

Paper No. ____
Filed: April 22, 2016

Filed on behalf of: Bungie, Inc.
By: Michael T. Rosato (mrosato@wsg.com)
Andrew S. Brown (asbrown@wsg.com)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100
Seattle, WA 98104-7036

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

BUNGIE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ACCELERATION BAY LLC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2016-00933
Patent No. 6,701,344

MOTION FOR JOINDER WITH IPR2015-01970

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Statement of the Precise Relief Requested	1
II.	Background.....	2
III.	Argument.....	3
A.	Legal Standard.....	3
B.	Bungie’s Motion for Joinder Is Timely	4
C.	The Relevant Factors Weigh in Favor of Joinder	4
i.	Joinder is Appropriate.....	4
ii.	No New Grounds Are Presented	6
iii.	Joinder Will Not Negatively Impact the Activision <i>et al.</i> IPR Trial Schedule	6
iv.	Discovery and Briefing Can Be Simplified	7
IV.	Conclusion.....	8

I. Statement of the Precise Relief Requested

Bungie, Inc. (“Bungie” or “Petitioner”) submits, concurrently with this motion, a petition for *inter partes* review (“Petition”) of claims 1-12 and 16-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,701,344 (“the ’344 patent”), which is purportedly assigned to Acceleration Bay LLC (“Patent Owner”). Bungie respectfully requests joinder pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) of the concurrently filed Petition with a pending *inter partes* review initiated by Activision Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., TakeTwo Interactive Software, Inc., 2K Sports, Inc., and Rockstar Games, Inc. (collectively, “the 2015 Petitioners”), *Activision Blizzard, Inc. et al. v. Acceleration Bay LLC*, IPR2015-01970 (“Activision *et al.* IPR”).

Bungie’s request for joinder is timely because it has been less than one month since the Board has issued an institution decision in the *Activision et al.* IPR. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b). The Petition is also narrowly tailored to the grounds of unpatentability that were instituted in the *Activision et al.* IPR, and in fact is a practical copy of the *Activision et al.* IPR’s petition with respect to the instituted grounds, including the same claims, analysis of the prior art, and expert testimony. In addition, joinder is appropriate because it will efficiently resolve the validity of the challenged claims of the ’344 patent over the same prior art in a single proceeding, without prejudicing the parties to the *Activision et al.* IPR.

Absent termination of at least one of the 2015 Petitioners as a party to the proceeding, Bungie anticipates participating in the proceeding in a limited capacity. Moreover, joinder will have no impact on the trial schedule of IPR2015-01970 because that IPR is still in its early stages.

Bungie has notified counsel for the 2015 Petitioners regarding the subject of this motion. Counsel indicated they do not oppose joinder.

II. Background

Patent Owner has asserted the '344 patent against Activision Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., 2k Sports, Inc., and Rockstar Games, Inc. in *Acceleration Bay LLC v. Activision Blizzard, Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00228-RGA (D. Del., filed Mar. 11, 2015); *Acceleration Bay LLC v. Electronic Arts Inc.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00282-RGA (D. Del., filed Mar. 30, 2015); and *Acceleration Bay LLC v. Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:15-cv-00311-RGA (D. Del., filed Apr. 13, 2015) (collectively, the “underlying litigations”). Bungie is not a party to the underlying litigations. Bungie received a subpoena in connection with the underlying litigations, in response to which it has filed a motion to quash and for entry of a protective order, which is currently pending in the Western District of Washington as Case No. 2:15-MC-27.

On September 25, 2015, the 2015 Petitioners filed a petition for *inter partes* review challenging claims 1-19 of the '344 patent, which was assigned Case No.

IPR2015-01970. On March 24, 2016 the Board instituted review on claims 1-12 and 16-19. The Petition raises only the grounds of unpatentability that were instituted in the Activision *et al.* IPR, and in fact is a practical copy of the Activision *et al.* IPR petition with respect to the instituted grounds, including the same prior art analysis and expert testimony. *See* Pet.

III. Argument

A. Legal Standard

The Board has authority to join as a party any person who properly files a petition for *inter partes* review to an instituted *inter partes* review. 35 U.S.C. §315(c). A motion for joinder must be filed within one month of institution of any *inter partes* review for which joinder is requested. 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b). In deciding whether to grant a motion for joinder, the Board considers several factors including: (1) the reasons why joinder is appropriate; (2) whether the party to be joined has presented any new grounds of unpatentability; (3) what impact, if any, joinder would have on the trial schedule for the existing review; and (4) how briefing and discovery may be simplified. *See, e.g., Hyundai Motor Co. v. Am. Vehicular Sciences LLC*, IPR2014-01543, Paper No. 11 at 3 (Oct. 24, 2014); *Macronix Int'l Co. v. Spansion*, IPR2014-00898, Paper 15 at 4 (Aug. 13, 2014) (quoting *Kyocera Corporation v. Softview LLC*, IPR2013-00004, Paper 15 at 4 (April 24, 2013)).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.