

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re application of:
Mark M. Leather et al.

Application No.: 10/459,797

Filed: June 12, 2003

For: **DIVIDING WORK AMONG
MULTIPLE GRAPHICS
PIPELINES USING A SUPER-
TILING TECHNIQUE**

Examiner: Joni Hsu

Group Art Unit: 2628

Docket No.: 00100.02.0053

APPELLANTS' REPLY BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO EXAMINER'S ANSWER

Dear Sir:

Appellants wish to thank the Examiner for the "Response to Argument" set forth in the Examiner's Answer. Appellants respectfully reiterate their prior remarks as it appears that the claim construction and teachings of Perego are incorrect. Appellants again respectfully submit that the claims must be reasonably interpreted in view of the Specification and that the claims themselves contradict the Examiner's construction as do the actual teachings of the Perego reference which were not addressed in the Examiner's "Response to Arguments" section. Claim 1, for example, recites the memory controller on the chip in communication with at least two graphics pipelines, operative to transfer pixel data between each of the first pipeline and a second pipeline in a memory shared among the at least two graphics pipelines... As such, the same memory controller that is on the chip is in communication with two graphics pipelines and the memory is shared among the at least two graphics pipelines. The Examiner's position appears to overlook what the Perego reference actually teaches as being shared. What is shared in Perego is memory between a CPU and a single rendering engine which is specifically described and shown in Perego as a memory module 304 or 804 including a rendering engine 312 and dedicated

memory that the CPU can access but no other rendering engine can access. Only a single rendering engine can access the memory on a memory module.

FIG. 8 clearly shows this structure since each rendering engine only has access to its own memory devices. Appellants claim a different configuration wherein the same memory controller on a chip is in communication with shared memory that is shared between two graphics processors. The graphics rendering engines in Perego cannot share memory amongst graphics engines and do not incorporate a common memory controller to do so. Perego uses the term “shared memory” because a portion of the memory on each separate memory module is used by the CPU, the claims do not claim such an operation but instead claim that the memory controller on the chip is in communication with multiple graphics pipelines and transfers pixel data between each of the pipelines and the memory controller that is in communication with a memory shared among the at least two graphics pipelines. Multiple rendering engines in Perego do not share the same graphics memory through a common memory controller on a chip as alleged in the office action. Accordingly, Appellants respectfully reversal of the rejections.

Appellants also respectfully reassert their other remarks from their Brief.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 6, 2011

By: /Christopher J. Reckamp/
Christopher J. Reckamp
Registration No. 34,414

Vedder Price P.C.
222 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
PHONE: (312) 609-7599
FAX: (312) 609-5005

Electronic Acknowledgement Receipt

| | |
|---|--|
| EFS ID: | 10240393 |
| Application Number: | 10459797 |
| International Application Number: | |
| Confirmation Number: | 4148 |
| Title of Invention: | Dividing work among multiple graphics pipelines using a super-tiling technique |
| First Named Inventor/Applicant Name: | Mark M. Leather |
| Customer Number: | 29153 |
| Filer: | Christopher J. Reckamp/Christine Wright |
| Filer Authorized By: | Christopher J. Reckamp |
| Attorney Docket Number: | 00100.02.0053 |
| Receipt Date: | 06-JUN-2011 |
| Filing Date: | 12-JUN-2003 |
| Time Stamp: | 15:34:20 |
| Application Type: | Utility under 35 USC 111(a) |

Payment information:

| | |
|------------------------|----|
| Submitted with Payment | no |
|------------------------|----|

File Listing:

| Document Number | Document Description | File Name | File Size(Bytes)/ Message Digest | Multi Part /.zip | Pages (if appl.) |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|
| 1 | Reply Brief Filed | 10459797_ReplyBrief.pdf | 22033 c005e4fd041b2ef936df78b7084a4385e36d 01cb | no | 2 |

Warnings:

Total Files Size (in bytes):

22033

This Acknowledgement Receipt evidences receipt on the noted date by the USPTO of the indicated documents, characterized by the applicant, and including page counts, where applicable. It serves as evidence of receipt similar to a Post Card, as described in MPEP 503.

New Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 111

If a new application is being filed and the application includes the necessary components for a filing date (see 37 CFR 1.53(b)-(d) and MPEP 506), a Filing Receipt (37 CFR 1.54) will be issued in due course and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the filing date of the application.

National Stage of an International Application under 35 U.S.C. 371

If a timely submission to enter the national stage of an international application is compliant with the conditions of 35 U.S.C. 371 and other applicable requirements a Form PCT/DO/EO/903 indicating acceptance of the application as a national stage submission under 35 U.S.C. 371 will be issued in addition to the Filing Receipt, in due course.

New International Application Filed with the USPTO as a Receiving Office

If a new international application is being filed and the international application includes the necessary components for an international filing date (see PCT Article 11 and MPEP 1810), a Notification of the International Application Number and of the International Filing Date (Form PCT/RO/105) will be issued in due course, subject to prescriptions concerning national security, and the date shown on this Acknowledgement Receipt will establish the international filing date of the application.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/459,797 | 06/12/2003 | Mark M. Leather | 00100.02.0053 | 4148 |

29153 7590 06/17/2011
ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.
C/O VEDDER PRICE P.C.
222 N.LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60601

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

HSU, JONI

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2628

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

06/17/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.