

Paper No. ____
Filed: April 27, 2016

Filed on behalf of: Blue Coat Systems, Inc.
By: Michael T. Rosato (mrosato@wsgr.com)
Andrew S. Brown (asbrown@wsgr.com)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2016-00955
Patent No. 8,225,408

**PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,225,408**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Mandatory Notices Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1)	2
A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	2
B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	2
D. Service Information	3
E. Power of Attorney.....	3
III. [RESERVED]	3
IV. Requirements for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104 and 42.108.....	3
A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	3
B. Identification of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	4
C. Threshold Requirement for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	4
V. Background of Technology Related to the '408 Patent	4
A. Malware Detection.....	5
B. Static Analysis Using Parse Trees	5
C. Malware & Vulnerability Detection	8
VI. Summary of the '408 Patent.....	8
A. Brief Description of the '408 Patent.....	8
B. Petitioned Claims of the '408 Patent	9

C.	Priority Date of the '408 Patent.....	10
VII.	Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	11
A.	“Parse tree” (all claims)	11
B.	“Dynamically building . . . while said receiving receives the incoming stream” (variants in all claims).....	11
C.	“Dynamically detecting . . . while said dynamically building builds the parse tree” (variants in all claims).....	13
D.	“Instantiating . . . a scanner for the specific programming language” (claims 1 and 22).....	14
VIII.	Person Having Ordinary Skill in the Art & State of the Art	14
IX.	Claims 1, 9, 22, 23, 29, And 35 of the '408 Patent are Unpatentable.....	15
A.	Overview of Chandnani	15
B.	Overview of Kolawa	16
C.	Overview of Walls	17
D.	Chandnani, Kolawa, and Walls Are All Analogous Art	17
E.	General Motivations to Combine the Prior Art Teachings.....	18
X.	Chandnani in View of Kolawa Renders the Petitioned Claims Invalid as Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (Ground 1).....	19
A.	Claim 1	19
1.	Claim 1 – preamble.....	19
2.	Claim element 1[a] – receiving a stream of code.....	20
3.	Claim element 1[b] – determining a programming language	20
4.	Claim element 1[c] – instantiating a scanner.....	21
5.	Claim element 1[d] – scanner with language-specific rules	21

a.	Claim element 1[e] - parser rules	22
b.	Claim element 1[f] - analyzer rules	23
6.	Claim element 1[g] – identifying tokens	24
7.	Claim element 1[h] – dynamically building a parse tree.....	24
a.	Building a parse tree	24
b.	Dynamically building.....	28
8.	Claim element 1[i] – dynamically detecting exploits.....	30
a.	Detecting potential exploits.....	30
b.	Dynamically detecting	31
9.	Claim element 1[j] – indicating presence of exploits.....	32
B.	Claim 9	32
1.	Claim 9 – preamble.....	34
2.	Claim element 9[a] – computer-readable storage medium.....	34
3.	Claim element 9[b] – receiver.....	34
4.	Claim element 9[c] – multi-lingual language detector.....	35
5.	Claim element 9[d] – scanner instantiator	36
6.	Claim element 9[e] – rules accessor.....	36
7.	Claim elements 9[f]-[g] – parser and analyzer rules	37
8.	Claim element 9[h] – tokenizer	37
9.	Claim element 9[i] – parser.....	38
10.	Claim element 9[j] – analyzer	39
11.	Claim element 9[k] – notifier	39
C.	Claim 22	40
1.	Claim 22 – preamble.....	41
2.	Claim elements 22[a]-[e] and [g]-[j]	41
3.	Claim element 22[f] – analyzer rules	42

D.	Claim 23	42
1.	Claim elements 23[b], [c], [f], and [i].....	43
2.	Claim element 23[a] – exploits as patterns of tokens & rules	43
3.	Claim element 23[d] – rules used to express exploits	44
4.	Claim element 23[g] – dynamically building a parse tree.....	44
5.	Claim element 23[h] – dynamically detecting exploits.....	45
E.	Claim 29	46
1.	Claim 29 – limitations 29[a], [f], and [g].....	47
2.	Claim elements 29[c]-[e] – exploits, tokens, and rules	48
3.	Claim element 29[b] – accessor	48
4.	Claim element 29[h] – parser	49
5.	Claim element 29[i] – analyzer	49
6.	Claim element 29[k] – notifier	50
F.	Claim 35	51
1.	Claim 35 – preamble	52
2.	Claim elements 35[b]-[f] and [h]-[i].....	52
3.	Claim element 35[a] – expressing exploits.....	52
4.	Claim element 35[g] – dynamically building	53
XI.	Chandnani in View of Kolawa and Walls Renders the Petitioned Claims Invalid as Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (Ground 2)	53
A.	Dynamically building a parse tree	53
B.	Dynamically detecting potential exploits	55
XII.	No Secondary Considerations of Non-Obviousness Exist	56

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.