## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

OXBO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,		
Plaintiff, v.	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM: DAMAGES	
H&S MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,	15-cv-292-jdp	
Defendant.		
We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as	follows:	
QUESTION NO. 1: Did plaintiff and its licensee is covered by the triple head patents during the term of the ANSWER: ("yes" or ")	the Kuhn cross license?	
QUESTION NO. 2: Is plaintiff entitled to recover '929 patent, the '739 patent, and the '488 patent?		
ANSWER: ("yes" or ":	no")	
If "yes," answer question 3. If "no," go to question 4.		
QUESTION NO. 3: What amount of lost profits is p	laintiff entitled to recover?	
s_n/a		
If you answered question 2 "yes," skip question 4 and answered question 2 "no," go to question 4.	go to question 5. If you	

H&S Mfg. Co., Inc. Exhibit 1030

QUESTION NO. 4: What is a reasonable royalty for defendant's use of the '929 patent, the '739 patent, and the '488 patent?

Regardless of how you answered any of the previous questions, answer question 5:

**QUESTION NO. 5:** What is a reasonable royalty for defendant's use of the '052 patent?

Regardless of how you answered any of the previous questions, answer question 6.

QUESTION NO. 6: Do you find that defendant's infringement of the '929 patent was willful?

ANSWER:	N	6	("yes"	or "no"

A "yes" is a finding for plaintiff and a "no" is a finding for defendant.

May auf bell
Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Dated this 2 day of June, 2017

