UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., Petitioner,

v.

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP., Patent Owner.

> Case No. IPR2016-00952 U.S. Patent No. 9,121,412

PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE SERVED WITH PATENT OWNER UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP.'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Petitioner General Electric Co., hereby objects as follows to the admissibility of evidence with Patent Owner United Technologies Corp.'s Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 9,121,412 (IPR2016-00952).

Evidence	Objections
UTC-2012	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided
	sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it
	allegedly shows.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
	FRE 802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient
	to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner
	claims it is.
UTC-2013	Petitioner maintains its objections made during the
	deposition of Reza Abhari.
	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon

D

Δ

Objections
which trial was instituted.
FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided
sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it
allegedly shows.
FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon
which trial was instituted.
FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
FRE 802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to
prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient
to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner
claims it is.

Evidence	Objections
UTC-2015	FRE 403: The probative value of Paragraphs 17-110 to any
	ground upon which trial was instituted is substantially
	outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the
	issues, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly cumulative
	evidence.
	FRE 602: Paragraphs 7 and 17-110 of the exhibit include
	assertions for which evidence has not been introduced
	sufficient to show that the witness has personal knowledge of
	the matters asserted.
	FRE 701/702/703: Paragraphs 17-110 of the exhibit include
	opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701, 702, or 703,
	or Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S. 579
	(1993).
	FRE 1006: Exhibit provides an improper summary of the
	evidence.
	FRE 705 / 37 C.F.R. § 42.65: Exhibit includes expert
	testimony that does not disclose the underlying facts or data.
UTC-2016	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided
	sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it

Evidence	Objections
	allegedly shows.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
	FRE 802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 901: Patent Owner has not produced evidence sufficient
	to support a finding that the exhibit is what Patent Owner
	claims it is.
UTC-2017	Lack of Foundation: Patent Owner has not provided
	sufficient explanation of what the exhibit is or what it
	allegedly shows.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly cumulative evidence.
	FRE 802 : the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.