

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SYMANTEC CORP. and BLUE COAT SYSTEMS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01892 and IPR2016-00890

Patent 8,677,494 B2

Technology Center 2400

Oral Hearing Held: Friday, December 16, 2016

Before: JAMES B. ARPIN (via video link), and ZHENYU YANG, and CHARLES J. BOUDREAU (via video link), Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Friday, December 16, 2016, at 1:00 p.m., Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

REPORTED BY RAYMOND G. BRYNTESON, RMR, CRR,

RDR

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JOSEPH J. RICHETTI, ESQ.

ALEXANDER WALDEN, ESQ.

Bryan Cave LLP

1290 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10104-3300

212-541-2000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JAMES HANNAH, ESQ.

MICHAEL LEE, ESQ.

Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP

990 Marsh Road

Menlo Park, California 94025-1949

650-752-1700

JEFFREY H. PRICE, ESQ.

Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036

212-715-9100

P R O C E E D I N G S

(1:00 p.m.)

JUDGE BOUDREAU: Good afternoon, everyone.

This is the oral hearing in case IPR2015-01892, captioned Symantec Corporation versus Finjan, Incorporated, to which case IPR2016-00890, captioned Blue Coat Systems, Incorporated versus Finjan, Incorporated has been joined.

I'm Judge Boudreau, appearing from California. There in Virginia you are joined by Judge Yang, and you should see Judge Arpin on another screen there appearing from Colorado.

Because of the limitations on our audio technology Judge Arpin and I will only be able to hear you when you are at the podium, so we ask that any time you are speaking, please go to the podium and remain there.

Let's have each counsel come up and enter an appearance and state your names for the record. We will start with counsel for Petitioner, please.

MR. RICHETTI: Good afternoon, Your Honors. Joseph Richetti from Bryan Cave on behalf of Petitioner. With me is my associate, Alex Walden.

There is also counsel for Blue Coat as well, Your Honors.

1 MR. HANNAH: Good afternoon, Your Honors.
2 James Hannah from Kramer Levin representing Finjan. And
3 with me is Michael Lee.

4 We also have Jeff Price. And Dr. Medvidovic is
5 also here if there are any questions for him during the
6 proceeding.

7 JUDGE YANG: Okay. Welcome to all.

8 JUDGE BOUDREAU: Thank you, counsel. Now,
9 we set out the procedure for today's hearing in our Revised
10 Hearing Order, which was Paper 50, but I will just take a few
11 minutes to remind you of how to proceed.

12 Petitioner and Patent Owner will each have 30
13 minutes of total time today to present their arguments. And
14 Petitioner will go first, present its case regarding the
15 challenged claims of the '494 patent and may reserve time for
16 rebuttal. Patent Owner may then respond to Petitioner's
17 presentation.

18 The fundamental rule governing our hearings is
19 that the party bearing the burden of persuasion on any issue
20 may speak last on that issue. So Petitioner will have the
21 opportunity to use any reserved rebuttal time to respond to
22 Patent Owner's arguments.

23 Also, because Patent Owner has filed a Motion to
24 Exclude Evidence in this case, which was Paper 41, and a
25 Motion for Observation on Cross-Examination of Petitioner's

1 declarant, Jack Davidson, that was Paper 42, Patent Owner
2 may also discuss both of those motions during its time. And
3 Petitioner may use a portion of its rebuttal time to respond to
4 Patent Owner's arguments regarding those motions.

5 But as stressed in the Hearing Order, Petitioner ay
6 only respond if Patent Owner actually raises those during its
7 presentation.

8 Just a few other points before we begin. First,
9 keep in mind that although I believe that you both requested
10 overhead projectors, Judge Arpin and I can't actually see the
11 screen from our remote locations. We both have copies of all
12 of the demonstratives and all of the papers in the case, so if
13 you are using the screen for Judge Yang's benefit, and you are
14 referring to any specific slide or figure in your
15 demonstratives, or any document in the record, we ask that
16 you just call out the slide number, figure number, or the
17 exhibit and page number so that the record is complete both in
18 the transcript, and so Judge Arpin and I can remotely follow
19 along.

20 Second, just as a common courtesy, if you believe
21 that the other party is arguing -- is presenting a new argument
22 in substance that wasn't presented in its briefs or if you have
23 any other objections, we ask that you just please wait until
24 your turn at the podium rather than interrupting opposing
25 counsel.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.