

Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Filed: July 29, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

General Electric Company,  
Petitioner

v.

United Technologies Corporation,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2016-00952  
Patent No. 9,121,412

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction.....	1
II.	Background.....	3
	A. Patent Owner is a Leading Designer, Developer, and Manufacturer of Gas Turbine Engines .....	3
	B. Petitioner Competes with Patent Owner .....	5
	C. The '412 Patent Describes and Claims an Innovative Geared Turbofan Engine.....	5
	1. Geared Turbofan Architecture of the '412 Patent .....	5
	2. Propulsor and Propulsor Solidity .....	8
	3. Air Flow and Bypass Flow Pressure Ratio .....	10
	4. Benefits of the '412 Engine Design.....	13
III.	Claim Construction.....	14
	A. “pressure ratio . . . with regard to an inlet pressure and an outlet pressure of said bypass flow passage” .....	14
	1. Petitioner’s Construction is Inconsistent With the Intrinsic Evidence .....	16
	2. Extrinsic Evidence Demonstrates that “Fan Pressure Ratio” and Bypass Flow Passage Pressure Ratio Are Not Equivalent .....	18
	B. “spool” .....	23
	C. “propulsor” .....	25
IV.	The Petition Fails to Meet the Requirements for Instituting an <i>Inter Partes</i> Review .....	26

A.	To Focus the Issues Before the Board, Patent Owner Has Disclaimed Claims 9 and 10.....	26
B.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 Are Not Anticipated by Davies .....	26
1.	Overview of Davies .....	26
2.	Davies Does Not Disclose the “Ratio of N/R” Claimed in Challenged Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 .....	28
3.	Davies Does Not Disclose the “Pressure Ratio ... of a Bypass Flow Passage” Required by Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 .....	31
C.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 11 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Davies in View of Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art .....	37
1.	Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8 are Not Obvious Over Davies.....	38
2.	Claim 11 is Not Obvious Over Davies .....	42
D.	Ground 3: Claims 5 Is Not Rendered Obvious by Davies in View of Middleton .....	44
E.	Ground 4: Claims 1, 3, and 4 Are Not Rendered Obvious by Schaefer in View of the Knowledge of One of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	45
1.	Overview of Schaefer .....	45
2.	Schaefer Does Not Render Obvious the Challenged Claims .....	46
F.	Grounds 1-4: The Petition Fails to Establish that Davies or Schaefer Discloses the Claimed Spool.....	50
1.	Davies Fails to Disclose the Claimed Spool.....	50
2.	Schaefer Also Fails to Disclose the Claimed Spool and Gear Arrangement.....	53
V.	Conclusion .....	55

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Crocs, Inc. v. ITC</i> , 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed Cir. 2010) .....	41
<i>Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC v. Lee</i> , 136 S.Ct. 2131 (U.S. 2016).....	14
<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. United Tech. Corp.</i> , IPR2016-00531, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. June 30, 2016) .....	20
<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. United Tech. Corp.</i> , IPR2016-00533, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. June 30, 2016) .....	20
<i>HP Inc. v. Memjet Technology Ltd</i> , IPR2016-00356, Paper 7 (P.T.A.B. June 16, 2016) .....	51
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....	38, 41
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007).....	38, 41
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	14
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	32
<i>In re Zletz</i> , 893 F.2d 319 (Fed. Cir. 1989) .....	14
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 102 .....	26, 32
35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	37, 44

**Regulation**

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....14

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.