UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
90/013,016	10/07/2013	7647633	FINREXM0005	9521
115222 7590 05/22/2015 Bey & Cotropia PLLC (Finjan Inc.) Dawn-Marie Bey			EXAMINER BASEHOAR, ADAM L	
213 Bayly Cou	rt			
Richmond, VA	. 23229		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3992	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2015	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

RYAN W. COBB, DLA PIPER LLP (US)

2000 University Avenue

East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2215

EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/013,016.

PATENT NO. <u>7647633</u>.

ART UNIT <u>3992</u>.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Application/Control Number: 90/013,016 Art Unit: 3992

ΟΟΚΕ

The present application is being examined under the pre-AIA first to invent provisions.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action addresses original claims 1-7 and 28-33 as well as newly presented claims 42-52 of United States Patent Number 7,647,633 B2 (Edery et al.), for which it has been determined in the Order Granting Ex Parte Reexamination (hereafter the "Order") mailed 11/19/2013 that a substantial new question of patentability was raised in the Request for *Ex Parte* reexamination filed on 10/07/2013 (hereafter the "Request"). Claims 8-27 and 34-41 of the Edery '633 patent are not subject to this reexamination proceeding. A Non-Final Action was mailed on 11/19/2013 rejecting claims 1-7 and 28-33.

2. This is a <u>Final Action</u> in response to the Patent Owner's (PO) Response to Non-Final Office Action (hereafter the "PO Response") filed 02/19/2014. The PO Response included claim amendments to present new claims 42-52. The claim amendments have been entered and made of record. Thus, claims 1-7, 28-33, and 42-52 are currently pending and subject to reexamination.

3. Patent Owner filed a Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Priority Claim under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 on 02/19/2014. The Petition was accompanied by an Amendment to the Specification to correct the language of the benefit claim recited in the first paragraph of the specification. On 07/25/2014 the Office mailed a Petition Decision that <u>dismissed</u> said Petition. Therefore, the Amendment to the Specification filed on 02/19/2014 has not been entered. Application/Control Number: 90/013,016 Art Unit: 3992

Subsequently, Patent Owner filed a Renewed Petition to Accept Unintentionally Delayed Priority Claim under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78 on 08/25/2014. The Renewed Petition was accompanied by a Supplemental Amendment to the Specification to correct the language of the benefit claim recited in the first paragraph of the specification. On 01/23/2015 the Office mailed a Petition Decision that <u>granted</u> the Renewed Petition. Therefore, the Supplemental Amendment to the Specification filed on 08/25/2014 has been entered and made of record.

4. PO's Response filed 02/19/2014 further included Declarations, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.132, of Dr. Nenad Medvidovic (hereafter the "Medvidovic Declaration") and of Phil Harstein (hereafter the "Harstein Declaration"). Both the Medvidovic Declaration and the Harstein Declaration, including their accompanying Exhibits, have been entered and made of record as discussed below.

5. It is noted that Patent Owner has provided notice that the related co-pending litigation proceeding Case No: 13-03133 SBA (Finjan Inc. vs. Fireeye, Inc.) was <u>STAYED</u> pending reexamination on 05/30/2014.

Reexamination

6. The Patent Owner is reminded of the continuing responsibility under 37 CFR 1.565(a) to apprise the Office of any litigation activity, or other prior or concurrent proceeding, involving Patent No. 7,647,633 B2 throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. The third party requester is also reminded of the ability to similarly apprise the Office of any such activity or

Application/Control Number: 90/013,016 Art Unit: 3992

proceeding throughout the course of this reexamination proceeding. See MPEP §§ 2207, 2282 and 2286.

Information Disclosure Statement

7. Regarding Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submissions, MPEP 2256 recites the following: "Where patents, publications, and other such items of information are submitted by a party (patent owner or requester) in compliance with the requirements of the rules, the requisite degree of consideration to be given to such information will be normally limited by the degree to which the party filing the information citation has explained the content and relevance of the information. The initials of the examiner placed adjacent to the citations on the form PTO/SB/08A and 08B or its equivalent, without an indication to the contrary in the record, do not signify that the information has been considered by the examiner any further than to the extent noted above."

Accordingly, the IDS submissions filed by Patent Owner on 12/20/2013, 02/18/2014, 02/19/2014, 03/28/2014, 06/05/2014, 06/10/2014, 06/24/2014, 10/01/2014, 11/19/2014, 02/25/2015, and 04/01/2015 have been considered by the Examiner only with the scope required by MPEP 2256, unless otherwise noted.

References Discussed in This Final Action

- Ji (U.S. Patent No. 5,983,348, filed 09/10/1997, published 11/09/1999)
- Liu (U.S. Patent No. 6,058,482, filed 05/22/1998, published 05/02/2000)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.