

Case IPR2016-00822  
U.S. Patent No. 7,064,197

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HOLOGIC, INC., and BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY,  
Petitioner

v.

ENZO LIFE SCIENCES, INC.,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2016-00822

U.S. Patent No. 7,064,197  
TITLE: SYSTEM, ARRAY AND NON-POROUS SOLID SUPPORT  
COMPRISING FIXED OR IMMOBILIZED NUCLEIC ACIDS  
Issue Date: June 20, 2006

---

**ENZO'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

Mail Stop Patent Board  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Case IPR2016-00822

U.S. Patent No. 7,064,197

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, Patent Owner Enzo Life Sciences, Inc. (“Enzo”) respectfully requests oral argument for Case IPR2016-00822, which oral argument is currently scheduled for June 1, 2017. Enzo anticipates that it will present oral argument on the following issues:

1. Claims 17, 19, 25, 105, 106, 114, 116, 119, 128, 129, 150, 152, 178, 180, 186, and 187 of U.S. Patent No. 7,064,197 (“the ’197 Patent”) are not anticipated by Fish.
2. Claims 130, 131, 151, and 154 of the ’197 Patent are not obvious over Fish.
3. Claims 120 and 189 of the ’197 Patent are not obvious over Fish, Metzgar, and Sato.
4. Claims 113 and 185 of the ’197 Patent are not obvious over Fish and Gilham.
5. VPK is not prior art to the challenged claims of the ’197 Patent.
6. Claims 17, 19, 25, 105, 106, 114, 119, 120, 128, 129, 131, 150, 151, 152, 178, 180, 186, and 189 of the ’197 Patent are not obvious over VPK and Metzgar.
7. Claims 113, 116, 130, 154, 185, and 187 of the ’197 Patent are not obvious over VPK, Noyes, Metzgar, and Ramachandran.
8. Enzo’s Motion to Exclude.

Case IPR2016-00822  
U.S. Patent No. 7,064,197

Enzo also anticipates that it will present oral argument to rebut Petitioners' arguments.

Enzo respectfully proposes consolidating oral argument in this proceeding with oral argument in related matter Case IPR2016-00820, which involves the same patent and many of the same issues. Enzo also respectfully proposes that each side receive 45 minutes in total to present its arguments in the consolidated oral argument.

Finally, Enzo requests the use of audio-visual equipment to display demonstratives and exhibits, including the use of a computer, ELMO presenter, projector, and screen.

Dated: April 24, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

/kkm/

Kevin K. McNish (Reg. No. 65,047)

kmcnish@desmaraisllp.com

DESMARAIS LLP

230 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10169

Telephone: 212-351-3400

Facsimile: 212-351-3401

*Lead Counsel for Patent Owner  
Enzo Life Sciences, Inc.*

Case IPR2016-00822  
U.S. Patent No. 7,064,197

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on April 24, 2017, a complete copy of the foregoing was served on counsel of record for the Petitioner by filing this document through PTAB E2E and by sending this document via electronic mail to the following addresses:

For Petitioner Hologic:

M. Paul Barker (Reg. No. 32,013)  
paul.barker@finnegan.com

Arpita Bhattacharyya (Reg. No. 63,681)  
arpita.bhattacharyya@finnegan.com

Thomas L. Irving (Reg. No. 28,619)  
tom.irving@finnegan.com

Dated: April 24, 2017

For Petitioner BD:

Jamie T. Wisz (Reg. No. 58,429)  
Jamie.Wisz@WilmerHale.com

Heather Petruzzi (Reg. No. 71,720)  
Heather.Petruzzi@WilmerHale.com

Nancy Lynn Schroeder (Reg. No.  
75,538)  
Nancy.Schroeder@WilmerHale.com

Respectfully submitted,

/kkm/

Kevin K. McNish (Reg. No. 65,047)  
kmcnish@desmaraisllp.com

DESMARAIS LLP  
230 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10169  
Telephone: 212-351-3400  
Facsimile: 212-351-3401

*Lead Counsel for Patent Owner  
Enzo Life Sciences, Inc.*