Trials@uspto.gov

Paper 10 Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: September 8, 2016

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SYMANTEC CORP., Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2016-00919

Patent 8,141,154 B2

Before THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and PATRICK M. BOUCHER Administrative Patent Judges.

QUINN, Administrative Patent Judge.

### **DECISION**

Institution of Inter Partes Review and Grant of Motion for Joinder 37 C.F.R. § 42.108 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)



### I. INTRODUCTION

Symantec Corp. ("Petitioner" or "Symantec") filed a Petition (Paper 3, "Pet.") requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–8, 10, and 11 ("the challenged claims") of U.S. Patent No. 8,141,154 B2 (Ex. 1001, "the '154 patent"), and concurrently filed a Motion for Joinder (Paper 2, "Mot."). The Motion for Joinder seeks to join this proceeding with *Palo Alto Networks*, *Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.*, Case IPR2015-01979 ("the PAN IPR"). Mot. 1. Patent Owner filed a waiver of the Preliminary Response, and does not oppose the Motion for Joinder. Paper 8. For the reasons described below, we institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1–8, 10, and 11 of the '154 patent, and grant Petitioner's Motion for Joinder.

### II. INSTITUTION OF *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

On March 21, 2016, we instituted a trial in IPR2015-01979 on the following alleged grounds of unpatentability based on obviousness:

- 1) Claims 1–5 over Khazan<sup>1</sup> and Sirer<sup>2</sup>; and
- 2) Claims 6–8, 10, and 11 over Khazan, Sirer, and Ben-Natan.<sup>3</sup> PAN IPR, slip. op. at 15 (PTAB March 29, 2016) (Paper 8). Upon review of the Petition here, we note that the Petition is substantially identical to the Petition in the PAN IPR. The Petition in this proceeding asserts the same grounds as those on which we instituted review in the PAN IPR. Pet. 1, 4–5. Petitioner further relies on the same declaration of Dr. Aviel Rubin, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Patent No. 7,437,362 B1 ("Ben-Natan").



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Patent Application Pub. No. US 2005/0108562 A1 ("Khazan").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sirer et al., *Design and Implementation of a Distributed Virtual machine for Networked Computers* (1999) ("Sirer").

same arguments and supporting evidence presented in the PAN IPR. Pet. 4; Mot. 3.

In view of the identity of the challenge in the instant Petition and in the petition in the PAN IPR, and in light of Patent Owner's waiver of its Preliminary Response, we institute *inter partes* review in this proceeding on the same grounds, and for the same reasons, regarding claims 1–8, 10, and 11, on which we instituted *inter partes* review in the PAN IPR.

### III. GRANT OF MOTION FOR JOINDER

Joinder in *inter partes* review is subject to the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 315(c):

(c) JOINDER.—If the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that inter partes review any person who properly files a petition under section 311 that the Director, after receiving a preliminary response under section 313 or the expiration of the time for filing such a response, determines warrants the institution of an inter parties review under section 314.

As the moving party, Petitioner bears the burden of proving that it is entitled to the requested relief. 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c). A motion for joinder should: (1) set forth the reasons joinder is appropriate; (2) identify any new grounds of unpatentability asserted in the petition; and (3) explain what impact (if any) joinder would have on the trial schedule for the existing review. *See* Frequently Asked Question H5, <a href="https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/ptab-e2e-frequently-asked-questions">https://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/patent-trial-and-appeal-board/ptab-e2e-frequently-asked-questions</a>.

Petitioner asserts it has grounds for standing because, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), Petitioner filed a motion for joinder concurrently with the Petition and not later than one month after institution of the PAN



IPR2016-00919 Patent No. 8,141,154 B2

IPR. Mot. 1. Patent Owner does not oppose Petitioner's motion for joinder. Paper 8. We find that the Motion is timely.

We also find that Petitioner has met its burden of showing that joinder is appropriate. The Petition here is substantially identical to the Petition in the PAN IPR. Mot. 3–4. The evidence also is identical, including the reliance on the same declaration of Dr. Aviel Rubin. *Id.* 

Petitioner further has shown that the trial schedule will not be affected by joinder. Mot. 4–5. No changes in the schedule are anticipated or necessary, and the limited participation, if at all, of Petitioner will not impact the timeline of the ongoing trial. We limit Petitioner's participation in the joined proceeding such that Petitioner shall require prior authorization from the Board before filing *any* further paper. This arrangement promotes the just and efficient administration of the ongoing trial and the interests of Petitioner and Patent Owner.

### IV. ORDER

In view of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that IPR2016-00919 is hereby instituted as to claims 1–8, 10, and 11 on the following grounds:

- (1) claims 1–5 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Khazan and Sirer; and
- (2) claims 6–8, 10, and 11 as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C.
- § 103(a) over Khazan, Sirer, and Ben-Natan;



IPR2016-00919 Patent No. 8,141,154 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner's Motion for Joinder with IPR2015-01979 is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the grounds on which trial in IPR2015-01979 was instituted are unchanged and no other grounds are included in the joined proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Scheduling Order entered in IPR2015-01979 (Paper 9) and schedule changes agreed-to by the parties in IPR2015-01979 (pursuant to the Scheduling Order) shall govern the schedule of the joined proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that, throughout the joined proceeding, all filings in IPR2015-01979 will be consolidated and no filing by Petitioner Symantec alone will be allowed without prior authorization by the Board;

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Decision will be entered into the record of IPR2015-01979;

FURTHER ORDERED that IPR2016-00919 is terminated under 37 C.F.R. § 42.72 and all further filings in the joined proceeding are to be made in IPR2015-01979; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the case caption in IPR2015-01979 shall be changed to reflect joinder with this proceeding in accordance with the attached example.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

