Paper No. ____
Filed: April 27, 2016

Filed on behalf of: Blue Coat Systems, Inc.
By: Michael T. Rosato (mrosato@wsgr.com)
Andrew S. Brown (asbrown@wsgr.com)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2016-00956
Patent No. 8,225,408

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,225,408

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. Introduction 1

II. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1) 2

A. Real Party-ln-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) 2

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) 2

C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 3

III. REQUIREMENTS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 AND 42.108 3

A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) 3

B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested 4

C. hreshold Requirement for *Inter Partes* Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) 4

IV. BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE '408 PATENT 5

A. Malware Detection 5

B. Static Analysis Using Parse Trees 6

C. Malware and Vulnerability Detection 8

V. SUMMARY OF THE '408 PATENT 8

A. Brief Description of the '408 Patent 8

B. Petitioned Claims of the '408 Patent 9

C. Priority Date of the '408 Patent 10

VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3) 11

A. "Parse tree" (all claims) 11

B. "Dynamically building . . . while said receiving receives the incoming stream" (variants in all claims) 12

C. "Dynamically detecting . . . while said dynamically building builds the parse tree" (variants in all claims) 13

D. "Instantiating . . . a scanner for the specific programming language" (variants in all claims) 14

VII. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART & STATE OF THE ART 15

VIII. PETITIONED CLAIMS 3-7, 12-16, AND 18-21 OF THE '408 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE 15

A. Overview of Chandnani 16

B. Overview of Kolawa 16

C. Overview of Walls 17

D. Overview of Huang 18

E. Chandnani, Kolawa, Walls, and Huang Are All Analogous Art 19

F. General Motivations to Combine the Prior Art Teachings 20

IX. CHANDNANI IN VIEW OF KOLAWA RENDERS THE PETITIONED CLAIMS 3-5, 12-16, AND 18-19 INVALID AS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (GROUND 1) 20

A. Claim 1 21

i. Claim 1 – preamble 21

ii. Claim element 1[a] – receiving a stream of code 21

iii. Claim element 1[b] – determining a programming language 21

iv. Claim element 1[c] – instantiating a scanner 22

v. Claim element 1[d] – scanner with language-specific rules 22
1. Claim element 1[e] - parser rules 23
2. Claim element 1[f] - analyzer rules 24

vi. Claim element 1[g] – identifying tokens 25

vii. Claim element 1[h] – dynamically building a parse tree 25
1. Building a parse tree 26
2. Dynamically building 30

viii. Claim element 1[i] – dynamically detecting exploits 31
1. Detecting potential exploits 31
2. Dynamically detecting 32

ix. Claim element 1[j] – indicating presence of exploits 33

B. Claim 9 34

i. Claim 9 – preamble 35

ii. Claim element 9[a] – computer-readable storage medium 35

iii. Claim element 9[b] – receiver 36

iv. Claim element 9[c] – multi-lingual language detector 36

v. Claim element 9[d] – scanner instantiator 37

vi. Claim element 9[e] – rules accessor 38

vii. Claim elements 9[f]-[g] – parser and analyzer rules 38

viii. Claim element 9[h] – tokenizer 39

ix. Claim element 9[i] – parser 39

x. Claim element 9[j] – analyzer 40

xi. Claim element 9[k] – notifier 41

C. Dependent Claim 3: "The method of claim 1 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched" 41

...

D. Dependent Claim 4: "The method of claim 1 wherein the specific programming language is JavaScript" 43

E. Dependent Claim 5: "The method of claim 1 wherein the specific programming language is Visual Basic VBScript" 44

F. Dependent Claim 12: "The system of claim 9 wherein said parser comprises a pattern-matching engine, for matching a pattern within a sequence of tokens in accordance with the parser rules accessed by said rules accessor" 44

G. Dependent Claim 13: "The system of claim 12 wherein the parser rules accessed by said rules accessor are represented as finite-state machines" 45

H. Dependent Claim 14: "The system of claim 12 wherein the parser rules are represented as pattern expression trees" 45

I. Dependent Claim 15: "The system of claim 12 wherein parser rules are merged into a single deterministic finite automaton (DFA)" 46

J. Dependent Claim 16: "The system of claim 9 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched" 47

K. Dependent Claim 18: "The system of claim 9 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched" 47

L. Dependent Claim 19: "The system of claim 9 wherein the parser rules and analyzer rules include actions to be performed when rules are matched" 47

X. CHANDNANI IN VIEW OF KOLAWA AND HUANG RENDERS THE PETITIONED CLAIMS 6-7 AND 20-21 INVALID AS OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103 (GROUND 2) 48

A. Dependent Claim 6: "The method of claim 1 wherein the specific programming language is HTML" 48

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.