

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By:

Joseph E. Palys
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 551-1996
Facsimile: (202) 551-0496
E-mail:
PH-VirnetX-IPR@paulhastings.com

Naveen Modi
Paul Hastings LLP
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 551-1990
Facsimile: (202) 551-0490
E-mail:
PH-VirnetX-IPR@paulhastings.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLACK SWAMP IP, LLC
Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC.
Patent Owner

Case IPR2016-00957
Patent 7,921,211

**Patent Owner's Preliminary Response
to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
LIST OF EXHIBITS	vii
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Consistent With Board Precedent and Policy, the Board Should Not Institute This Duplicative Challenge to the '211 Patent.....	3
A. The Petition Is the Thirteenth <i>Inter Partes</i> Office Challenge to the '211 Patent.....	3
B. Denial of the Petition Under 35 U.S.C. §§ 315(d) and 325(d) Is Authorized and Appropriate.....	5
III. The Petition Does Not Demonstrate a Reasonable Likelihood of Establishing that Any of the Challenged Claims Are Unpatentable	9
A. Overview of the '211 Patent.....	10
B. The Petition Lacks Required Expert Testimony	13
C. The Petition Fails to Properly Define a Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	17
D. The Petition's Claim Constructions Are Flawed and Should Be Rejected	18
1. "Domain Name Service System" (Claims 1, 5, 15, 16, 23, 27, 36, 51 and 60).....	18
2. "Indicate"/"Indicating" (Claims 1, 36, and 60)	19
3. "Secure Communication Link" (Claims 1, 16, 27, 36, 40, 51, and 60).....	22
4. "Transparently" (Claims 27 and 51).....	29
E. Kiuchi Does Not Anticipate Any of the Challenged Claims	30

1.	Overview of Kiuchi.....	30
2.	Independent Claim 1	33
3.	Independent Claims 36 and 60.....	37
4.	Dependent Claims 15 and 39	37
5.	Dependent Claims 27 and 51	39
6.	Dependent Claims 2, 5, 6, 16, 23, 37, 40 and 47	40
IV.	Conclusion	40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Circuit Cases	
<i>Alexsam, Inc. v. IDT Corp.</i> , 715 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	15
<i>Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Concepts In Optics, Inc.</i> , 111 F. App'x 582 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	15
<i>Biogen Idec, Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline</i> , 713 F.3d 1090 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	26, 29
<i>Brand v. Miller</i> , 487 F.3d 862 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	14
<i>Centricut, LLC v. Esab Grp., Inc.</i> , 390 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	14, 15
<i>Eon-Net LP v. Flagstar Bancorp.</i> , 653 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	28
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	14
<i>Meitzner v. Mindick</i> , 549 F.2d 775 (C.C.P.A. 1977)	14
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	26, 29
<i>Proveris Scientific Corp. v. Innovasystems, Inc.</i> , 536 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	14
<i>Straight Path IP Grp., Inc. v. Sipnet EU S.R.O.</i> , 806 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	26, 27
<i>VirnetX, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 767 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	5, 27, 28, 37

Administrative Decisions

Apple Inc. v. VirnetX Inc.,
IPR2014-00404, Paper No. 42 (P.T.A.B. July 29, 2015)38

Benitec Biopharma Ltd. v. Cold Spring Harbor Lab.,
IPR2016-00017, Paper No. 7 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 6, 2016).....16

Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. The Procter & Gamble Co.,
IPR2014-00628, Paper No. 23 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 30, 2015)7

Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC,
IPR2012-00001, Paper No. 15 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 9, 2013)27

Jiawei Technology (HK) Ltd., et al. v. Richmond,
IPR2015-00580, Paper No. 22 (P.T.A.B. May 2, 2015)7

Motorola Solutions, Inc. v. Mobile Scanning Techs., LLC,
IPR2013-00093, Paper No. 28 (P.T.A.B. Apr. 29, 2013)27

Prism Pharma Co., Ltd. v. Choongwae Pharma Corp.,
IPR2014-00315, Paper No. 14 (P.T.A.B. July 8, 2014)8

Sharp Corp. v. Surpass Tech Innovation LLC,
IPR2015-00022, Paper No. 9 (P.T.A.B. Mar. 10, 2015)14

Trivascular, Inc. v. Shaun L.W. Samuels,
IPR2013-00493, Paper No. 45 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 3, 2014)16

Unified Patents, Inc. v. Personal Web Technologies, LLC et al.,
IPR2014-00702, Paper No. 13 (P.T.A.B. July 24, 2014)6, 7

Xilinx, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC,
IPR2013-00112, Paper No. 14 (P.T.A.B. June 27, 2013)27

Zetec, Inc. v. Westinghouse Elec. Co., LLC,
IPR2014-00384, Paper No. 10 (P.T.A.B. July 23, 2014)15

ZTE Corp. & ZTE (USA) Inc. v. ContentGuard Holdings Inc.,
IPR2013-00134, Paper No. 12 (P.T.A.B. June 19, 2013)27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.