```
1
                    THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                             TYLER DIVISION
 3
 4
    VIRNETX, INC.
                                      DOCKET NO. 6:10cv417
 5
          -vs-
 6
                                      Tyler, Texas
                                      9:00 a.m.
    CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL
                                      January 5, 2012
 8
9
                     TRANSCRIPT OF MARKMAN HEARING
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE LEONARD DAVIS,
10
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
                         APPEARANCES
12
              (SEE SIGN-IN SHEETS DOCKETED IN THIS CASE.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
    COURT REPORTER:
                             MS. SHEA SLOAN
22
                             211 West Ferguson
                             Tyler, Texas 75702
23
    Proceedings taken by Machine Stenotype; transcript was
24
    produced by a Computer.
25
```



- 1 the addressing scheme that is used to achieve a virtual
- 2 private network.
- 3 Because, Your Honor, there was no disclaimer of an
- 4 indirect communication here, because this was in the context
- 5 of an explanation to the Patent Office why Aventail is not a
- 6 virtual private network, there was no change of the claim
- 7 terms. There was no change in the specification. This is not
- 8 a portion of the prosecution history that amounts to any kind
- 9 of unequivocal disclaimer. But it certainly is not any kind
- 10 of suggestion that a break in the directness of a
- 11 electromechanical connection from one wire to computer to
- 12 computer to the actual reality of modern networks is utterly
- 13 unwarranted.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- Response, Mr. Desmarais?
- 16 MR. DESMARAIS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Let me
- 17 just start right out and say that is not what we are arguing.
- 18 We are not arguing it has to be one wire, and we are not
- 19 arguing it has to be an electromechanical connection. Not at
- 20 all. These products go over the network, and we are not
- 21 disputing that.
- 22 What we are arguing is exactly what they said to the
- 23 Patent Office, so let me show you that.
- 24 Slide 23, please.
- 25 After Your Honor's patent order, the Patent Office



- 1 rejected all the claims on the '135 and '180 patents. Those
- 2 patents were dead on arrival. They were rejected over this
- 3 Aventail reference, which I have shown a picture of here on
- 4 Slide 23.
- 5 VirnetX, if they wanted to get these patents out of
- 6 the Patent Office, had to distinguish their VPN from what you
- 7 see in that picture. That is what they did, and they did it
- 8 unequivocally.
- 9 If you look on Slide 24, this is what VirnetX said
- 10 to the Patent Office to get these patents issued. Third,
- 11 Aventail has not been shown to disclose a VPN because
- 12 computers connected according to Aventail do not communicate
- 13 directly with each other.
- 14 And if you look at the picture down below, Your
- 15 Honor, before we go on, it is not the Internet cloud in
- 16 between the target and the client that we are talking about.
- 17 It is that server that I made yellow. Okay. So we are not
- 18 saying that these products don't go over the Internet. Of
- 19 course, they do.
- 20 Let's look at what VirnetX said they meant by
- 21 directly. They said -- and we can jump down to the yellowed,
- 22 red-underlined part. "All communications between the client
- 23 and target stop and start at the intermediate SOCKS server."
- 24 That is the one I colored yellow in the photo $\operatorname{--}$ in the
- 25 picture.



- 1 The client cannot open a connection with the target
- 2 itself. Therefore, one skilled in the art would not have
- 3 considered the client and target to be virtually on the same
- 4 private network. Instead, the client computer and target
- 5 computer are deliberately separated by an intermediate SOCKS
- 6 server. There is a huge difference between what happens in
- 7 the Internet and a terminating server.
- 8 In the Internet -- the Internet is connected -- and
- 9 I am going to show you some photos of that -- some diagrams of
- 10 that -- by a bunch of routers that just take in a packet and
- 11 send a packet out. A server actually receives a communication
- 12 and processes it. It is two very different functions.
- 13 THE COURT: But are you saying that the firewall or
- 14 routers or switches would be included?
- 15 MR. DESMARAIS: I can show you exactly if we look at
- 16 Slide 28, please.
- 17 THE COURT: Would that prevent a direct
- 18 communication?
- 19 MR. DESMARAIS: Not the Internet, not routers, not
- 20 things that are -- if you look at Slide 28 we can sort of talk
- 21 about it more concretely.
- 22 If you look at Slide 28, what VirnetX told the
- 23 Patent Office is, in fact, entirely consistent with what they
- 24 show in their patent. So the top two figures here on Slide
- 25 28, Your Honor, are from the patent; and the bottom figure is



- 1 from Aventail, the prior art. So you see in that figure on
- 2 the top left where I colored it in yellow, those are all
- 3 Internet routers.
- 4 And you see the VirnetX box 100 at the top left is
- 5 the client set and the box 110 at the bottom right is the
- 6 target set. So you see the client and the target are the only
- 7 two computer-type apparatuses in between the Internet. So the
- 8 client calls up the target. They certainly use the Internet
- 9 and they get routed across the Internet. But coming out of
- 10 the Internet on the other side is the target. There is not an
- 11 intermediate server that the client had targeted. Instead,
- 12 they target the target computer. That is the difference --
- 13 THE COURT: Are you talking about an intermediate
- 14 server that does something?
- 15 MR. DESMARAIS: Exactly. Yes. So, for instance,
- 16 let me give you an example. I am a client, and I want to call
- 17 Mr. Williams. If I send a message to Your Honor and I say --
- 18 I call you up, I transfer the message to you, and then you get
- 19 the message, you open it, you process it, and you decide I'm
- 20 going to send it to Mr. Williams, then I have opened up a
- 21 communication with you. It goes to Mr. Williams, but I opened
- 22 up the communication to you.
- 23 If I am sending a communication to Mr. Williams, it
- 24 is addressed to Mr. Williams and I send it and all you do is
- 25 grab it and give it to him and don't do anything to it, then



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

