

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TALARI NETWORKS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FATPIPE NETWORKS INDIA LIMITED,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00976
Patent U.S. 6,775,235

DECLARATION OF JOEL WILLIAMS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Qualifications and Experience.....	2
	A. Education and work experience	2
	B. Compensation.....	5
	C. Documents and other materials relied upon.....	5
III.	Statement of Legal Principles.....	5
	A. Anticipation.....	5
	B. Obviousness.....	6
IV.	Claim Construction.....	8
	A. “selects between network interfaces on a per-packet basis”/“make network path selections on a packet-by-packet basis.”	9
	B. “dynamic load-balancing”.....	14
V.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	17
VI.	The ’235 Patent.....	17
VII.	The Karol Reference.....	22
VIII.	Claim 4 is not Anticipated by or Obvious over Karol.....	27
	A. Karol does not disclose or render obvious the claimed “packet path selector”	27
	B. Karol does not disclose the claimed “site interface”.....	31
IX.	Claims 5 and 7–15 are not Anticipated by Karol or Obvious over Karol Alone or in view of Stallings.....	36
	A. Claim 5 is patentable over Karol alone or in view of Stallings	36
	1. Karol does not anticipate claim 5.....	37
	2. Karol does not render obvious claim 5	40
	3. Karol in view of Stallings does not render obvious claim 5.....	42

B.	Claims 7–15 are patentable over Karol alone or in view of Stallings	44
X.	Claim 7 is not Anticipated by or Obvious over Karol.....	45
XI.	Claim 8 is not Anticipated by or Obvious over Karol.....	47
XII.	Claim 9 is not Anticipated by or Obvious over Karol.....	51
XIII.	Claims 11–13 are not Anticipated by Karol or Obvious over Karol Alone or in view of Stallings.....	52
XIV.	Claim 19 is not Anticipated by Karol or Obvious over Karol Alone or in view of Stallings	55
A.	Karol does not disclose the claimed “site interface”.....	56
B.	Karol does not disclose the claimed “a packet path selector which selects between the network interfaces on a per-session basis to promote load-balancing”	56
C.	Karol does not disclose the claimed “step of sending a packet to the controller site interface is repeated as multiple packets are sent, and the controller sends different packets of a given message to different parallel networks.”	59
XV.	Conclusion	60

I. Introduction

1. My name is Joel Williams.

2. I have been engaged by the Exclusive Licensee FatPipe, Inc. (“FatPipe”) to investigate and opine on certain issues relating to U.S. Patent No. 6,775,235 B2 (“the ’235 patent”) in connection with FatPipe’s Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review in IPR2016-00976.

3. I understand that FatPipe has asserted the ’235 patent against Talari in an on-going patent infringement lawsuit, *FatPipe, Inc. v. Talari Networks Inc.*, which was originally filed as Case No. 6:15-CV-458 in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, and then transferred to United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, Case No. 5:16-CV-54-BO.

4. In this declaration, I will first discuss the technology background related to the ’235 patent and then provide my analyses and opinions on claims 4-5, 7-15, and 19 for the ’235 patent.

5. This declaration is based on the information currently available to me. To the extent that additional information becomes available, I reserve the right to continue my investigation and study, which may include a review of documents and information that may be produced, as well as testimony from depositions that may not yet be taken.

6. In forming my opinions, I have relied on information and evidence identified in this declaration, including the '235 patent, the prosecution history, and prior art references listed in the Grounds of Petitioner's challenges, and the declarations submitted by Dr. Negus.

II. Qualifications and Experience

A. Education and work experience

7. Attached as Exhibit A to this declaration is a copy of my curriculum vitae, which provides a substantially complete list of my education, experience and publications that are relevant to the subject matter of this report.

8. I received a B.S. in Computer Science from the Ohio State University in 1978.

9. I have worked on the design of numerous network routers and other network devices for a number of major Silicon Valley companies, including HP, Cisco, Space Systems Loral, and a number of small start-up companies.

10. I worked for Bell Telephone Laboratories from 1970 to 1978. As an Associate Member of the Technical Staff, I participated in the development of network management systems and central office interfaces.

11. While working for Bell Telephone Laboratories, I attended Ohio State University, receiving a Bachelor of Science in Computer Science in 1978.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.