

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC.,
and APPLE, INC.
PETITIONERS

v.

Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC
PATENT OWNER

Case IPR2016-00923¹
Patent 5,812,789

Title: VIDEO AND/OR AUDIO DECOMPRESSION AND/OR COMPRESSION DEVICE THAT
SHARES A MEMORY INTERFACE

PATENT OWNER RESPONSE
PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. § 316 AND 37 C.F.R. §42.120

¹Case IPR2016-00847 has been joined with this proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. STATE OF THE PRIOR ART & THE `789 PATENT2

III. THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE PATENTABLE5

 A. Anticipation by Lambrecht [claims 1, 3, 5, 11, and 13].....5

 1. No disclosure of “a shared bus ... having a sufficient bandwidth to enable *the decoder to access the memory* and operate in real time” [Independent claim 1 and claims depending thereon]6

 2. No disclosure of “*a decoder* that requires access to the memory sufficient to maintain real time operation” [Independent claim 1 and claims depending thereon]13

 3. No disclosure of “a shared bus ... having a sufficient bandwidth to enable the decoder to access the memory and *operate in real time*” [Independent claim 1 and claims depending thereon]15

 4. No disclosure of “the bus having a sufficient bandwidth to enable the decoder to access the memory and *operate in real time when the first device simultaneously accesses the bus*” [Independent claim 1 and claims depending thereon]21

 B. Obviousness in view of Lambrecht and Artieri [claim 4].....24

 C. Obviousness in view of Lambrecht and Moore [claim 6].....25

IV. CONCLUSION25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

C.R. Bard, Inc. v. M3 Sys., Inc.,
157 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 1998)31

In re Fine,
837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988) 40, 41

In re Wilson,
424 F.2d 1382 (CCPA 1970)3

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....31

Rules

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)1

...

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 5,812,789 (“`789 Patent”) ²
1002	File History of `789 Patent
1019	Shanley, et al., “PCI System Architecture,” Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1995 (3 rd ed.) (“ <i>Shanley</i> ”)
1030	Expert Declaration of Dr. Harold Stone (“Stone Decl.”)
1032	U.S. Patent No. 5,682,484 (“Lambrecht”)
1035	G. Moore, “Cramming more components onto integrated circuits,” <i>Electronics</i> , Vol. 38, No. 8, Apr. 19, 1965 (“Moore”)
1036	U.S. Patent No. 5,579,052 (“Artieri”)
2003	Declaration of Mitchell A. Thornton (“Thornton Decl.”)
2004	Deposition testimony of Harold S. Stone, Phd. dated November 16, 2016 (“Stone Depo”)

² Ex. 1001, 1002, 1019, 1030, 1032, 1035, and 1036 are already of record and not attached to this Response.

I. INTRODUCTION

The patent owner Parthenon Unified Memory Architecture LLC (“Patent Owner”) hereby submits the following response to the Petition for *Inter Partes* review (“Petition”) filed by HTC Corp., HTC America, Inc., and Apple, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”) regarding certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,812,789 (“’789 Patent”) filed on April 20, 2016 and Decision Granting Institution of *Inter Partes Review* 37 C.F.R. 42.108 issued on August 23, 2016 (“Institution Decision”).

The Board instituted an *Inter Partes* review with respect to the following three proposed grounds:

1. Alleged Ground A: Anticipation of claims 1, 3, 5, 11, and 13 under § 102(e) by Lambrecht;
2. Alleged Ground B: Obviousness of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Lambrecht and Artieri; and
3. Alleged Ground C: Obviousness of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Lambrecht and Moore.

For the reasons discussed below, Lambrecht does not anticipate independent claim 1. Dependent claims 3-6, 11, and 13 are allowable for at least the same reasons. The discussion below first discusses the ’789 Patent and claims. It then rebuts the adopted grounds of unpatentability on the merits.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.