113, is the real party-in-interest for the instant petition.

B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2))

The ’235 Patent is currently involved in a pending lawsuit involving Petitioner originally captioned *FatPipe, Inc. v. Talari Networks, Inc.*, United States District Court For the Eastern District Of Texas, Case No. 6:15-CV-458. On February 2, 2016, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas ordered the case to be transferred to the Western Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, Case No. 5:16-CV-54-BO (“the District Court Litigation”). (Ex. 1008.)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.