

Filed on behalf of Petitioner  
By: Joseph J. Richetti  
Kevin E. Paganini  
Bryan Cave LLP  
1290 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10104  
Tel: (212) 541-2000  
Fax: (212) 541-4630

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

PROOFPOINT, INC. AND  
ARMORIZE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Petitioner

v.

FINJAN, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

IPR2016-00967  
U.S. Patent No. 8,225,408

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.100 *et seq.***

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1) .....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....	1
B.	Related Matters - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....	2
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....	2
D.	Service Information - 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4) .....	2
E.	Power of Attorney .....	3
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....	3
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108.....	3
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....	3
B.	Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested .....	3
C.	Threshold Requirement for Inter Partes Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	4
V.	BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE ‘408 PATENT .....	4
A.	Malware Detection .....	4
B.	Static Analysis Using Parse Trees.....	5
C.	Malware & Vulnerability Detection.....	8
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘408 PATENT .....	8
A.	Brief Description of the ‘408 Patent .....	8
B.	Petitioned Claims of the ‘408 Patent.....	8
C.	Priority Date of the ‘408 Patent.....	9
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3).....	10
A.	“Parse tree” (all claims).....	11
B.	“Dynamically building . . . while said receiving receives the incoming stream” (variants in all claims).....	11
C.	“Dynamically detecting . . . while said dynamically building builds the parse tree” (variants in all claims).....	12

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 8,225,408

D.	"Instantiating . . . a scanner for the specific programming language"	13
VIII.	PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE OF THE ART	14
IX.	CLAIMS 1, 9, 22, 23, 29, AND 35 OF THE '408 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE	14
A.	Overview of Chandnani	15
B.	Overview of Kolawa	16
C.	Overview of Walls	16
D.	Chandnani, Kolawa, and Walls Are All Analogous Art	17
E.	General Motivations to Combine the Prior Art Teachings	18
X.	CHANDNANI IN VIEW OF KOLAWA RENDERS THE PETITIONED CLAIMS INVALID AS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (GROUND 1)	19
A.	Claim 1	20
1.	Claim 1 – preamble	20
2.	Claim element 1[a] – receiving a stream of code	20
3.	Claim element 1[b] – determining a programming language	20
4.	Claim element 1[c] – instantiating a scanner	21
5.	Claim element 1[d] – scanner with language-specific rules	21
a.	Claim element 1[e] - parser rules	22
b.	Claim element 1[f] - analyzer rules	23
6.	Claim element 1[g] – identifying tokens	24
7.	Claim element 1[h] – dynamically building a parse tree	25
a.	Building a parse tree	25
b.	Dynamically building	29
8.	Claim element 1[i] – dynamically detecting exploits	31
a.	Detecting potential exploits	31
b.	Dynamically detecting	33
9.	Claim element 1[j] – indicating presence of exploits	34
B.	Claim 9	34
1.	Claim 9 – preamble	35

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 8,225,408

2.	Claim element 9[a] – computer-readable storage medium.....	36
3.	Claim element 9[b] – receiver.....	36
4.	Claim element 9[c] – multi-lingual language detector .....	37
5.	Claim element 9[d] – scanner instantiator .....	37
6.	Claim element 9[e] – rules accessor .....	38
7.	Claim elements 9[f]-[g] – parser and analyzer rules .....	39
8.	Claim element 9[h] – tokenizer.....	39
9.	Claim element 9[i] – parser.....	40
10.	Claim element 9[j] – analyzer.....	40
11.	Claim element 9[k] – notifier.....	41
C.	Claim 22 .....	42
1.	Claim 22 – preamble .....	43
2.	Claim elements 22[a]-[e] and [g]-[j].....	44
3.	Claim element 22[f] – analyzer rules.....	44
D.	Claim 23 .....	44
1.	Claim elements 23[b], [c], [f], and [i] .....	45
2.	Claim element 23[a] – exploits as patterns of tokens & rules ..	45
3.	Claim element 23[d] – rules used to express exploits .....	46
4.	Claim element 23[g] – dynamically building a parse tree.....	47
5.	Claim element 23[h] – dynamically detecting exploits .....	48
E.	Claim 29 .....	48
1.	Claim 29 – limitations 29[a], [f], and [g].....	50
2.	Claim elements 29[c]-[e] – exploits, tokens, and rules .....	50
3.	Claim element 29[b] – accessor.....	51
4.	Claim element 29[h] – parser.....	51
5.	Claim element 29[i] – analyzer.....	52
6.	Claim element 29[k] – notifier.....	53
F.	Claim 35 .....	54
1.	Claim 35 – preamble .....	55
2.	Claim elements 35[b]-[f] and [h]-[i] .....	55

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Patent No. 8,225,408

3.	Claim element 35[a] – expressing exploits.....	55
4.	Claim element 35[g] – dynamically building .....	56
XI.	CHANDNANI IN VIEW OF KOLAWA AND WALLS RENDERS THE PETITIONED CLAIMS INVALID AS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (GROUND 2).....	56
A.	Dynamically building a parse tree.....	56
B.	Dynamically detecting potential exploits.....	59
XII.	NO SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS EXIST .....	60

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.