```
1
            UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 2.
            BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
 3
     H&S Manufacturing Company,)
     Inc.,
 5
                Petitioner,
 6
                                 ) File No. IPR2016-00950
            vs.
7
     Oxbo International
8
     Corporation,
9
                Patent Owner,
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17
               The following is the TRANSCRIPT OF
18
    PROCEEDINGS, taken before Julie A. Brooks, Notary
19
     Public, Registered Professional Reporter,
20
    Telephonically, commencing at 10:00 a.m., Thursday,
21
    July 20, 2017.
22
23
24
                                                 H&S Mfg. Co., Inc.
                                                 Exhibit 1029
H&S Mfg. Co., Inc. v. Oxbo Int'l Co. IPR2016-00950
```



```
1
    APPEARANCES:
2
          Administrative Patent Judges:
3
               James A. Tartal
               Phillip J. Kauffman
4
               Kevin W. Cherry
5
          On Behalf of Petitioner:
6
7
               Brad Pedersen, Esquire
               Mike Gates, Esquire
8
               PATTERSON THUENTE PEDERSEN
               4800 IDS Center
9
               80 South Eighth Street
               Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
10
               (612) 349-5775
               arcand@ptslaw.com
11
12
          On Behalf of Patent Owner:
13
               Shane Brunner, Esquire
               MERCHANT & GOULD
14
               3200 IDS Center
               80 South Eighth Street
15
               Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
               (612) 332-5300
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```



```
1
                           PROCEEDINGS
2.
            Whereupon, the TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
3
    was commenced at 10:00 a.m. as follows:
4
5
6
7
                    JUDGE TARTAL: H&S Manufacturing Company
8
    versus Oxbo International Corporation, Case
9
    IPR2016-00950.
10
                    I'm Judge Tartal. With me on the call
11
    today are Judges Kauffman and Cherry.
12
                    Can we begin with identification of
13
    counsel, please. Who do we have on the call today for
14
    Petitioner?
15
                    MR. PEDERSEN: Your Honor, for
16
    Petitioner, this is Brad Pedersen, and with me,
17
    listening in, is Mike Gates. We also have a court
18
    reporter, Julie Brooks, who is on the line.
19
                    JUDGE TARTAL: Thank you, Counsel, for
20
    letting us know that. We would ask that, at the end of
21
    the call when the transcript of the call has been
22
    completed by the court reporter, that you file that as
23
    an exhibit in the proceeding.
24
                    MR. PEDERSEN: We will do that, Your
```



```
1
                    JUDGE TARTAL:
                                   Thank you.
2.
                    And who do we have today on behalf of
3
    the Patent Owner?
4
                    MR. BRUNNER: Good morning, Your Honor.
5
    This is Shane Brunner, for the patent owner Oxbo.
6
                    JUDGE TARTAL:
                                   Petitioner, I believe,
7
    requested the call, and it was in regards to
8
    authorization to submit additional exhibits related to
    documents from the district court proceedings, as I
10
    understand it. So we will begin by turning it over to
11
    Petitioner to address what they seek.
12
                    MR. PEDERSEN:
                                   Thank you, Your Honor.
13
    In this situation, we've had co-pending district court
14
    litigation that has gone along at the same time as this
15
          And as the Board is aware, several orders, a jury
    ITR.
16
    trial, and a decision in that case have come down since
17
    the conference call that was last held on May 23rd.
18
                    So the request is a simple one, to
19
    update selected portions of the court's orders and/or
20
    findings of the proceeding so that the record can
    reflect -- that the Board is going to be considering
21
22
    on, you know, a complete record.
23
                    If either of these two cases or if both
24
    of these cases were to go up on appeal, a strong
```



- being submitted here by way of exhibits would come in 1 2 under FRAP 11 or 16 as supplementation to the record, 3 if either of these two proceedings would make their way 4 up the appellate process, if that were to happen. 5 So it seems prudent that the Board 6 should allow for the entry of these new court orders or 7 findings so they have a complete record in this regard. 8 And this will implicate the Novartis case that I discussed but did not identify in the last call. 10 that case is the case that talks about the relationship 11 between these two proceedings in terms of the effect 12 which -- particularly, with respect to claim 13 construction so --14 JUDGE TARTAL: We, obviously, don't 15 wholesale incorporate the entire file out of a district 16 court into our proceeding, and that partly stems from 17 the prior order expunging documents. We do this based 18 on a consideration of each document that you're seeking 19 to have entered as an exhibit. So if it seems 20 reasonable to start with just a specific identification 21 of what it is you are seeking to have added to the 22 record in this case. 23 MR. PEDERSEN: So Petitioner would seek 24 two documents, each, I believe, two pages long. One of
- 25 than is a nortion of the district sourtle final mation

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

