## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

OXBO INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,	
Plaintiff, v.	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM: DAMAGES
H&S MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.,	15-cv-292-jdp
Defendant.	
We, the jury, for our special verdict, do find as	follows:
QUESTION NO. 1: Did plaintiff and its licensee covered by the triple head patents during the term of ANSWER: ("yes" or "	the Kuhn cross license?
QUESTION NO. 2: Is plaintiff entitled to recover '929 patent, the '739 patent, and the '488 patent?  ANSWER:("yes" or '	lost profits with respect to the
If "yes," answer question 3. If "no," go to question 4.	•
QUESTION NO. 3: What amount of lost profits is p	plaintiff entitled to recover?
\$_n/a	
If you answered question 2 "yes," skip question 4 and	d go to question 5. If you



answered question 2 "no," go to question 4.

QUESTION NO. 4: What is a reasonable royalty for defendant's use of the '929 patent, the '739 patent, and the '488 patent?

Regardless of how you answered any of the previous questions, answer question 5:

**QUESTION NO. 5:** What is a reasonable royalty for defendant's use of the '052 patent?

Regardless of how you answered any of the previous questions, answer question 6.

QUESTION NO. 6: Do you find that defendant's infringement of the '929 patent was willful?

ANSWER:	N	6	("yes"	or "no"

A "yes" is a finding for plaintiff and a "no" is a finding for defendant.

May auf bell
Presiding Juror

Madison, Wisconsin

Dated this 2 day of June, 2017

