IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

OXRO	INTERNA	TIONAL.	CORPOR	MOITAS
$\mathbf{O}_{\lambda}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{O}_{\lambda}$	YY 1 Y TUXY 17 Y			α λ λ λ O λ γ

Plaintiff,

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM: LIABILITY

٧.

H&S MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,

15-cv-292-jdp

Defendant.

We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as follows:

INFRINGEMENT

Has plaintiff proven to a preponderance of the evidence that defendant's products, the Tri-Flex mergers, infringe claim 28 of the '929 patent?

A "yes" is a finding for plaintiff and a "no" is a finding for defendant.

Regardless of whether you answered "yes" or "no" above, go on and answer the questions in the following invalidity section.

Oxbo International Corporation, Patent Owner Exhibit 2022



INVALIDITY

Has defendant proven by clear and convincing evidence that the following patent claims are invalid because they were obvious?

Patent Claim	Yes	No
Claim 44 of the '929 patent		/
Claim 1 of the '739 patent		/
Claim 1 of the '488 patent		V
Claim 2 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 4 of the '488 patent		✓
Claim 6 of the '488 patent		V
Claim 8 of the '488 patent		V
Claim 10 of the '488 patent		

A "yes" is a finding for defendant and a "no" is a finding for plaintiff.

Mary Campbell
Presiding Jaror

Madison, Wisconsin

Dated this <u>Ile</u> day of June, 2017

