

Filed on behalf of Oxbo International Corporation

By: Andrew J. Lagatta, Reg. No. 62,529
Merchant & Gould P.C.
3200 IDS Center
80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Tel: (612) 371-5383
Fax: (612) 332-9081

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

H&S MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.

Petitioner,

v.

OXBO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00950
Patent 8,166,739

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE '739 PATENT	4
	A. Background	4
	B. Invention of the '739 patent	6
	C. Relevant prosecution history of the '739 patent	9
III.	PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	10
IV.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	11
	A. Conveyor	12
	B. Continuous line of material pickup	13
V.	OVERVIEW OF THE ASSERTED REFERENCES	14
	A. Compost Turner.....	14
	B. Crop inverter and fluffer	16
	C. Twin Merger.....	18
	D. Combine Harvesters	21
	1. Van der Lely.....	21
	2. Von Allwörden.....	22
	3. Declementi	25
	E. Swather Attachment	25
	F. Swather	27
	G. Hay harvester.....	28
VI.	STANDARD FOR GRANTING <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	29
VII.	THE LAW OF ANTICIPATION AND OBVIOUSNESS.....	30
VIII.	EACH GROUND SHOULD BE REJECTED AS FAILING TO ESTABLISH UNPATENTABILITY	31

A.	Ground 1: Claim 1 of the '739 Patent is not obvious over Schnittjer in view of Zhavoronkin	31
1.	Schnittjer is not applicable to the '739 patent	32
2.	The combination of Schnittjer and Zhavoronkin does not disclose all elements of claim 1	35
3.	A POSA would have had no reason to combine Schnittjer with Zhavoronkin or have had a reasonable expectation of success of doing so	43
B.	Ground 2: Claim 1 of the '739 Patent is not obvious over the combination of Dow '757 in view of van der Lely, in further view of von Allwörden	45
1.	The combination of Dow '757, van der Lely and von Allwörden does not disclose multiple elements of claim 1	46
a.	None of the references discloses a center (second) pickup assembly	46
b.	None of the references disclose a second pickup assembly including a second belt conveyor arranged to convey material in a direction transverse to the first direction of travel and driven by a second motor.	47
c.	None of the references disclose that when each of the first, second and third pickup assemblies are positioned in the extended use position, they provide a continuous line of material pickup.	50
d.	None of the references disclose first, second, and third belt conveyors being operable in either direction independently of the other belt conveyors.....	51
2.	A POSA would not have a reason to combine Dow '757 with van der Lely and/or von Allwörden or have had a reasonable expectation of success of doing so.....	52
3.	The disclosure of von Allwörden provides no reason to modify Dow '757.....	56

C.	Ground 3: Claim 1 of the '739 Patent is not obvious over the combination of Declementi in view of US Honey, in further view of Lohrentz.....	57
1.	None of Declementi, US Honey, and Lohrentz discloses a windrow merger	58
2.	Declementi does not disclose a pickup head	59
3.	The combination of Declementi with US Honey and Lohrentz would not teach the first, second and third pickup assemblies having corresponding transverse belt conveyors	60
D.	Ground 4: Claim 1 of the '739 Patent is not obvious over the combination of Zhavoronkin in view of CA Honey	63
1.	The combination of Zhavoronkin and CA Honey does not disclose multiple elements of claim 1.....	64
2.	A POSA would not have been motivated to combine Zhavoronkin and CA Honey or have had a reasonable expectation of success in doing so.....	67
IX.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	73
X.	CONCLUSION.....	75

List of Exhibits Relied Upon in Preliminary Response

Exhibit #	Description
2001	Shinners, K.J., <i>et al.</i> , Equipment to Rake and Merge Hay and Forage, University of Wisconsin Forage Research and Extension (2003), cited in Petitioner's Ex. 1012 at ¶118. (H&S 093286-91)
2002	Jarrett, EP0120574A1, October 3, 1984

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.